

Galois representations associated to ordinary Hilbert modular forms: Wiles' theorem

Francesc Fité (Universität Duisburg-Essen)

STNB, Barcelona, 29th January 2015.

Layout

- 1 Wiles' result and general notations
- 2 General strategy of the proof
- 3 Tools for the proof
- 4 Sketch of the proof
- 5 Are there any ordinary primes?

Layout

- 1 Wiles' result and general notations
- 2 General strategy of the proof
- 3 Tools for the proof
- 4 Sketch of the proof
- 5 Are there any ordinary primes?

Notations

- F a totally real field; \mathcal{O}_F its ring of integers; $d := [F : \mathbb{Q}]$.
- $\mathfrak{n} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$ integral ideal; $\psi_0: (\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{n})^* \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$; \mathfrak{a} a fractional ideal.
- $S_k(\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n}), \psi_0)$ = space of Hilbert cusp forms $f: \mathcal{H}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of parallel weight $k \geq 1$, character ψ_0 , and relative to $\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n})$.
- h = strict class number of F (t_γ reps. of the strict class ideals).
- $S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi_0)$ denotes the space of

$$\mathbf{f} := (f_1, \dots, f_h) \in \prod_{\gamma=1}^h S_k(\Gamma(t_\gamma \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n}), \psi_0).$$

Notations

- F a totally real field; \mathcal{O}_F its ring of integers; $d := [F : \mathbb{Q}]$.
- $\mathfrak{n} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$ integral ideal; $\psi_0: (\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{n})^* \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$; \mathfrak{a} a fractional ideal.
- $S_k(\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n}), \psi_0) =$ space of Hilbert cusp forms $f: \mathcal{H}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of parallel weight $k \geq 1$, character ψ_0 , and relative to $\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n})$.
- $h =$ strict class number of F (t_γ reps. of the strict class ideals).
- $S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi_0)$ denotes the space of

$$\mathbf{f} := (f_1, \dots, f_h) \in \prod_{\gamma=1}^h S_k(\Gamma(t_\gamma \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n}), \psi_0).$$

Notations

- F a totally real field; \mathcal{O}_F its ring of integers; $d := [F : \mathbb{Q}]$.
- $\mathfrak{n} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$ integral ideal; $\psi_0: (\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{n})^* \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$; \mathfrak{a} a fractional ideal.
- $S_k(\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n}), \psi_0) =$ space of Hilbert cusp forms $f: \mathcal{H}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of parallel weight $k \geq 1$, character ψ_0 , and relative to $\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n})$.
- $h =$ strict class number of F (t_γ reps. of the strict class ideals).
- $S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi_0)$ denotes the space of

$$\mathbf{f} := (f_1, \dots, f_h) \in \prod_{\gamma=1}^h S_k(\Gamma(t_\gamma \mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{n}), \psi_0).$$

Dirichlet series

- Each f_γ admits a Fourier expansion

$$f_\gamma(z_1, \dots, z_d) = \sum_{0 \ll \mu \in \mathfrak{t}_\gamma} a_\gamma(\mu) e^{2\pi i (\sum_{j=1}^d \mu_j z_j)}, \quad \text{for } (z_1, \dots, z_d) \in \mathcal{H}^d.$$

(μ_1, \dots, μ_d denote the images of μ by the d embeddings of F into \mathbb{C}).

- For $0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$, there exist $\gamma \in \{1, \dots, h\}$ and a totally positive $\mu \in \mathfrak{t}_\gamma$ such that $\mathfrak{a} = \mu \mathfrak{t}_\gamma^{-1}$. Define

$$c(\mathfrak{a}, \mathbf{f}) := a_\gamma(\mu) N(\mathfrak{t}_\gamma)^{-k/2}.$$

(it depends neither on the choice of γ nor of \mathfrak{t}_γ).

- The *Dirichlet series* associated to \mathbf{f} is

$$D(\mathbf{f}, s) := \sum_{0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F} c(\mathfrak{a}, \mathbf{f}) N(\mathfrak{a})^{-s}.$$

Dirichlet series

- Each f_γ admits a Fourier expansion

$$f_\gamma(z_1, \dots, z_d) = \sum_{0 \ll \mu \in \mathfrak{t}_\gamma} a_\gamma(\mu) e^{2\pi i (\sum_{j=1}^d \mu_j z_j)}, \quad \text{for } (z_1, \dots, z_d) \in \mathcal{H}^d.$$

(μ_1, \dots, μ_d denote the images of μ by the d embeddings of F into \mathbb{C}).

- For $0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$, there exist $\gamma \in \{1, \dots, h\}$ and a totally positive $\mu \in \mathfrak{t}_\gamma$ such that $\mathfrak{a} = \mu \mathfrak{t}_\gamma^{-1}$. Define

$$c(\mathfrak{a}, \mathbf{f}) := a_\gamma(\mu) N(\mathfrak{t}_\gamma)^{-k/2}.$$

(it depends neither on the choice of γ nor of \mathfrak{t}_γ).

