## The Fermat Equation over Totally Real Fields

Nuno Freitas joint work with Samir Siksek

Universität Bayreuth

January 2014

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

#### Fermat's Last Theorem The only solutions (a, b, c) to the equation

$$x^{p}+y^{p}+z^{p}=0,$$
  $a,b,c\in\mathbb{Z}$   $p\geq 3$  prime

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

satisfy abc = 0.

#### Fermat's Last Theorem The only solutions (a, b, c) to the equation

$$x^{p}+y^{p}+z^{p}=0,$$
  $a,b,c\in\mathbb{Z}$   $p\geq 3$  prime

satisfy abc = 0.

#### Theorem (Jarvis-Meekin)

The only solutions (a, b, c) to the equation

$$x^p+y^p+z^p=0,$$
  $a,b,c\in\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}),$   $p\geq 5$  prime satisfy  $abc=0.$ 

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

### Theorem (Wiles, Taylor-Wiles)

Semistable elliptic curves over  ${\ensuremath{\mathbb Q}}$  are modular.

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Theorem (Wiles, Taylor–Wiles)

Semistable elliptic curves over  ${\ensuremath{\mathbb Q}}$  are modular.

Theorem (Breuil–Conrad–Diamond–Taylor) All elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$  are modular.

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

Theorem (Wiles, Taylor–Wiles)

Semistable elliptic curves over  ${\ensuremath{\mathbb Q}}$  are modular.

Theorem (Breuil–Conrad–Diamond–Taylor) All elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$  are modular.

Theorem (Jarvis–Manoharmayum) Semistable elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  are modular.

### Definition

Let *E* be an elliptic curve over a totally real field *K*. We say that *E* is **modular** if there is a Hilbert eigenform f over *K* of parallel weight 2 and rational coefficients such that

$$L(E,s)=L(\mathfrak{f},s)$$

Suppose *a*, *b*,  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $p \ge 5$  satisfy

 $a^p + b^p + c^p = 0,$   $abc \neq 0,$  gcd(a, b, c) = 1.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Suppose a, b,  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $p \ge 5$  satisfy  $a^p + b^p + c^p = 0$ ,  $abc \ne 0$ , gcd(a, b, c) = 1. Following Frey, let

$$E: y^2 = x(x-a^p)(x+b^p).$$

Suppose a, b,  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $p \ge 5$  satisfy  $a^p + b^p + c^p = 0$ ,  $abc \ne 0$ , gcd(a, b, c) = 1. Following Frey, let

$$E: y^2 = x(x-a^p)(x+b^p).$$

Then

$$\Delta = 16a^{2p}b^{2p}c^{2p}, \qquad N = 2^{?} \cdot \prod_{\substack{\ell \mid abc \\ \ell \neq 2}} \ell.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Suppose a, b,  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $p \ge 5$  satisfy  $a^p + b^p + c^p = 0$ ,  $abc \ne 0$ , gcd(a, b, c) = 1. Following Frey, let

$$E: y^2 = x(x-a^p)(x+b^p).$$

Then

$$\Delta = 16a^{2p}b^{2p}c^{2p}, \qquad N = 2^{?} \cdot \prod_{\substack{\ell \mid abc \\ \ell \neq 2}} \ell.$$

Write  $\bar{\rho}_p$  for the mod p representation attached to E. Define

$$N(\overline{\rho}_p) = rac{N}{M_p}, \qquad M_p = \prod_{\substack{\ell \mid N \ p \mid 
u_\ell(\Delta)}} \ell.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Suppose a, b,  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $p \ge 5$  satisfy  $a^p + b^p + c^p = 0$ ,  $abc \ne 0$ , gcd(a, b, c) = 1. Following Frey, let

$$E: y^2 = x(x-a^p)(x+b^p).$$

Then

$$\Delta = 16a^{2p}b^{2p}c^{2p}, \qquad N = 2^{?} \cdot \prod_{\substack{\ell \mid abc \\ \ell \neq 2}} \ell.$$

Write  $\bar{\rho}_p$  for the mod p representation attached to E. Define

$$N(\overline{\rho}_p) = rac{N}{M_p}, \qquad M_p = \prod_{\substack{\ell \mid |N \ p \mid 
u_\ell(\Delta)}} \ell.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

By Wiles *E* is **modular**.

Suppose a, b,  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $p \ge 5$  satisfy  $a^p + b^p + c^p = 0$ ,  $abc \ne 0$ , gcd(a, b, c) = 1. Following Frey, let

$$E: y^2 = x(x - a^p)(x + b^p).$$

Then

$$\Delta = 16a^{2p}b^{2p}c^{2p}, \qquad N = 2^? \cdot \prod_{\substack{\ell \mid abc \\ \ell \neq 2}} \ell.$$

Write  $\bar{\rho}_p$  for the mod p representation attached to E. Define

$$N(\overline{\rho}_p) = \frac{N}{M_p}, \qquad M_p = \prod_{\substack{\ell \mid |N \\ p \mid \upsilon_{\ell}(\Delta)}} \ell.$$

By Wiles *E* is **modular**. By Mazur,  $\overline{\rho}_p$  is irreducible.

Suppose a, b,  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $p \ge 5$  satisfy  $a^p + b^p + c^p = 0$ ,  $abc \ne 0$ , gcd(a, b, c) = 1. Following Frey, let

$$E: y^2 = x(x-a^p)(x+b^p).$$

Then

$$\Delta = 16a^{2p}b^{2p}c^{2p}, \qquad N = 2^{?} \cdot \prod_{\substack{\ell \mid abc \\ \ell \neq 2}} \ell.$$

Write  $\bar{\rho}_p$  for the mod p representation attached to E. Define

$$N(\overline{\rho}_p) = \frac{N}{M_p}, \qquad M_p = \prod_{\substack{\ell \mid |N \\ p \mid \upsilon_\ell(\Delta)}} \ell$$

By Wiles *E* is **modular**. By Mazur,  $\overline{\rho}_p$  is irreducible. By Ribet's **level lowering:**  $\overline{\rho}_p$  arises from a newform of weight 2 and level  $N(\overline{\rho}_p) = 2$ .

Suppose a, b,  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $p \ge 5$  satisfy  $a^p + b^p + c^p = 0$ ,  $abc \ne 0$ , gcd(a, b, c) = 1. Following Frey, let

$$E: y^2 = x(x-a^p)(x+b^p).$$

Then

$$\Delta = 16a^{2p}b^{2p}c^{2p}, \qquad N = 2^{?} \cdot \prod_{\substack{\ell \mid abc \\ \ell \neq 2}} \ell.$$

Write  $\bar{\rho}_p$  for the mod p representation attached to E. Define

$$N(\overline{\rho}_p) = \frac{N}{M_p}, \qquad M_p = \prod_{\substack{\ell \mid |N \\ p \mid \upsilon_{\ell}(\Delta)}} \ell$$

By Wiles *E* is **modular**. By Mazur,  $\overline{\rho}_p$  is irreducible. By Ribet's **level lowering:**  $\overline{\rho}_p$  arises from a newform of weight 2 and level  $N(\overline{\rho}_p) = 2$ . There are no newforms of weight 2 and level 2!!

**Question:** Can the modular method be applied to the Fermat equation over more number fields?

**Question:** Can the modular method be applied to the Fermat equation over more number fields?

**Question:** Let d > 0 be a squarefree integer. Can we say anything about the Fermat equation over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ ?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

**Question:** Can the modular method be applied to the Fermat equation over more number fields?

**Question:** Let d > 0 be a squarefree integer. Can we say anything about the Fermat equation over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ ?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

**Question:** Can we prove modularity of the Frey curves over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ ?

**Question:** Can the modular method be applied to the Fermat equation over more number fields?

**Question:** Let d > 0 be a squarefree integer. Can we say anything about the Fermat equation over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ ?

**Question:** Can we prove modularity of the Frey curves over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ ?

These questions for quadratic fields were analysed by Jarvis and Meekin. They find that

"... the numerology required to generalise the work of Ribet and Wiles directly continues to hold for  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ ... there are no other real quadratic fields for which this is true ..."

The **Fermat equation with exponent** *p* **over** *K* is the equation

$$a^p + b^p + c^p = 0,$$
  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{O}_K.$ 

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

We say (a, b, c) is trivial if abc = 0, otherwise non-trivial.

The **Fermat equation with exponent** *p* **over** *K* is the equation

$$a^p + b^p + c^p = 0,$$
  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{O}_K.$ 

We say (a, b, c) is **trivial** if abc = 0, otherwise **non-trivial**. Let K be totally real and (a, b, c) a non-trivial solution over K. Define the Frey curve

$$E := E_{(a,b,c)} : y^2 = x(x - a^p)(x + b^p)$$

The **Fermat equation with exponent** *p* **over** *K* is the equation

$$a^p + b^p + c^p = 0,$$
  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{O}_K.$ 

We say (a, b, c) is **trivial** if abc = 0, otherwise **non-trivial**. Let *K* be totally real and (a, b, c) a non-trivial solution over *K*. Define the Frey curve

$$E := E_{(a,b,c)} : y^2 = x(x - a^p)(x + b^p)$$

1) E is not known to be modular. E is not semistable.

The **Fermat equation with exponent** p **over** K is the equation

$$a^p + b^p + c^p = 0,$$
  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{O}_K.$ 

We say (a, b, c) is **trivial** if abc = 0, otherwise **non-trivial**. Let K be totally real and (a, b, c) a non-trivial solution over K. Define the Frey curve

$$E := E_{(a,b,c)} : y^2 = x(x - a^p)(x + b^p)$$

E is not known to be modular. E is not semistable.
 Suppose E is modular. After level lowering we obtain

$$\bar{\rho}_{E,p} \sim \bar{\rho}_{f,\mathfrak{p}}$$
 for some  $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$ ,

and we want f to be of level independent of the solution.

The **Fermat equation with exponent** p over K is the equation

$$a^p + b^p + c^p = 0,$$
  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{O}_K.$ 

We say (a, b, c) is **trivial** if abc = 0, otherwise **non-trivial**. Let K be totally real and (a, b, c) a non-trivial solution over K. Define the Frey curve

$$E := E_{(a,b,c)} : y^2 = x(x - a^p)(x + b^p)$$

- 1) E is not known to be modular. E is not semistable.
- 2) Suppose E is modular. After level lowering we obtain

$$\bar{\rho}_{E,p} \sim \bar{\rho}_{f,\mathfrak{p}}$$
 for some  $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$ ,

and we want f to be of level independent of the solution.
 3) The final spaces of Hilbert newforms may be non-empy.

## Notation and Eichler-Shimura

### Conjecture ("Eichler-Shimura")

Let K be a totally real field. Let  $\mathfrak{f}$  be a Hilbert newform of level  $\mathcal{N}$  and parallel weight 2, and write  $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathfrak{f}}$  for its field of coefficients. Suppose that  $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathfrak{f}} = \mathbb{Q}$ . Then there is an elliptic curve  $E_{\mathfrak{f}}/K$  with conductor  $\mathcal{N}$  having the same L-function as  $\mathfrak{f}$ .

## Notation and Eichler-Shimura

### Conjecture ("Eichler-Shimura")

Let K be a totally real field. Let f be a Hilbert newform of level  $\mathcal{N}$  and parallel weight 2, and write  $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathfrak{f}}$  for its field of coefficients. Suppose that  $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathfrak{f}} = \mathbb{Q}$ . Then there is an elliptic curve  $E_{\mathfrak{f}}/K$  with conductor  $\mathcal{N}$  having the same L-function as f.

For K a totally real field let

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{S} = \{\mathfrak{P} \ : \ \mathfrak{P} \text{ is a prime of } \mathcal{K} \text{ above } 2\}, \\ & \mathcal{T} = \{\mathfrak{P} \in \mathcal{S} \ : \ f(\mathfrak{P}/2) = 1\}, \qquad \mathcal{U} = \{\mathfrak{P} \in \mathcal{S} \ : \ 3 \nmid \mathsf{ord}_{\mathfrak{P}}(2)\}, \end{split}$$

where  $f(\mathfrak{P}/2)$  denotes the residual degree of  $\mathfrak{P}$ .

## Notation and Eichler-Shimura

### Conjecture ("Eichler-Shimura")

Let K be a totally real field. Let f be a Hilbert newform of level  $\mathcal{N}$  and parallel weight 2, and write  $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathfrak{f}}$  for its field of coefficients. Suppose that  $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathfrak{f}} = \mathbb{Q}$ . Then there is an elliptic curve  $E_{\mathfrak{f}}/K$  with conductor  $\mathcal{N}$  having the same L-function as f.

For K a totally real field let

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{S} = \{\mathfrak{P} \ : \ \mathfrak{P} \text{ is a prime of } \mathcal{K} \text{ above } 2\}, \\ & \mathcal{T} = \{\mathfrak{P} \in \mathcal{S} \ : \ f(\mathfrak{P}/2) = 1\}, \qquad \mathcal{U} = \{\mathfrak{P} \in \mathcal{S} \ : \ \mathfrak{Z} \nmid \mathsf{ord}_{\mathfrak{P}}(2)\}, \end{split}$$

where  $f(\mathfrak{P}/2)$  denotes the residual degree of  $\mathfrak{P}$ . We now do the following assumption on K:

(ES) 
$$\begin{cases} \text{ either } [K : \mathbb{Q}] \text{ is odd;} \\ \text{ or } \mathcal{T} \neq \emptyset; \\ \text{ the Conjecture above holds for } K \end{cases}$$

## Results - Fermat over totally real fields

### Theorem (F.-Siksek)

Let K be a totally real field satisfying assumption **(ES)**. Let S, T and U be as before. Write  $\mathcal{O}_{S}^{*}$  for the set of S-units of K. Suppose that for every solution  $(\lambda, \mu)$  to the S-unit equation

$$\lambda + \mu = 1, \qquad \lambda, \, \mu \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}^* \,.$$

there is

(A) either some  $\mathfrak{P} \in T$  that satisfies

$$\max\{|\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{P}}(\lambda)|, |\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mu)|\} \le 4 \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{P}}(2),$$
 (1)

(B) or some  $\mathfrak{P} \in U$  that satisfies both (3) and

$$\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{P}}(\lambda\mu) \equiv \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{P}}(2) \pmod{3}.$$

Then there is some constant  $B_K$  such that for all  $p > B_K$ , the Fermat equation with exponent p has no non-trivial solutions.

Results - Fermat over real quadratic fields

Theorem (F.-Siksek)

Let  $d \geq 2$  be squarefree, satisfying one of the following conditions

(i) 
$$d \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$$
,

- (ii)  $d \equiv 6 \text{ or } 10 \pmod{16}$ ,
- (iii)  $d \equiv 2 \pmod{16}$  and d has a prime divisor  $q \equiv 5 \text{ or } 7 \pmod{8}$ ,

(iv)  $d \equiv 14 \pmod{16}$  and d has some prime divisor  $q \equiv 3 \text{ or } 5 \pmod{8}$ .

Write  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ . Then there is an **effectively computable** constant  $B_K$  such that for all primes  $p > B_K$ , the Fermat equation with exponent p has no non-trivial solutions.

Results - Fermat over real quadratic fields

Theorem (F.-Siksek)

Let  $d \geq 2$  be squarefree, satisfying one of the following conditions

(i) 
$$d \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$$
,

- (ii)  $d \equiv 6 \text{ or } 10 \pmod{16}$ ,
- (iii)  $d \equiv 2 \pmod{16}$  and d has a prime divisor  $q \equiv 5 \text{ or } 7 \pmod{8}$ ,

(iv)  $d \equiv 14 \pmod{16}$  and d has some prime divisor  $q \equiv 3 \text{ or } 5 \pmod{8}$ .