- The *Dirichlet series* associated to \mathbf{f} is

$$D(\mathbf{f}, s) := \sum_{0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F} c(\mathfrak{a}, \mathbf{f}) N(\mathfrak{a})^{-s}.$$

Dirichlet series

- Each f_γ admits a Fourier expansion

$$f_\gamma(z_1, \dots, z_d) = \sum_{0 \ll \mu \in \mathfrak{t}_\gamma} a_\gamma(\mu) e^{2\pi i (\sum_{j=1}^d \mu_j z_j)}, \quad \text{for } (z_1, \dots, z_d) \in \mathcal{H}^d.$$

(μ_1, \dots, μ_d denote the images of μ by the d embeddings of F into \mathbb{C}).

- For $0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$, there exist $\gamma \in \{1, \dots, h\}$ and a totally positive $\mu \in \mathfrak{t}_\gamma$ such that $\mathfrak{a} = \mu \mathfrak{t}_\gamma^{-1}$. Define

$$c(\mathfrak{a}, \mathbf{f}) := a_\gamma(\mu) N(\mathfrak{t}_\gamma)^{-k/2}.$$

(it depends neither on the choice of γ nor of \mathfrak{t}_γ).

- The *Dirichlet series* associated to \mathbf{f} is

$$D(\mathbf{f}, s) := \sum_{0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F} c(\mathfrak{a}, \mathbf{f}) N(\mathfrak{a})^{-s}.$$

Ordinary primes

- There is a theory of Hecke operators $\{T_n(\mathfrak{a}), S_n(\mathfrak{a})\}_{\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F}$ on $S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi_0)$.
- $\psi_0 \rightsquigarrow \psi: I_{n\infty} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ ray class char. of modulus $n\infty$ restricting to ψ_0 on $(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{n})^*$. Set

$$S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi) := \{\mathbf{f} \in S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi_0) \mid S_n(\mathfrak{a})(\mathbf{f}) = \psi(\mathfrak{a})\mathbf{f} \text{ for all } \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F\}.$$

- For $f \in S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi)$ a newform, set

$$K_f := \mathbb{Q}(\{c(\mathfrak{a}, \mathbf{f})\}_{\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F}); \quad \mathcal{O}_f \text{ its ring of integers.}$$

- $\lambda \subseteq \mathcal{O}_f$ prime. \mathbf{f} is *ordinary at λ* if for $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$, $\mathfrak{p} \mid N(\lambda)$,

$$x^2 - c(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbf{f})x + \psi(\mathfrak{p})N(\mathfrak{p})^{k-1}$$

has a unit root mod λ .

Ordinary primes

- There is a theory of Hecke operators $\{T_n(\mathfrak{a}), S_n(\mathfrak{a})\}_{\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F}$ on $S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi_0)$.
- $\psi_0 \rightsquigarrow \psi: I_{n\infty} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ ray class char. of modulus $n\infty$ restricting to ψ_0 on $(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{n})^*$. Set

$$S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi) := \{\mathbf{f} \in S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi_0) \mid S_n(\mathfrak{a})(\mathbf{f}) = \psi(\mathfrak{a})\mathbf{f} \text{ for all } \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F\}.$$

- For $f \in S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi)$ a newform, set

$$K_{\mathbf{f}} := \mathbb{Q}(\{c(\mathfrak{a}, \mathbf{f})\}_{\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F}); \quad \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}} \text{ its ring of integers.}$$

- $\lambda \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}}$ prime. \mathbf{f} is *ordinary at* λ if for $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$, $\mathfrak{p} \mid N(\lambda)$,

$$x^2 - c(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbf{f})x + \psi(\mathfrak{p})N(\mathfrak{p})^{k-1}$$

has a unit root mod λ .

Ordinary primes

- There is a theory of Hecke operators $\{T_n(\mathfrak{a}), S_n(\mathfrak{a})\}_{\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F}$ on $S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi_0)$.
- $\psi_0 \rightsquigarrow \psi: I_{\mathfrak{n}\infty} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ ray class char. of modulus $\mathfrak{n}\infty$ restricting to ψ_0 on $(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{n})^*$. Set

$$S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi) := \{\mathbf{f} \in S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi_0) \mid S_n(\mathfrak{a})(\mathbf{f}) = \psi(\mathfrak{a})\mathbf{f} \text{ for all } \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F\}.$$

- For $f \in S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi)$ a newform, set

$$K_{\mathbf{f}} := \mathbb{Q}(\{c(\mathfrak{a}, \mathbf{f})\}_{\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F}); \quad \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}} \text{ its ring of integers.}$$

- $\lambda \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}}$ prime. \mathbf{f} is *ordinary at* λ if for $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$, $\mathfrak{p} \mid N(\lambda)$,

$$x^2 - c(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbf{f})x + \psi(\mathfrak{p})N(\mathfrak{p})^{k-1}$$

has a unit root mod λ .