Write  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ . Then there is an **effectively computable** constant  $B_K$  such that for all primes  $p > B_K$ , the Fermat equation with exponent p has no non-trivial solutions.

Moreover, for d > 5 satisfying  $d \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ , supposing that K satisfies assumption (**ES**), the same conclusion holds.

For any totally real field K there are the rational solutions (2, -1), (-1, 2) and (1/2, 1/2). These always satisfy (A) if  $T \neq \emptyset$  and (B) if  $U \neq \emptyset$ . We call them **irrelevant** solutions.

For any totally real field K there are the rational solutions (2, -1), (-1, 2) and (1/2, 1/2). These always satisfy (A) if  $T \neq \emptyset$  and (B) if  $U \neq \emptyset$ . We call them **irrelevant** solutions.

Let  $d \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  be squarefree. We computed the **relevant** solutions to the *S*-unit equation over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ :

For any totally real field K there are the rational solutions (2, -1), (-1,2) and (1/2,1/2). These always satisfy (A) if  $T \neq \emptyset$  and (B) if  $U \neq \emptyset$ . We call them **irrelevant** solutions.

Let  $d \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  be squarefree. We computed the **relevant** solutions to the S-unit equation over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ :

d	relevant elements of $\Lambda_S$ up to	extra conditions	]
	the action of $\mathfrak{S}_3$ and Galois conjugation		J
d = 2	$(\sqrt{2}, 1 - \sqrt{2}), (-16 + 12\sqrt{2}, 17 - 12\sqrt{2}),$		ĺ
	$(4+2\sqrt{2},-3+2\sqrt{2}), (-2+2\sqrt{2},3-2\sqrt{2})$		
d = 3	$(2+\sqrt{3},-1-\sqrt{3}), (8+4\sqrt{3},-7-4\sqrt{3})$		
d = 5	$((1+\sqrt{5})/2,(1-\sqrt{5})/2),(-8+4\sqrt{5},9-4\sqrt{5}),$		
	$(-1 + \sqrt{5}, 2 - \sqrt{5})$		
d = 6	$(-4+2\sqrt{6},5-2\sqrt{6})$		
$d \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$	none		
$d \neq 3$	none		
$d \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$	none		
$d \neq 5$	lione		J
$d \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$	$(2^{2s+1}+2^{s+1}w\sqrt{d}, 1-2^{2s+1}-2^{s+1}w\sqrt{d})$	$4^s - 1 = dw^2$	
		$s \ge 2, w \ne 0$	J
$d \equiv 2 \pmod{16}$ $d \neq 2$	$(-2^{2s}+2^{s}w\sqrt{d},1+2^{2s}-2^{s}w\sqrt{d})$	$4^s + 2 = dw^2$	1
		$s \ge 2, w \ne 0$	
$d \equiv 6 \pmod{16}$	none		1
$d \neq 6$	lione		J
$d \equiv 10 \pmod{16}$	none		
$d\equiv 14\pmod{16}$	$(2^{2s}+2^{s}w\sqrt{d},1-2^{2s}-2^{s}w\sqrt{d})$	$4^s - 2 = dw^2$	
		$s \ge 2, w \ne 0$	
	< □	> <四> < 回> < 回> <	Э

For any totally real field K there are the rational solutions (2, -1), (-1,2) and (1/2,1/2). These always satisfy (A) if  $T \neq \emptyset$  and (B) if  $U \neq \emptyset$ . We call them **irrelevant** solutions.

Let  $d \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  be squarefree. We computed the **relevant** solutions to the S-unit equation over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ :

d	relevant elements of $\Lambda_S$ up to	extra conditions	]
	the action of $\mathfrak{S}_3$ and Galois conjugation		J
d = 2	$(\sqrt{2}, 1 - \sqrt{2}), (-16 + 12\sqrt{2}, 17 - 12\sqrt{2}),$		ĺ
	$(4+2\sqrt{2},-3+2\sqrt{2}), (-2+2\sqrt{2},3-2\sqrt{2})$		
d = 3	$(2+\sqrt{3},-1-\sqrt{3}), (8+4\sqrt{3},-7-4\sqrt{3})$		
d = 5	$((1+\sqrt{5})/2,(1-\sqrt{5})/2),(-8+4\sqrt{5},9-4\sqrt{5}),$		
	$(-1 + \sqrt{5}, 2 - \sqrt{5})$		
d = 6	$(-4+2\sqrt{6},5-2\sqrt{6})$		
$d \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$	none		
$d \neq 3$	none		
$d \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$	none		
$d \neq 5$	lione		J
$d \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$	$(2^{2s+1}+2^{s+1}w\sqrt{d}, 1-2^{2s+1}-2^{s+1}w\sqrt{d})$	$4^s - 1 = dw^2$	
		$s \ge 2, w \ne 0$	J
$d \equiv 2 \pmod{16}$ $d \neq 2$	$(-2^{2s}+2^{s}w\sqrt{d},1+2^{2s}-2^{s}w\sqrt{d})$	$4^s + 2 = dw^2$	1
		$s \ge 2, w \ne 0$	
$d \equiv 6 \pmod{16}$	none		1
$d \neq 6$	lione		J
$d \equiv 10 \pmod{16}$	none		
$d\equiv 14\pmod{16}$	$(2^{2s}+2^{s}w\sqrt{d},1-2^{2s}-2^{s}w\sqrt{d})$	$4^s - 2 = dw^2$	
		$s \ge 2, w \ne 0$	
	< □	> <四> < 回> < 回> <	Э

# 1) Modularity of the Frey curves

After progress with modularity lifting by Gee, Barnet-Lamb, Geraghty, Breuil, Diamond, ...

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# 1) Modularity of the Frey curves

After progress with modularity lifting by Gee, Barnet-Lamb, Geraghty, Breuil, Diamond, ...

Theorem (Le Hung-F.-Siksek)

Let *K* be a totally real field. There are at most finitely many *j*-invariants of elliptic curves over *K* that are non-modular.

# 1) Modularity of the Frey curves

After progress with modularity lifting by Gee, Barnet-Lamb, Geraghty, Breuil, Diamond, ...

## Theorem (Le Hung-F.-Siksek)

Let K be a totally real field. There are at most finitely many *j*-invariants of elliptic curves over K that are non-modular.

### Corollary

There is some constant  $A_K$ , depending only on K, such that for  $p \ge A_K$  the Frey curve  $E : Y^2 = X(X - a^p)(X + b^p)$  is modular.
# 1) Modularity of the Frey curves

After progress with modularity lifting by Gee, Barnet-Lamb, Geraghty, Breuil, Diamond, ...

# Theorem (Le Hung-F.-Siksek)

Let K be a totally real field. There are at most finitely many *j*-invariants of elliptic curves over K that are non-modular.

#### Corollary

There is some constant  $A_K$ , depending only on K, such that for  $p \ge A_K$  the Frey curve  $E : Y^2 = X(X - a^p)(X + b^p)$  is modular.

#### Theorem (Le Hung-F.-Siksek)

Let C/K be a an elliptic curve over a real quadratic field K. Then C is modular over K.

$$N(\overline{\rho}_p) = rac{N}{M_p}, \qquad M_p = \prod_{\substack{\ell \mid N \ p \mid \psi_\ell(\Delta)}} \ell.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

$$N(\overline{\rho}_p) = \frac{N}{M_p}, \qquad M_p = \prod_{\substack{\ell \mid \mid N \\ p \mid v_\ell(\Delta)}} \ell.$$

Let  $q \neq 2$  be a prime. Suppose *a*, *b*,  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfy

$$a^p+b^p+c^p=0, \qquad abc
eq 0, \qquad \gcd(a,b,c)=(q).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

$$N(\overline{\rho}_p) = \frac{N}{M_p}, \qquad M_p = \prod_{\substack{\ell \mid |N \\ p \mid v_\ell(\Delta)}} \ell.$$

Let  $q \neq 2$  be a prime. Suppose *a*, *b*,  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfy

$$a^p+b^p+c^p=0, \qquad abc
eq 0, \qquad \gcd(a,b,c)=(q).$$

Let

$$E: y^2 = x(x - a^p)(x + b^p).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

$$N(\overline{\rho}_p) = rac{N}{M_p}, \qquad M_p = \prod_{\substack{\ell \mid \mid N \ p \mid \upsilon_\ell(\Delta)}} \ell.$$

Let  $q \neq 2$  be a prime. Suppose *a*, *b*,  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfy

$$a^p+b^p+c^p=0, \qquad abc
eq 0, \qquad \gcd(a,b,c)=(q).$$

Let

$$E: y^2 = x(x - a^p)(x + b^p).$$

By Tate's algorithm, E has additive reduction at q. So  $q^2 \parallel N$ .

$$N(\overline{\rho}_p) = rac{N}{M_p}, \qquad M_p = \prod_{\substack{\ell \mid \mid N \ p \mid \upsilon_\ell(\Delta)}} \ell.$$

Let  $q \neq 2$  be a prime. Suppose *a*, *b*,  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfy

$$a^p+b^p+c^p=0, \qquad abc
eq 0, \qquad \gcd(a,b,c)=(q).$$

Let

$$E: y^2 = x(x - a^p)(x + b^p).$$

By Tate's algorithm, *E* has additive reduction at *q*. So  $q^2 \parallel N$ . Thus  $N(\overline{\rho}_p) = 2q^2$ .

$$N(\overline{\rho}_p) = rac{N}{M_p}, \qquad M_p = \prod_{\substack{\ell \mid |N \ p \mid \upsilon_\ell(\Delta)}} \ell.$$

Let  $q \neq 2$  be a prime. Suppose *a*, *b*,  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfy

$$a^{
ho}+b^{
ho}+c^{
ho}=0, \qquad abc
eq 0, \qquad \gcd(a,b,c)=(q).$$

Let

$$E: y^2 = x(x - a^p)(x + b^p).$$

By Tate's algorithm, *E* has additive reduction at *q*. So  $q^2 \parallel N$ . Thus  $N(\overline{\rho}_p) = 2q^2$ .

Number of newforms of weight 2 and level  $2q^2$  is roughly  $q^2/6$ .

$$N(\overline{\rho}_p) = rac{N}{M_p}, \qquad M_p = \prod_{\substack{\ell \mid \mid N \ p \mid \upsilon_\ell(\Delta)}} \ell.$$

Let  $q \neq 2$  be a prime. Suppose *a*, *b*,  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfy

$$a^
ho+b^
ho+c^
ho=0, \qquad abc
eq 0, \qquad \gcd(a,b,c)=(q).$$

Let

$$E: y^2 = x(x - a^p)(x + b^p).$$

By Tate's algorithm, *E* has additive reduction at *q*. So  $q^2 \parallel N$ . Thus  $N(\overline{\rho}_p) = 2q^2$ .

Number of newforms of weight 2 and level  $2q^2$  is roughly  $q^2/6$ .

Fortunate Fact: 
$$h(\mathbb{Q}) = 1$$
.

Let K be a totally real number field.

**Convention:** Choose prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_h \nmid 6$  that are representatives for the class group  $Cl(\mathcal{K})$  and have smallest possible norm.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Let K be a totally real number field.

**Convention:** Choose prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_h \nmid 6$  that are representatives for the class group  $Cl(\mathcal{K})$  and have smallest possible norm.

Suppose (a, b, c) is a solution to the Fermat equation

$$a^p+b^p+c^p=0, \qquad a,b,c\in \mathcal{O}_K, \qquad abc
eq 0.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Let K be a totally real number field.

**Convention:** Choose prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_h \nmid 6$  that are representatives for the class group  $Cl(\mathcal{K})$  and have smallest possible norm.

Suppose (a, b, c) is a solution to the Fermat equation

$$a^p+b^p+c^p=0, \qquad a,b,c\in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}}, \qquad abc
eq 0.$$

Write  $gcd(a, b, c) = aO_K + bO_K + cO_K$ . Then, in Cl(K)

 $[gcd(a, b, c)] = [p_i],$  for some *i*.

Let K be a totally real number field.

**Convention:** Choose prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_h \nmid 6$  that are representatives for the class group  $Cl(\mathcal{K})$  and have smallest possible norm.

Suppose (a, b, c) is a solution to the Fermat equation

$$a^{p}+b^{p}+c^{p}=0, \qquad a,b,c\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}}, \qquad abc
eq 0.$$

Write  $gcd(a, b, c) = aO_K + bO_K + cO_K$ . Then, in Cl(K)

 $[gcd(a, b, c)] = [p_i],$  for some *i*.

By appropriate scaling  $gcd(a, b, c) = p_i$  for some *i*.

Let K be a totally real number field.

**Convention:** Choose prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_h \nmid 6$  that are representatives for the class group  $Cl(\mathcal{K})$  and have smallest possible norm.

Suppose (a, b, c) is a solution to the Fermat equation

$$a^{p}+b^{p}+c^{p}=0, \qquad a,b,c\in\mathcal{O}_{K}, \qquad abc
eq 0.$$

Write  $gcd(a, b, c) = aO_K + bO_K + cO_K$ . Then, in Cl(K)

 $[gcd(a, b, c)] = [p_i],$  for some *i*.

By appropriate scaling  $gcd(a, b, c) = p_i$  for some *i*.

Then, by Tate's algorithm the conductor of the Frey curve is

$$\mathcal{N} = \mathfrak{p}_i^2 \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|2} \mathfrak{P}^{u_{\mathfrak{P}}} \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{q}\nmid 2\mathfrak{p}_i} \mathfrak{q}, \quad \text{thus} \quad \mathcal{N}(\overline{\rho}_{\rho}) = \mathfrak{p}_i^2 \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|2} \mathfrak{P}^{u_{\mathfrak{P}}}.$$

Level Lowering—after Fujiwara, Jarvis and Rajaei Let E/K an elliptic curve of conductor  $\mathcal{N}$ . Denote by  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{q}}$  the discriminant of a local minimal model for E at  $\mathfrak{q}$ . Let

$$\mathcal{M}_{p} := \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{q} \parallel \mathcal{N}, \\ p \mid \text{ord}_{\mathfrak{q}}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{q}})}} \mathfrak{q}, \qquad \qquad \mathcal{N}(\bar{\rho}_{E,p}) := \frac{\mathcal{N}}{\mathcal{M}_{p}}.$$
(2)

. .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Level Lowering—after Fujiwara, Jarvis and Rajaei Let E/K an elliptic curve of conductor  $\mathcal{N}$ . Denote by  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{q}}$  the discriminant of a local minimal model for E at  $\mathfrak{q}$ . Let

$$\mathcal{M}_{p} := \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{q} \parallel \mathcal{N}, \\ p \mid \text{ord}_{\mathfrak{q}}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{q}})}} \mathfrak{q}, \qquad \qquad \mathcal{N}(\bar{\rho}_{E,p}) := \frac{\mathcal{N}}{\mathcal{M}_{p}}.$$
(2)

Theorem (Level Lowering recipe)

With the above notation, suppose the following

(i) 
$$p \ge 5$$
 and p is unramified in K,

(ii) E is modular,

(iii)  $\overline{\rho}_{E,p}$  is irreducible,

(iv) E is semistable at all  $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$ ,

(v)  $p \mid \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}})$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$ .

Then, there is a Hilbert eigenform  $\mathfrak{f}$  of parallel weight 2 that is new at level  $N(\bar{\rho}_{E,p})$  and some  $\lambda \mid p$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathfrak{f}}$  such that  $\overline{\rho}_{E,p} \sim \overline{\rho}_{\mathfrak{f},\lambda}$ .