Wiles' result

Main Theorem (Wiles)

If \mathbf{f} is ordinary at λ , there exists a continuous odd irreducible rep.

$$\varrho_{\mathbf{f},\lambda}: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f},\lambda})$$

unramified outside $nN(\lambda)$ and such that for all primes $q \nmid nN(\lambda)$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_{\mathbf{f},\lambda}(\mathrm{Frob}_q)) = c(q, \mathbf{f}),$$

$$\det(\varrho_{\mathbf{f},\lambda}(\mathrm{Frob}_q)) = \psi(q)N(q)^{k-1}.$$

- In the previous talk we saw:

Theorem (Carayol)

For $k \geq 2$ and \mathbf{f} not necessarily ordinary at λ , there exists $\varrho_{\mathbf{f},\lambda}$ if either

- d is odd; or*
- d is even and there is a prime $p \mid\mid n$.*

Wiles' result

Main Theorem (Wiles)

If \mathbf{f} is ordinary at λ , there exists a continuous odd irreducible rep.

$$\varrho_{\mathbf{f},\lambda}: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f},\lambda})$$

unramified outside $nN(\lambda)$ and such that for all primes $q \nmid nN(\lambda)$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_{\mathbf{f},\lambda}(\mathrm{Frob}_q)) = c(q, \mathbf{f}),$$

$$\det(\varrho_{\mathbf{f},\lambda}(\mathrm{Frob}_q)) = \psi(q)N(q)^{k-1}.$$

- In the previous talk we saw:

Theorem (Carayol)

For $k \geq 2$ and \mathbf{f} not necessarily ordinary at λ , there exists $\varrho_{\mathbf{f},\lambda}$ if either

- d is odd; or
- d is even and there is a prime $p \mid\mid n$.

Layout

- 1 Wiles' result and general notations
- 2 General strategy of the proof**
- 3 Tools for the proof
- 4 Sketch of the proof
- 5 Are there any ordinary primes?

General strategy

- $S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi | \mathbb{Z}[\psi]) := \{\mathbf{g} \in S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi) \mid c(\mathfrak{a}, \mathbf{g}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\psi] \text{ for all } \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F\}$.
- Fix a prime p from now on. For simplicity assume $p \geq 3$.
- For a subring $\mathbb{Z}[\psi] \subseteq A \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, set

$$S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi | A) := S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi | \mathbb{Z}[\psi]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\psi]} A$$

- \mathcal{K} a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p((X))$; $\Lambda =$ integral closure of $\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$. Assume $\Lambda \supseteq \mathbb{Z}_p[\psi][[X]]$.
- $K := \mathcal{K} \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$; \mathcal{O} its ring of integers.

Step 1: Λ -adic forms

Define $\mathcal{S}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi | \Lambda)$ and specialization maps $\nu_{k,r} : \Lambda \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ such that

$$\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi | \Lambda) \Rightarrow \nu_{k,r}(\mathcal{F}) \in S_k(np^r, \psi_{\mathcal{O}[\zeta_{p^r}]} \omega^{2-k} | \mathcal{O}[\zeta_{p^r}]).$$

General strategy

- $S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi | \mathbb{Z}[\psi]) := \{\mathfrak{g} \in S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi) \mid c(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{g}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\psi] \text{ for all } \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F\}$.
- Fix a prime p from now on. For simplicity assume $p \geq 3$.
- For a subring $\mathbb{Z}[\psi] \subseteq A \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, set

$$S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi | A) := S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi | \mathbb{Z}[\psi]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\psi]} A$$

- \mathcal{K} a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p((X))$; Λ = integral closure of $\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$. Assume $\Lambda \supseteq \mathbb{Z}_p[\psi][[X]]$.
- $K := \mathcal{K} \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$; \mathcal{O} its ring of integers.

Step 1: Λ -adic forms

Define $\mathcal{S}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi | \Lambda)$ and specialization maps $\nu_{k,r}: \Lambda \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ such that

$$\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi | \Lambda) \Rightarrow \nu_{k,r}(\mathcal{F}) \in S_k(np^r, \psi_{\varrho\zeta_{p^r}} \omega^{2-k} | \mathcal{O}[\zeta_{p^r}]).$$

General strategy

- $S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi | \mathbb{Z}[\psi]) := \{\mathfrak{g} \in S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi) \mid c(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{g}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\psi] \text{ for all } \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F\}$.
- Fix a prime p from now on. For simplicity assume $p \geq 3$.
- For a subring $\mathbb{Z}[\psi] \subseteq A \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, set

$$S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi | A) := S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi | \mathbb{Z}[\psi]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\psi]} A$$

- \mathcal{K} a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p((X))$; Λ = integral closure of $\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$. Assume $\Lambda \supseteq \mathbb{Z}_p[\psi][[X]]$.
- $K := \mathcal{K} \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$; \mathcal{O} its ring of integers.

Step 1: Λ -adic forms

Define $\mathcal{S}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi | \Lambda)$ and specialization maps $\nu_{k,r}: \Lambda \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ such that

$$\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi | \Lambda) \Rightarrow \nu_{k,r}(\mathcal{F}) \in S_k(\mathfrak{n}p^r, \psi_{\varrho_{\zeta_p^r}} \omega^{2-k} | \mathcal{O}[\zeta_p^r]).$$

General strategy

Step 2: Lifting

Define $S_k^{\text{ord}}(\mathbf{n}, \psi | \mathcal{O}) \subseteq S_k(\mathbf{n}, \psi | \mathcal{O})$ (containing the \mathbf{f} ordinary at $\lambda | \rho$) s.t.

$$\mathbf{f} \in S_k^{\text{ord}}(\mathbf{n}, \psi \omega^{2-k} | \mathcal{O}) \Rightarrow \exists \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathbf{n}}, \psi | \Lambda) \text{ and } \nu_{k,0} \text{ s.t. } \nu_{k,0}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbf{f}.$$

Step 3: Patching – Theory of pseudo-representations

Write $\mathbf{f}_{k,r} := \nu_{k,r}(\mathcal{F})$.