Recall that to a solution of

 $a^p + b^p + c^p = 0,$   $a, b, c \in \mathcal{O}_K,$   $abc \neq 0,$ 

we associate the Frey curve

$$E: Y^2 = X(X - a^p)(X + b^p).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Recall that to a solution of

 $a^{p}+b^{p}+c^{p}=0, \qquad a,b,c\in \mathcal{O}_{K}, \qquad abc
eq 0,$ 

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

we associate the Frey curve  $E: Y^2 = X(X - a^p)(X + b^p).$ 

Write  $\overline{\rho}_p$  for the representation arising from the *p*-torsion of *E*.

Recall that to a solution of

 $a^{p}+b^{p}+c^{p}=0, \qquad a,b,c\in\mathcal{O}_{K}, \qquad abc
eq 0,$ 

we associate the Frey curve  $E: Y^2 = X(X - a^p)(X + b^p).$ Write  $\overline{\rho}_p$  for the representation arising from the *p*-torsion of *E*. Fact

There is a constant  $C'_K$  such that  $\overline{\rho}_p$  is irreducible for all  $p > C'_K$ .

Recall that to a solution of

 $a^p + b^p + c^p = 0,$   $a, b, c \in \mathcal{O}_K,$   $abc \neq 0,$ 

we associate the Frey curve  $E: Y^2 = X(X - a^p)(X + b^p).$ 

Write  $\overline{p}_p$  for the representation arising from the *p*-torsion of *E*. Fact

There is a constant  $C'_K$  such that  $\overline{\rho}_p$  is irreducible for all  $p > C'_K$ .

#### Corollary (of Level Lowering)

There is some constant  $B_K$  such that if  $p > B_K$  then  $\overline{\rho}_p$  arises from a Hilbert eigenform  $\mathfrak{f}$  of level  $N(\overline{\rho}_p)$ .

Recall that to a solution of

 $a^p + b^p + c^p = 0,$   $a, b, c \in \mathcal{O}_K,$   $abc \neq 0,$ 

we associate the Frey curve  $E: Y^2 = X(X - a^p)(X + b^p).$ 

Write  $\overline{\rho}_p$  for the representation arising from the *p*-torsion of *E*. Fact

There is a constant  $C'_K$  such that  $\overline{\rho}_p$  is irreducible for all  $p > C'_K$ .

#### Corollary (of Level Lowering)

There is some constant  $B_K$  such that if  $p > B_K$  then  $\overline{\rho}_p$  arises from a Hilbert eigenform  $\mathfrak{f}$  of level  $N(\overline{\rho}_p)$ .

#### Theorem

Let K be a totally real field satisfying assumption **(ES)**. There is a constant  $C_K$  such that for  $p > C_K$  then  $\mathfrak{f}$  corresponds to an elliptic curve E' defined over K with full 2-torsion.

### Corollary

For  $p > C_K$  then there is an elliptic curve E'/K of conductor  $N(\overline{\rho}_p)$  with full 2-torsion such that

$$\overline{
ho}_{p} \sim \overline{
ho}_{p}'$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

where  $\overline{\rho}'_p$  arises from the *p*-torsion of *E*'.

### Corollary

For  $p > C_K$  then there is an elliptic curve E'/K of conductor  $N(\overline{\rho}_p)$  with full 2-torsion such that

$$\overline{\rho}_{p} \sim \overline{\rho}_{p}'$$

where  $\overline{\rho}'_p$  arises from the *p*-torsion of *E*'.

**Objective:** We want to control elliptic curves E' with full 2-torsion and conductor

$$N(\overline{\rho}_{\rho}) = \mathfrak{p}^2 \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|2} \mathfrak{P}^{u_{\mathfrak{P}}}, \qquad \mathfrak{p} \in \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_h\}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

### Corollary

For  $p > C_K$  then there is an elliptic curve E'/K of conductor  $N(\overline{\rho}_p)$  with full 2-torsion such that

$$\overline{\rho}_{p} \sim \overline{\rho}_{p}'$$

where  $\overline{\rho}'_p$  arises from the *p*-torsion of *E*'.

**Objective:** We want to control elliptic curves E' with full 2-torsion and conductor

$$N(\overline{\rho}_{\rho}) = \mathfrak{p}^2 \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|2} \mathfrak{P}^{u_{\mathfrak{P}}}, \qquad \mathfrak{p} \in \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_h\}.$$

We can write E' as

$$E': y^2 = x(x-r)(x+s), \qquad r+s+t=0, \qquad r,s,t\in \mathcal{O}_K\setminus\{0\}.$$

We want elliptic curves E' with full 2-torsion and conductor

$$N(\overline{\rho}_p) = \mathfrak{p}^2 \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|2} \mathfrak{P}^{u_{\mathfrak{P}}}, \qquad \mathfrak{p} \in {\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_h}.$$

$$E': y^2 = x(x-r)(x+s), \qquad r+s+t=0, \qquad r,s,t\in \mathcal{O}_K\setminus\{0\}.$$

We want elliptic curves E' with full 2-torsion and conductor

$$N(\overline{\rho}_p) = \mathfrak{p}^2 \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|2} \mathfrak{P}^{u_{\mathfrak{P}}}, \qquad \mathfrak{p} \in {\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_h}.$$

$$E': y^2 = x(x-r)(x+s), \qquad r+s+t=0, \qquad r,s,t\in \mathcal{O}_K\setminus\{0\}.$$

#### Write

$$(r) = \mathfrak{p}^{\alpha} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{q}^{\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}} \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|2} \mathfrak{P}^{?} \quad (s) = \mathfrak{p}^{\beta} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{q}^{\mu_{\mathfrak{q}}} \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|2} \mathfrak{P}^{?} \quad (t) = \mathfrak{p}^{\gamma} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{q}^{\nu_{\mathfrak{q}}} \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|2} \mathfrak{P}^{?}$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

where  $\mathfrak{q} \nmid (2) \cdot \mathfrak{p}$ .

We want elliptic curves E' with full 2-torsion and conductor

$$N(\overline{\rho}_{\rho}) = \mathfrak{p}^2 \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|2} \mathfrak{P}^{u_{\mathfrak{P}}}, \qquad \mathfrak{p} \in {\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_h}.$$

$$E': y^2 = x(x-r)(x+s), \qquad r+s+t=0, \qquad r,s,t\in \mathcal{O}_K\setminus\{0\}.$$

#### Write

$$(r) = \mathfrak{p}^{\alpha} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{q}^{\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}} \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|2} \mathfrak{P}^{?} \quad (s) = \mathfrak{p}^{\beta} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{q}^{\mu_{\mathfrak{q}}} \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|2} \mathfrak{P}^{?} \quad (t) = \mathfrak{p}^{\gamma} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{q}^{\nu_{\mathfrak{q}}} \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|2} \mathfrak{P}^{?}$$

where q∤(2) · p. From Tate's Algorithm: For all q,

$$\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} = \mu_{\mathfrak{q}} = \nu_{\mathfrak{q}} \in 2\mathbb{Z}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

 $\min\{\alpha,\beta,\gamma\}\in 2\mathbb{Z}+1.$ 

Write where 
$$\mathfrak{q} \nmid (2) \cdot \mathfrak{p}$$
.  
 $(r) = \mathfrak{p}^{\alpha} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{q}^{\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{P}^{\gamma} \quad (s) = \mathfrak{p}^{\beta} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{q}^{\mu_{\mathfrak{q}}} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{P}^{\gamma} \quad (t) = \mathfrak{p}^{\gamma} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{q}^{\nu_{\mathfrak{q}}} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{P}^{\gamma} \cdot \prod p} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{p}^{\gamma} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{p}^$ 

From Tate's Algorithm:

For all q,

$$\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} = \mu_{\mathfrak{q}} = \nu_{\mathfrak{q}} \in 2\mathbb{Z}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