There exists $\varrho_{\mathbf{f}_{k,r},\lambda}$ for *infinitely many* $\nu_{k,r}$ \implies There exists $\varrho_{\mathcal{F}} : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{K})$ s.t. $\nu_{k,r}(\varrho_{\mathcal{F}}) = \varrho_{\mathbf{f}_{k,r},\lambda}$ for *almost every* $\nu_{k,\zeta}$

\mathbf{f} ordinary at $\lambda \xrightarrow{\text{Step 2}} \exists \mathcal{F} \text{ s.t. } \nu(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbf{f} \xrightarrow{\text{Step 3}}$

$\xrightarrow{\text{Step 3}} \exists \varrho_{\mathcal{F}} : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{K}) \xrightarrow{\text{Step 3}} \varrho_{\mathbf{f},\lambda} = \nu(\varrho_{\mathcal{F}}).$

General strategy

Step 2: Lifting

Define $S_k^{\text{ord}}(\mathbf{n}, \psi | \mathcal{O}) \subseteq S_k(\mathbf{n}, \psi | \mathcal{O})$ (containing the \mathbf{f} ordinary at $\lambda | \rho$) s.t.

$$\mathbf{f} \in S_k^{\text{ord}}(\mathbf{n}, \psi \omega^{2-k} | \mathcal{O}) \Rightarrow \exists \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathbf{n}}, \psi | \Lambda) \text{ and } \nu_{k,0} \text{ s.t. } \nu_{k,0}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbf{f}.$$

Step 3: Patching – Theory of pseudo-representations

Write $\mathbf{f}_{k,r} := \nu_{k,r}(\mathcal{F})$.

There exists $\varrho_{\mathbf{f}_{k,r},\lambda}$ for *infinitely many* $\nu_{k,r}$ \implies There exists $\varrho_{\mathcal{F}} : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{K})$ s.t. $\nu_{k,r}(\varrho_{\mathcal{F}}) = \varrho_{\mathbf{f}_{k,r},\lambda}$ for *almost every* $\nu_{k,\zeta}$

\mathbf{f} ordinary at $\lambda \xrightarrow{\text{Step 2}} \exists \mathcal{F} \text{ s.t. } \nu(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbf{f} \xrightarrow{\text{Step 3}}$

$\xrightarrow{\text{Step 3}} \exists \varrho_{\mathcal{F}} : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{K}) \xrightarrow{\text{Step 3}} \varrho_{\mathbf{f},\lambda} = \nu(\varrho_{\mathcal{F}}).$

General strategy

Step 2: Lifting

Define $S_k^{\text{ord}}(\mathbf{n}, \psi | \mathcal{O}) \subseteq S_k(\mathbf{n}, \psi | \mathcal{O})$ (containing the \mathbf{f} ordinary at $\lambda | \rho$) s.t.

$$\mathbf{f} \in S_k^{\text{ord}}(\mathbf{n}, \psi \omega^{2-k} | \mathcal{O}) \Rightarrow \exists \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathbf{n}}, \psi | \Lambda) \text{ and } \nu_{k,0} \text{ s.t. } \nu_{k,0}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbf{f}.$$

Step 3: Patching – Theory of pseudo-representations

Write $\mathbf{f}_{k,r} := \nu_{k,r}(\mathcal{F})$.

There exists $\varrho_{\mathbf{f}_{k,r},\lambda}$ for *infinitely many* $\nu_{k,r}$ \implies There exists $\varrho_{\mathcal{F}} : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{K})$ s.t. $\nu_{k,r}(\varrho_{\mathcal{F}}) = \varrho_{\mathbf{f}_{k,r},\lambda}$ for *almost every* $\nu_{k,\zeta}$

\mathbf{f} ordinary at $\lambda \xrightarrow{\text{Step 2}} \exists \mathcal{F} \text{ s.t. } \nu(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbf{f} \xrightarrow{\text{Step 3}}$

$\xrightarrow{\text{Step 3}} \exists \varrho_{\mathcal{F}} : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{K}) \xrightarrow{\text{Step 3}} \varrho_{\mathbf{f},\lambda} = \nu(\varrho_{\mathcal{F}}).$

General strategy

Step 2: Lifting

Define $S_k^{\text{ord}}(\mathbf{n}, \psi | \mathcal{O}) \subseteq S_k(\mathbf{n}, \psi | \mathcal{O})$ (containing the \mathbf{f} ordinary at $\lambda | \rho$) s.t.

$$\mathbf{f} \in S_k^{\text{ord}}(\mathbf{n}, \psi \omega^{2-k} | \mathcal{O}) \Rightarrow \exists \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathbf{n}}, \psi | \Lambda) \text{ and } \nu_{k,0} \text{ s.t. } \nu_{k,0}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbf{f}.$$

Step 3: Patching – Theory of pseudo-representations

Write $\mathbf{f}_{k,r} := \nu_{k,r}(\mathcal{F})$.

There exists $\varrho_{\mathbf{f}_{k,r},\lambda}$ for *infinitely many* $\nu_{k,r}$ \implies There exists $\varrho_{\mathcal{F}} : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{K})$ s.t. $\nu_{k,r}(\varrho_{\mathcal{F}}) = \varrho_{\mathbf{f}_{k,r},\lambda}$ for *almost every* $\nu_{k,\zeta}$

\mathbf{f} ordinary at $\lambda \xrightarrow{\text{Step 2}} \exists \mathcal{F} \text{ s.t. } \nu(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbf{f} \xrightarrow{\text{Step 3}}$

$\xrightarrow{\text{Step 3}} \exists \varrho_{\mathcal{F}} : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{K}) \xrightarrow{\text{Step 3}} \varrho_{\mathbf{f},\lambda} = \nu(\varrho_{\mathcal{F}}).$

General strategy

Step 2: Lifting

Define $S_k^{\text{ord}}(\mathbf{n}, \psi | \mathcal{O}) \subseteq S_k(\mathbf{n}, \psi | \mathcal{O})$ (containing the \mathbf{f} ordinary at $\lambda | \rho$) s.t.

$$\mathbf{f} \in S_k^{\text{ord}}(\mathbf{n}, \psi \omega^{2-k} | \mathcal{O}) \Rightarrow \exists \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathbf{n}}, \psi | \Lambda) \text{ and } \nu_{k,0} \text{ s.t. } \nu_{k,0}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbf{f}.$$

Step 3: Patching – Theory of pseudo-representations

Write $\mathbf{f}_{k,r} := \nu_{k,r}(\mathcal{F})$.

There exists $\varrho_{\mathbf{f}_{k,r},\lambda}$ for *infinitely many* $\nu_{k,r}$ \implies There exists $\varrho_{\mathcal{F}} : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{K})$ s.t. $\nu_{k,r}(\varrho_{\mathcal{F}}) = \varrho_{\mathbf{f}_{k,r},\lambda}$ for *almost every* $\nu_{k,\zeta}$

\mathbf{f} ordinary at $\lambda \xrightarrow{\text{Step 2}} \exists \mathcal{F}$ s.t. $\nu(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbf{f} \xrightarrow{\text{Step 3}}$

$\xrightarrow{\text{Step 3}} \exists \varrho_{\mathcal{F}} : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{K}) \xrightarrow{\text{Step 3}} \varrho_{\mathbf{f},\lambda} = \nu(\varrho_{\mathcal{F}}).$

General strategy

Step 2: Lifting

Define $S_k^{\text{ord}}(\mathbf{n}, \psi | \mathcal{O}) \subseteq S_k(\mathbf{n}, \psi | \mathcal{O})$ (containing the \mathbf{f} ordinary at $\lambda | \rho$) s.t.

$$\mathbf{f} \in S_k^{\text{ord}}(\mathbf{n}, \psi \omega^{2-k} | \mathcal{O}) \Rightarrow \exists \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathbf{n}}, \psi | \Lambda) \text{ and } \nu_{k,0} \text{ s.t. } \nu_{k,0}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbf{f}.$$

Step 3: Patching – Theory of pseudo-representations

Write $\mathbf{f}_{k,r} := \nu_{k,r}(\mathcal{F})$.

There exists $\varrho_{\mathbf{f}_{k,r},\lambda}$ for *infinitely many* $\nu_{k,r}$ \implies There exists $\varrho_{\mathcal{F}} : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{K})$ s.t. $\nu_{k,r}(\varrho_{\mathcal{F}}) = \varrho_{\mathbf{f}_{k,r},\lambda}$ for *almost every* $\nu_{k,\zeta}$

\mathbf{f} ordinary at $\lambda \xrightarrow{\text{Step 2}} \exists \mathcal{F}$ s.t. $\nu(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbf{f} \xrightarrow{\text{Step 3}}$

$\xrightarrow{\text{Step 3}} \exists \varrho_{\mathcal{F}} : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{K}) \xrightarrow{\text{Step 3}} \varrho_{\mathbf{f},\lambda} = \nu(\varrho_{\mathcal{F}}).$

Layout

- 1 Wiles' result and general notations
- 2 General strategy of the proof
- 3 Tools for the proof**
- 4 Sketch of the proof
- 5 Are there any ordinary primes?

Step 1: Defining Λ -adic forms

- Recall that $p \geq 3$ and further assume $[\mathbb{Q}_\infty \cap F : \mathbb{Q}] = 1$.
- For $k \geq 1$, $r \geq 0$, define the specialization map

$$\nu_{k,r}: \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta], \quad X \mapsto \zeta(1+p)^{k-2} - 1,$$

where $\zeta^{p^r} = 1$.

- By the going-up theorem:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} P_{k,r} & \subseteq & \Lambda & \subseteq & \mathcal{K} \\ | & & | & & | \\ \ker(\nu_{k,r}) & \subseteq & \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]] & \subseteq & \mathbb{Q}_p((X)) \end{array}$$

- Recall that $K = \mathcal{K} \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ and $\mathcal{O} = \Lambda \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$.
- We may view $P_{k,r}$ as the kernel of an \mathcal{O} -algebra homomorphism $\nu_{k,r}: \Lambda \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ extending $\nu_{k,r}$.