 $\min\{\alpha,\beta,\gamma\}\in 2\mathbb{Z}+1.$ 

Write where 
$$\mathfrak{q} \nmid (2) \cdot \mathfrak{p}$$
.  
 $(r) = \mathfrak{p}^{\alpha} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{q}^{\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{P}^{?} \quad (s) = \mathfrak{p}^{\beta} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{q}^{\mu_{\mathfrak{q}}} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{P}^{?} \quad (t) = \mathfrak{p}^{\gamma} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{q}^{\nu_{\mathfrak{q}}} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{P}^{?} \quad (t) = \mathfrak{p}^{\gamma} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{q}^{\nu_{\mathfrak{q}}} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{P}^{?} \quad (t) = \mathfrak{p}^{\gamma} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{q}^{\nu_{\mathfrak{q}}} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{P}^{?} \quad (t) = \mathfrak{p}^{\gamma} \cdot \prod p} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{p}^$ 

From Tate's Algorithm:

For all q,

$$\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} = \mu_{\mathfrak{q}} = \nu_{\mathfrak{q}} \in 2\mathbb{Z}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

 $\min\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1. \text{ WLOG } \alpha = 2u + 1.$ 

Write where 
$$\mathfrak{q} \nmid (2) \cdot \mathfrak{p}$$
.  
 $(r) = \mathfrak{p}^{\alpha} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{q}^{\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{P}^{2} \mathfrak{P}^{?} \quad (s) = \mathfrak{p}^{\beta} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{q}^{\mu_{\mathfrak{q}}} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{P}^{2} \mathfrak{P}^{?} \quad (t) = \mathfrak{p}^{\gamma} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{q}^{\nu_{\mathfrak{q}}} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{P}^{2} \mathfrak{P}^{?}$ 

From Tate's Algorithm:

For all q,

$$\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} = \mu_{\mathfrak{q}} = \nu_{\mathfrak{q}} \in 2\mathbb{Z}.$$

 $\min\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1.$  WLOG  $\alpha = 2u + 1.$  Write  $\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} = 2\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}.$ 

Write where 
$$\mathfrak{q} \nmid (2) \cdot \mathfrak{p}$$
.  
 $(r) = \mathfrak{p}^{\alpha} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{q}^{\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{P}^{2} \mathfrak{P}^{?} \quad (s) = \mathfrak{p}^{\beta} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{q}^{\mu_{\mathfrak{q}}} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{P}^{2} \mathfrak{P}^{?} \quad (t) = \mathfrak{p}^{\gamma} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{q}^{\nu_{\mathfrak{q}}} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{P}^{2} \mathfrak{P}^{?}$ 

From Tate's Algorithm:

For all q,

$$\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} = \mu_{\mathfrak{q}} = \nu_{\mathfrak{q}} \in 2\mathbb{Z}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

 $\min\{\alpha,\beta,\gamma\}\in 2\mathbb{Z}+1.\ \text{WLOG}\ \alpha=2u+1.\ \text{Write}\ \lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}=2\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}.$  Then

$$(r) = \mathfrak{p} \cdot \left(\mathfrak{p}^{u} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{q}^{\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}}\right)^{2} \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|2} \mathfrak{P}^{?}.$$

Write where 
$$\mathfrak{q} \nmid (2) \cdot \mathfrak{p}$$
.  
 $(r) = \mathfrak{p}^{\alpha} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{q}^{\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{P}^{2} \mathfrak{P}^{?} \quad (s) = \mathfrak{p}^{\beta} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{q}^{\mu_{\mathfrak{q}}} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{P}^{2} \mathfrak{P}^{?} \quad (t) = \mathfrak{p}^{\gamma} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{q}^{\nu_{\mathfrak{q}}} \cdot \prod \mathfrak{P}^{2} \mathfrak{P}^{?}$ 

From Tate's Algorithm:

For all q,

$$\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} = \mu_{\mathfrak{q}} = \nu_{\mathfrak{q}} \in 2\mathbb{Z}.$$

 $\min\{\alpha,\beta,\gamma\}\in 2\mathbb{Z}+1.\ \text{WLOG}\ \alpha=2u+1.\ \text{Write}\ \lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}=2\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}.$  Then

$$(r) = \mathfrak{p} \cdot \left( \mathfrak{p}^u \cdot \prod \mathfrak{q}^{\delta_\mathfrak{q}} 
ight)^2 \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|2} \mathfrak{P}^?.$$

Hence

$$[\mathfrak{p}] = [\mathfrak{a}]^2 \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|2} [\mathfrak{P}]^?$$
 in  $Cl(\mathcal{K})$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# 2) Removing the dependence of $N(\overline{\rho}_p)$ on the solution Started with (a, b, c) a solution to the Fermat equation $a^p + b^p + c^p = 0$ , $a, b, c \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , $abc \neq 0$ .

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

2) Removing the dependence of  $N(\overline{\rho}_p)$  on the solution Started with (a, b, c) a solution to the Fermat equation  $a^p + b^p + c^p = 0, \quad a, b, c \in \mathcal{O}_K, \quad abc \neq 0.$ 

Noted that in CI(K)

 $[\gcd(a,b,c)] = [\mathfrak{p}],$ 

where  $\mathfrak{p}$  is one of the representatives  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_h$  of Cl(K).

2) Removing the dependence of  $N(\overline{\rho}_p)$  on the solution Started with (a, b, c) a solution to the Fermat equation  $a^p + b^p + c^p = 0$  as  $b, c \in O$ , where c = 0

 $a^p + b^p + c^p = 0,$   $a, b, c \in \mathcal{O}_K,$   $abc \neq 0.$ 

Noted that in CI(K)

 $[\gcd(a, b, c)] = [\mathfrak{p}],$ 

where  $\mathfrak{p}$  is one of the representatives  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_h$  of Cl(K). We scaled *a*, *b*, *c* so that  $gcd(a, b, c) = \mathfrak{p}$ . 2) Removing the dependence of  $N(\overline{\rho}_p)$  on the solution

Started with (a, b, c) a solution to the Fermat equation

$$a^p + b^p + c^p = 0,$$
  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{O}_K,$   $abc \neq 0.$ 

Noted that in CI(K)

 $[\gcd(a, b, c)] = [\mathfrak{p}],$ 

where  $\mathfrak{p}$  is one of the representatives  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_h$  of  $Cl(\mathcal{K})$ . We scaled *a*, *b*, *c* so that  $gcd(a, b, c) = \mathfrak{p}$ . We found (for  $p > C_{\mathcal{K}}$ )  $[gcd(a, b, c)] = [\mathfrak{p}] = [\mathfrak{a}]^2 \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|2} [\mathfrak{P}]^2$ .

2) Removing the dependence of  $N(\overline{\rho}_p)$  on the solution

Started with (a, b, c) a solution to the Fermat equation

$$a^p + b^p + c^p = 0,$$
  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{O}_K,$   $abc \neq 0.$ 

Noted that in CI(K)

 $[\gcd(a, b, c)] = [\mathfrak{p}],$ 

where  $\mathfrak{p}$  is one of the representatives  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_h$  of  $Cl(\mathcal{K})$ . We scaled *a*, *b*, *c* so that  $gcd(a, b, c) = \mathfrak{p}$ . We found (for  $p > C_{\mathcal{K}}$ )  $[gcd(a, b, c)] = [\mathfrak{p}] = [\mathfrak{a}]^2 \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|2} [\mathfrak{P}]^?$ . Thus

$$[\gcd(a,b,c)] = [\mathfrak{p}']^2 \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|2} [\mathfrak{P}]^?, \qquad \mathfrak{p}' \in \{\mathfrak{p}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{p}_h\}.$$
Started with (a, b, c) a solution to the Fermat equation

$$a^p + b^p + c^p = 0,$$
  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{O}_K,$   $abc \neq 0.$ 

Noted that in Cl(K)