Step 1: Defining Λ -adic forms

- Recall that $p \geq 3$ and further assume $[\mathbb{Q}_\infty \cap F : \mathbb{Q}] = 1$.
- For $k \geq 1$, $r \geq 0$, define the specialization map

$$\nu_{k,r}: \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta], \quad X \mapsto \zeta(1+p)^{k-2} - 1,$$

where $\zeta^{p^r} = 1$.

- By the going-up theorem:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} P_{k,r} & \subseteq & \Lambda & \subseteq & \mathcal{K} \\ | & & | & & | \\ \ker(\nu_{k,r}) & \subseteq & \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]] & \subseteq & \mathbb{Q}_p((X)) \end{array}$$

- Recall that $K = \mathcal{K} \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ and $\mathcal{O} = \Lambda \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$.
- We may view $P_{k,r}$ as the kernel of an \mathcal{O} -algebra homomorphism $\nu_{k,r}: \Lambda \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ extending $\nu_{k,r}$.

Step 1: Defining Λ -adic forms

- Recall that $p \geq 3$ and further assume $[\mathbb{Q}_\infty \cap F : \mathbb{Q}] = 1$.
- For $k \geq 1$, $r \geq 0$, define the specialization map

$$\nu_{k,r}: \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta], \quad X \mapsto \zeta(1+p)^{k-2} - 1,$$

where $\zeta^{p^r} = 1$.

- By the going-up theorem:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} P_{k,r} & \subseteq & \Lambda & \subseteq & \mathcal{K} \\ | & & | & & | \\ \ker(\nu_{k,r}) & \subseteq & \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]] & \subseteq & \mathbb{Q}_p((X)) \end{array}$$

- Recall that $K = \mathcal{K} \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ and $\mathcal{O} = \Lambda \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$.
- We may view $P_{k,r}$ as the kernel of an \mathcal{O} -algebra homomorphism $\nu_{k,r}: \Lambda \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ extending $\nu_{k,r}$.

Step 1: Defining Λ -adic forms

- Recall that $p \geq 3$ and further assume $[\mathbb{Q}_\infty \cap F : \mathbb{Q}] = 1$.
- For $k \geq 1$, $r \geq 0$, define the specialization map

$$\nu_{k,r}: \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta], \quad X \mapsto \zeta(1+p)^{k-2} - 1,$$

where $\zeta^{p^r} = 1$.

- By the going-up theorem:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} P_{k,r} & \subseteq & \Lambda & \subseteq & \mathcal{K} \\ | & & | & & | \\ \ker(\nu_{k,r}) & \subseteq & \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]] & \subseteq & \mathbb{Q}_p((X)) \end{array}$$

- Recall that $K = \mathcal{K} \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ and $\mathcal{O} = \Lambda \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$.
- We may view $P_{k,r}$ as the kernel of an \mathcal{O} -algebra homomorphism $\nu_{k,r}: \Lambda \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ extending $\nu_{k,r}$.

Λ -adic forms

- For a fractional ideal \mathfrak{a} of F s.t. $(\mathfrak{a}, p) = 1$, we can write

$$N(\mathfrak{a}) = (1 + p)^\alpha \delta, \quad \text{with } \delta \in \mu_{p-1}, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

- Given $\psi: I_{n\infty} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ and $\zeta^{p^r} = 1$, define

$$\psi: \varprojlim_t I_{np^t} \rightarrow \Lambda, \quad \psi(\mathfrak{a}) = \psi(\mathfrak{a})(1 + X)^\alpha,$$

$$\varrho_\zeta: I_{p^r} \mathcal{O}_F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*, \quad \varrho_\zeta(\mathfrak{a}) = \zeta^\alpha,$$

$$\omega: I_p \mathcal{O}_F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*, \quad \omega(\mathfrak{a}) = N(\mathfrak{a}) / (1 + p)^\alpha = \delta.$$

We will call ω the Teichmüller character.

Λ -adic forms

- For a fractional ideal \mathfrak{a} of F s.t. $(\mathfrak{a}, p) = 1$, we can write

$$N(\mathfrak{a}) = (1 + p)^{\alpha} \delta, \quad \text{with } \delta \in \mu_{p-1}, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

- Given $\psi: I_{n\infty} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ and $\zeta^{p^r} = 1$, define

$$\psi: \varprojlim_t I_{np^t} \rightarrow \Lambda, \quad \psi(\mathfrak{a}) = \psi(\mathfrak{a})(1 + X)^{\alpha},$$

$$\varrho_{\zeta}: I_{p^r} \mathcal{O}_F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*, \quad \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{a}) = \zeta^{\alpha},$$

$$\omega: I_p \mathcal{O}_F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*, \quad \omega(\mathfrak{a}) = N(\mathfrak{a}) / (1 + p)^{\alpha} = \delta.$$

We will call ω the Teichmüller character.

Definition

A Λ -adic cuspidal form \mathcal{F} over F of level \mathfrak{n} and character $\psi: \varprojlim_t I_{np^t} \rightarrow \Lambda$ is a collection of elements of Λ

$$\{c(\mathfrak{a}, \mathcal{F})(X)\}_{0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F} \subseteq \Lambda,$$

such that, for almost every $\nu_{k,r}$, with $k \geq 2$, $r \geq 0$, there exists

$$\mathbf{f}_{\nu_{k,r}} \in S_k(np^r, \psi|_{\mathcal{O}_\zeta} \omega^{2-k} | \mathcal{O}[\zeta])$$

whose associated Dirichlet series is

$$D(\mathbf{f}_{\nu_{k,r}}, s) = \sum_{0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F} \nu_{k,r}(c(\mathfrak{a}, \mathcal{F})(X)) N(\mathfrak{a})^{-s}.$$

- By abuse of notation, write $\nu_{k,r}(\mathcal{F}) := \mathbf{f}_{\nu_{k,r}}$.
- $S(\mathfrak{n}, \psi | \Lambda)$ is the Λ -module of Λ -adic cusp forms.
- Set $S(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi | \Lambda) = \bigcup_{t=0}^{\infty} S(np^t, \psi | \Lambda)$.

Definition

A Λ -adic cuspidal form \mathcal{F} over F of level \mathfrak{n} and character $\psi: \varprojlim_t I_{\mathfrak{n}p^t} \rightarrow \Lambda$ is a collection of elements of Λ

$$\{c(\mathfrak{a}, \mathcal{F})(X)\}_{0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F} \subseteq \Lambda,$$

such that, for almost every $\nu_{k,r}$, with $k \geq 2$, $r \geq 0$, there exists

$$\mathbf{f}_{\nu_{k,r}} \in S_k(\mathfrak{n}p^r, \psi|_{\mathcal{O}_\zeta} \omega^{2-k} | \mathcal{O}[\zeta])$$

whose associated Dirichlet series is

$$D(\mathbf{f}_{\nu_{k,r}}, s) = \sum_{0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F} \nu_{k,r}(c(\mathfrak{a}, \mathcal{F})(X)) N(\mathfrak{a})^{-s}.$$

- By abuse of notation, write $\nu_{k,r}(\mathcal{F}) := \mathbf{f}_{\nu_{k,r}}$.
- $S(\mathfrak{n}, \psi | \Lambda)$ is the Λ -module of Λ -adic cusp forms.
- Set $S(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi | \Lambda) = \bigcup_{t=0}^{\infty} S(\mathfrak{n}p^t, \psi | \Lambda)$.

Definition

A Λ -adic cuspidal form \mathcal{F} over F of level \mathfrak{n} and character $\psi: \varprojlim_t I_{\mathfrak{n}p^t} \rightarrow \Lambda$ is a collection of elements of Λ

$$\{c(\mathfrak{a}, \mathcal{F})(X)\}_{0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F} \subseteq \Lambda,$$

such that, for almost every $\nu_{k,r}$, with $k \geq 2$, $r \geq 0$, there exists

$$\mathbf{f}_{\nu_{k,r}} \in S_k(\mathfrak{n}p^r, \psi|_{\mathcal{O}[\zeta]} \omega^{2-k} | \mathcal{O}[\zeta])$$

whose associated Dirichlet series is

$$D(\mathbf{f}_{\nu_{k,r}}, s) = \sum_{0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F} \nu_{k,r}(c(\mathfrak{a}, \mathcal{F})(X)) N(\mathfrak{a})^{-s}.$$

- By abuse of notation, write $\nu_{k,r}(\mathcal{F}) := \mathbf{f}_{\nu_{k,r}}$.
- $S(\mathfrak{n}, \psi | \Lambda)$ is the Λ -module of Λ -adic cusp forms.
- Set $S(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi | \Lambda) = \bigcup_{t=0}^{\infty} S(\mathfrak{n}p^t, \psi | \Lambda)$.

Definition

A Λ -adic cuspidal form \mathcal{F} over F of level \mathfrak{n} and character $\psi: \varprojlim_t I_{\mathfrak{n}p^t} \rightarrow \Lambda$ is a collection of elements of Λ

$$\{c(\mathfrak{a}, \mathcal{F})(X)\}_{0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F} \subseteq \Lambda,$$

such that, for almost every $\nu_{k,r}$, with $k \geq 2$, $r \geq 0$, there exists

$$\mathbf{f}_{\nu_{k,r}} \in \mathcal{S}_k(\mathfrak{n}p^r, \psi \varrho_\zeta \omega^{2-k} \mid \mathcal{O}[\zeta])$$

whose associated Dirichlet series is

$$D(\mathbf{f}_{\nu_{k,r}}, s) = \sum_{0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F} \nu_{k,r}(c(\mathfrak{a}, \mathcal{F})(X)) N(\mathfrak{a})^{-s}.$$

- By abuse of notation, write $\nu_{k,r}(\mathcal{F}) := \mathbf{f}_{\nu_{k,r}}$.
- $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{n}, \psi \mid \Lambda)$ is the Λ -module of Λ -adic cusp forms.
- Set $\mathcal{S}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi \mid \Lambda) = \bigcup_{t=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{n}p^t, \psi \mid \Lambda)$.