 $[\operatorname{gcd}(a, b, c)] = [\mathfrak{p}],$ 

where  $\mathfrak{p}$  is one of the representatives  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_h$  of Cl(K). We scaled a, b, c so that  $gcd(a, b, c) = \mathfrak{p}$ . We found (for  $p > C_K$ )  $[gcd(a, b, c)] = [\mathfrak{p}] = [\mathfrak{a}]^2 \prod_{\mathfrak{N}|2} [\mathfrak{P}]^?$ . Thus

$$[\gcd(a, b, c)] = [\mathfrak{p}']^2 \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|2} [\mathfrak{P}]^?, \qquad \mathfrak{p}' \in \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_h\}.$$

Can rescale (a, b, c) so that

$$gcd(a, b, c) = {\mathfrak{p}'}^2 \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|2} \mathfrak{P}^?.$$

Can rescale (a, b, c) so that

$$\mathsf{gcd}(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b},\mathsf{c})={\mathfrak{p}'}^2\prod_{\mathfrak{P}|2}\mathfrak{P}^?.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Can rescale (a, b, c) so that

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{gcd}}(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b},\mathsf{c})={\mathfrak{p}'}^2\prod_{\mathfrak{P}\mid 2}\mathfrak{P}^?.$$

So, again by Tate's algorithm,  $E_{(a,b,c)}$  is semistable at  $\mathfrak{p}'$ , thus

$$N(\overline{\rho}_p) = \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|2} \mathfrak{P}^?.$$

Can rescale (a, b, c) so that

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{gcd}}(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b},\mathsf{c})={\mathfrak{p}'}^2\prod_{\mathfrak{P}\mid 2}\mathfrak{P}^?.$$

So, again by Tate's algorithm,  $E_{(a,b,c)}$  is semistable at  $\mathfrak{p}'$ , thus

$$N(\overline{\rho}_p) = \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|2} \mathfrak{P}^?.$$

#### Corollary (of Level Lowering)

There is a constant  $D_K$  such that for  $p > D_K$  there is an elliptic curve E'/K of conductor  $N(\overline{\rho}_p) = \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|2} \mathfrak{P}^?$  with full 2-torsion such that

$$\overline{\rho}_{p} \sim \overline{\rho}_{p}^{\prime}$$

We have  $\overline{\rho}_p \sim \overline{\rho}'_p$  for some E' with full 2-torsion and good reduction outside primes dividing 2.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

We have  $\overline{\rho}_{\rho} \sim \overline{\rho}'_{\rho}$  for some E' with full 2-torsion and good reduction outside primes dividing 2.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

**Question:** Are there candidates for E'?

We have  $\overline{\rho}_{\rho} \sim \overline{\rho}'_{\rho}$  for some E' with full 2-torsion and good reduction outside primes dividing 2.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

**Question:** Are there candidates for E'?

Unfortunately, yes.

We have  $\overline{\rho}_{\rho} \sim \overline{\rho}'_{\rho}$  for some E' with full 2-torsion and good reduction outside primes dividing 2.

**Question:** Are there candidates for E'?

Unfortunately, yes. For example, we can get candidates from 'solutions':

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

We have  $\overline{\rho}_{\rho} \sim \overline{\rho}'_{\rho}$  for some E' with full 2-torsion and good reduction outside primes dividing 2.

**Question:** Are there candidates for E'?

Unfortunately, yes. For example, we can get candidates from 'solutions':

solutions satisfying abc = 0

We have  $\overline{\rho}_{\rho} \sim \overline{\rho}'_{\rho}$  for some E' with full 2-torsion and good reduction outside primes dividing 2.

**Question:** Are there candidates for E'?

Unfortunately, yes. For example, we can get candidates from 'solutions':

solutions satisfying abc = 0 (gives singular E')

We have  $\overline{\rho}_{\rho} \sim \overline{\rho}'_{\rho}$  for some E' with full 2-torsion and good reduction outside primes dividing 2.

**Question:** Are there candidates for E'?

Unfortunately, yes. For example, we can get candidates from 'solutions':

solutions satisfying abc=0 (gives singular E')  $1^p+\omega^p+(\omega^2)^p=0$ 

We have  $\overline{\rho}_{\rho} \sim \overline{\rho}'_{\rho}$  for some E' with full 2-torsion and good reduction outside primes dividing 2.

**Question:** Are there candidates for E'?

Unfortunately, yes. For example, we can get candidates from 'solutions':

solutions satisfying abc = 0 (gives singular E')  $1^{p} + \omega^{p} + (\omega^{2})^{p} = 0$  (gives E' of conductor 144)

We have  $\overline{\rho}_{\rho} \sim \overline{\rho}'_{\rho}$  for some E' with full 2-torsion and good reduction outside primes dividing 2.

**Question:** Are there candidates for E'?

Unfortunately, yes. For example, we can get candidates from 'solutions':

solutions satisfying abc = 0 (gives singular E')  $1^{p} + \omega^{p} + (\omega^{2})^{p} = 0$  (gives E' of conductor 144)  $1^{p} + 1^{p} = 2 \times 1^{p}$ 

We have  $\overline{\rho}_{\rho} \sim \overline{\rho}'_{\rho}$  for some E' with full 2-torsion and good reduction outside primes dividing 2.

**Question:** Are there candidates for E'?

Unfortunately, yes. For example, we can get candidates from 'solutions':

solutions satisfying abc = 0 (gives singular E')  $1^{p} + \omega^{p} + (\omega^{2})^{p} = 0$  (gives E' of conductor 144)  $1^{p} + 1^{p} = 2 \times 1^{p}$  (TROUBLE!!)

We have  $\overline{\rho}_{\rho} \sim \overline{\rho}'_{\rho}$  for some E' with full 2-torsion and good reduction outside primes dividing 2.

**Question:** Are there candidates for E'?

Unfortunately, yes. For example, we can get candidates from 'solutions':

solutions satisfying abc = 0 (gives singular E')  $1^{p} + \omega^{p} + (\omega^{2})^{p} = 0$  (gives E' of conductor 144)  $1^{p} + 1^{p} = 2 \times 1^{p}$  (TROUBLE!!)

E' :  $y^2 = x(x-1)(x+1)$  (32A2), j = 1728. has conductor  $\prod_{\mathfrak{P}|2} \mathfrak{P}^?$ .

We have  $\overline{\rho}_{\rho} \sim \overline{\rho}'_{\rho}$  for some E' with full 2-torsion and good reduction outside primes dividing 2.

**Question:** Are there candidates for E'?

Unfortunately, yes. For example, we can get candidates from 'solutions':

solutions satisfying abc = 0 (gives singular E')  $1^{p} + \omega^{p} + (\omega^{2})^{p} = 0$  (gives E' of conductor 144)  $1^{p} + 1^{p} = 2 \times 1^{p}$  (TROUBLE!!)

 $E' : y^2 = x(x-1)(x+1)$  (32A2), j = 1728. has conductor  $\prod_{\mathfrak{P}|2} \mathfrak{P}^?$ .

Question: Can we rule out  $\overline{\rho}_p \sim \overline{\rho}_p'$ ?

**Suppose**  $T \neq \emptyset$ : there exists  $\mathfrak{P} \mid 2$  in K such that  $f(\mathfrak{P}/2) = 1$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{P} = \mathbb{F}_2$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

**Suppose**  $T \neq \emptyset$ : there exists  $\mathfrak{P} \mid 2$  in K such that  $f(\mathfrak{P}/2) = 1$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{P} = \mathbb{F}_2$ .

As  $a^p + b^p + c^p = 0$ , one of  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(a^p)$ ,  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(b^p)$ ,  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(c^p)$  is much larger than the others. Write  $E = E_{a,b,c}$ .

**Suppose**  $T \neq \emptyset$ : there exists  $\mathfrak{P} \mid 2$  in K such that  $f(\mathfrak{P}/2) = 1$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{P} = \mathbb{F}_2$ .

As  $a^p + b^p + c^p = 0$ , one of  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(a^p)$ ,  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(b^p)$ ,  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(c^p)$  is much larger than the others. Write  $E = E_{a,b,c}$ . Then, for large p,

- we have  $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{P}}(j(E)) < 0$ ,

**Suppose**  $T \neq \emptyset$ : there exists  $\mathfrak{P} \mid 2$  in K such that  $f(\mathfrak{P}/2) = 1$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{P} = \mathbb{F}_2$ .

As  $a^p + b^p + c^p = 0$ , one of  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(a^p)$ ,  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(b^p)$ ,  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(c^p)$  is much larger than the others. Write  $E = E_{a,b,c}$ . Then, for large p,

- we have  $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{P}}(j(E)) < 0$ , hence  $E/K_{\mathfrak{P}}$  is a Tate curve (after possibly taking a quadratic extension)

- and  $p \nmid \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{P}}(j(E))$ ,

**Suppose**  $T \neq \emptyset$ : there exists  $\mathfrak{P} \mid 2$  in K such that  $f(\mathfrak{P}/2) = 1$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{P} = \mathbb{F}_2$ .

As  $a^{p} + b^{p} + c^{p} = 0$ , one of  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(a^{p})$ ,  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(b^{p})$ ,  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(c^{p})$  is much larger than the others. Write  $E = E_{a,b,c}$ . Then, for large p,

we have ord<sub>p</sub>(j(E)) < 0, hence E/K<sub>p</sub> is a Tate curve (after possibly taking a quadratic extension)

- and  $p \nmid \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{P}}(j(E))$ , hence  $p \mid \#\overline{\rho}_{E,p}(I_{\mathfrak{P}})$ .

**Suppose**  $T \neq \emptyset$ : there exists  $\mathfrak{P} \mid 2$  in K such that  $f(\mathfrak{P}/2) = 1$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{P} = \mathbb{F}_2$ .

As  $a^p + b^p + c^p = 0$ , one of  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(a^p)$ ,  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(b^p)$ ,  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(c^p)$  is much larger than the others. Write  $E = E_{a,b,c}$ . Then, for large p,

- we have  $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{P}}(j(E)) < 0$ , hence  $E/K_{\mathfrak{P}}$  is a Tate curve (after possibly taking a quadratic extension)

- and  $p \nmid \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{P}}(j(E))$ , hence  $p \mid \#\overline{\rho}_{E,p}(I_{\mathfrak{P}})$ .

On the other hand,

- The curve E' has potentially good reduction at  $\mathfrak{P}$ ;

**Suppose**  $T \neq \emptyset$ : there exists  $\mathfrak{P} \mid 2$  in K such that  $f(\mathfrak{P}/2) = 1$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{P} = \mathbb{F}_2$ .

As  $a^{p} + b^{p} + c^{p} = 0$ , one of  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(a^{p})$ ,  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(b^{p})$ ,  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(c^{p})$  is much larger than the others. Write  $E = E_{a,b,c}$ . Then, for large p,

we have ord<sub>p</sub>(j(E)) < 0, hence E/K<sub>p</sub> is a Tate curve (after possibly taking a quadratic extension)

- and  $p \nmid \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{P}}(j(E))$ , hence  $p \mid \#\overline{\rho}_{E,p}(I_{\mathfrak{P}})$ .

On the other hand,

The curve E' has potentially good reduction at 𝔅;
 Hence, p
<sub>E',p</sub>(k<sub>𝔅</sub>) has order 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 or 24;
 This gives a contradiction for p ≥ 5!

#### Theorem

Let K be a totally real field satisfying assumption **(ES)**. There is a constant  $B_K$  depending only on K such that the following hold. Let (a, b, c) be a non-trivial solution to the Fermat equation with prime exponent  $p > B_K$ . Then, after proper rescaling, there is an elliptic curve E' over K such that

(i) the conductor of E' is divisible only by primes in S;
(ii) #E'(K)[2] = 4;
(iii) p
<sub>E,p</sub> ~ p
<sub>E',p</sub>;
Write j' for the j-invariant of E'. Then,
(a) for 𝔅 ∈ T, we have ord<sub>𝔅</sub>(j') < 0;</li>
(b) for 𝔅 ∈ U, we have either ord<sub>𝔅</sub>(j') < 0 or 3 ∤ ord<sub>𝔅</sub>(j').

#### Results - Fermat over totally real fields

#### Theorem (F.-Siksek)

Let K be a totally real field satisfying assumption **(ES)**. Let S, T and U be as before. Write  $\mathcal{O}_{S}^{*}$  for the set of S-units of K. Suppose that for every solution  $(\lambda, \mu)$  to the S-unit equation

$$\lambda + \mu = 1, \qquad \lambda, \, \mu \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}^* \,.$$

there is

(A) either some  $\mathfrak{P} \in T$  that satisfies

$$\max\{|\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{P}}(\lambda)|, |\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mu)|\} \le 4\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{P}}(2), \tag{3}$$

(B) or some  $\mathfrak{P} \in U$  that satisfies both (3) and

$$\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{P}}(\lambda\mu) \equiv \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{P}}(2) \pmod{3}.$$

Then there is some constant  $B_K$  such that for all  $p > B_K$ , the Fermat equation with exponent p has no non-trivial solutions.

#### Results – a density theorem

For a subset  $\mathcal{U}\subseteq\mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{sf}},$  define the relative density of  $\mathcal U$  as

$$\delta_{\mathrm{rel}}(\mathcal{U}) = \lim_{X o \infty} rac{\#\{d \in \mathcal{U} : d \leq X\}}{\#\{d \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{sf}} : d \leq X\}}.$$

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

#### Results - a density theorem

For a subset  $\mathcal{U}\subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{sf}},$  define the relative density of  $\mathcal{U}$  as

$$\delta_{\mathrm{rel}}(\mathcal{U}) = \lim_{X \to \infty} rac{\#\{d \in \mathcal{U} : d \leq X\}}{\#\{d \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{sf}} : d \leq X\}}.$$

Define also

 $\mathcal{C} = \{ d \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{sf}} : \text{the } S\text{-unit equation has no relevant solutions in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}) \}$  $\mathcal{D} = \{ d \in \mathcal{C} : d \not\equiv 5 \pmod{8} \}.$ 

#### Results – a density theorem

For a subset  $\mathcal{U}\subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{sf}},$  define the relative density of  $\mathcal{U}$  as

$$\delta_{\mathrm{rel}}(\mathcal{U}) = \lim_{X \to \infty} rac{\#\{d \in \mathcal{U} : d \leq X\}}{\#\{d \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{sf}} : d \leq X\}}.$$

Define also

 $\mathcal{C} = \{ d \in \mathbb{N}^{\text{sf}} : \text{the } S\text{-unit equation has no relevant solutions in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}) \}$  $\mathcal{D} = \{ d \in \mathcal{C} : d \not\equiv 5 \pmod{8} \}.$ 

#### Theorem

Let C and D be as above. Then

$$\delta_{\mathrm{rel}}(\mathcal{C}) = 1, \qquad \delta_{\mathrm{rel}}(\mathcal{D}) = \frac{5}{6}.$$
 (4)

#### Results – a density theorem

For a subset  $\mathcal{U}\subseteq\mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{sf}},$  define the relative density of  $\mathcal U$  as

$$\delta_{\mathrm{rel}}(\mathcal{U}) = \lim_{X \to \infty} rac{\#\{d \in \mathcal{U} : d \leq X\}}{\#\{d \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{sf}} : d \leq X\}}.$$

Define also

 $\mathcal{C} = \{ d \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{sf}} : \text{the } S\text{-unit equation has no relevant solutions in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}) \}$  $\mathcal{D} = \{ d \in \mathcal{C} : d \not\equiv 5 \pmod{8} \}.$ 

#### Theorem

Let C and D be as above. Then

$$\delta_{\rm rel}(\mathcal{C}) = 1, \qquad \delta_{\rm rel}(\mathcal{D}) = \frac{5}{6}.$$
 (4)

Furthermore, if  $d \in D$  and  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ , then there is some effectively computable  $B_K$  such that for  $p > B_K$  the Fermat equation has no non-trivial solutions with exponent p. The same conclusion holds for  $d \in C$  if we assume **(ES)**.

# The End!