Definition

A Λ -adic cuspidal form \mathcal{F} over F of level \mathfrak{n} and character $\psi: \varprojlim_t I_{\mathfrak{n}p^t} \rightarrow \Lambda$ is a collection of elements of Λ

$$\{c(\mathfrak{a}, \mathcal{F})(X)\}_{0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F} \subseteq \Lambda,$$

such that, for almost every $\nu_{k,r}$, with $k \geq 2$, $r \geq 0$, there exists

$$\mathbf{f}_{\nu_{k,r}} \in \mathcal{S}_k(\mathfrak{n}p^r, \psi|_{\rho_\zeta \omega^{2-k}} | \mathcal{O}[\zeta])$$

whose associated Dirichlet series is

$$D(\mathbf{f}_{\nu_{k,r}}, s) = \sum_{0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F} \nu_{k,r}(c(\mathfrak{a}, \mathcal{F})(X)) N(\mathfrak{a})^{-s}.$$

- By abuse of notation, write $\nu_{k,r}(\mathcal{F}) := \mathbf{f}_{\nu_{k,r}}$.
- $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{n}, \psi | \Lambda)$ is the Λ -module of Λ -adic cusp forms.
- Set $\mathcal{S}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi | \Lambda) = \bigcup_{t=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{n}p^t, \psi | \Lambda)$.

Definition

A Λ -adic cuspidal form \mathcal{F} over F of level \mathfrak{n} and character $\psi: \varprojlim_t I_{\mathfrak{n}p^t} \rightarrow \Lambda$ is a collection of elements of Λ

$$\{c(\mathfrak{a}, \mathcal{F})(X)\}_{0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F} \subseteq \Lambda,$$

such that, for almost every $\nu_{k,r}$, with $k \geq 2$, $r \geq 0$, there exists

$$\mathbf{f}_{\nu_{k,r}} \in \mathcal{S}_k(\mathfrak{n}p^r, \psi \varrho_\zeta \omega^{2-k} \mid \mathcal{O}[\zeta])$$

whose associated Dirichlet series is

$$D(\mathbf{f}_{\nu_{k,r}}, s) = \sum_{0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F} \nu_{k,r}(c(\mathfrak{a}, \mathcal{F})(X)) N(\mathfrak{a})^{-s}.$$

- By abuse of notation, write $\nu_{k,r}(\mathcal{F}) := \mathbf{f}_{\nu_{k,r}}$.
- $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{n}, \psi \mid \Lambda)$ is the Λ -module of Λ -adic cusp forms.
- Set $\mathcal{S}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi \mid \Lambda) = \bigcup_{t=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{n}p^t, \psi \mid \Lambda)$.

Step 2: The space of classical p -stabilized forms

- We work at level $\mathfrak{n}p^r$, with $r \geq 1$.
- The *Hida operator*

$$e := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{\mathfrak{n}p^r}(p)^{n!} : S_k(\mathfrak{n}p^r, \psi | \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow S_k(\mathfrak{n}p^r, \psi | \mathcal{O}).$$

is an idempotent in $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(S_k(\mathfrak{n}p^r, \psi | \mathcal{O}))$.

- The space of *p -stabilized cusp forms* is

$$S_k^{\text{ord}}(\mathfrak{n}p^r, \psi | \mathcal{O}) := eS_k(\mathfrak{n}p^r, \psi | \mathcal{O}).$$

- Let $\mathbf{f} \in S_k(\mathfrak{n}p^r, \psi | \mathcal{O})$ be an eigenform

$$e\mathbf{f} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{f} \text{ is ordinary}$$

- $\mathfrak{p} :=$ product of primes of \mathcal{O}_F above p not dividing m .
- $e\mathbf{f}$ is an eigenform of level $m\mathfrak{p}$.
- The eigenvalue of $T_{m\mathfrak{p}}(q)$ on $e\mathbf{f}$ is

Step 2: The space of classical p -stabilized forms

- We work at level $\mathfrak{n}p^r$, with $r \geq 1$.
- The *Hida operator*

$$e := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{\mathfrak{n}p^r}(p)^{n!} : S_k(\mathfrak{n}p^r, \psi | \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow S_k(\mathfrak{n}p^r, \psi | \mathcal{O}).$$

is an idempotent in $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(S_k(\mathfrak{n}p^r, \psi | \mathcal{O}))$.

- The space of *p -stabilized cusp forms* is

$$S_k^{\text{ord}}(\mathfrak{n}p^r, \psi | \mathcal{O}) := eS_k(\mathfrak{n}p^r, \psi | \mathcal{O}).$$

- Let $\mathbf{f} \in S_k(\mathfrak{n}p^r, \psi | \mathcal{O})$ be an eigenform

$$e\mathbf{f} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{f} \text{ is ordinary}$$

- $\mathfrak{P} :=$ product of primes of \mathcal{O}_F above p not dividing \mathfrak{m} .
- $e\mathbf{f}$ is an eigenform of level $\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{P}$.
- The eigenvalue of $T_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{P}}(q)$ on $e\mathbf{f}$ is

Step 2: The space of classical p -stabilized forms

- We work at level $\mathfrak{n}p^r$, with $r \geq 1$.
- The *Hida operator*

$$e := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{\mathfrak{n}p^r}(p)^{n!} : S_k(\mathfrak{n}p^r, \psi | \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow S_k(\mathfrak{n}p^r, \psi | \mathcal{O}).$$

is an idempotent in $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(S_k(\mathfrak{n}p^r, \psi | \mathcal{O}))$.

- The space of *p -stabilized cusp forms* is

$$S_k^{\text{ord}}(\mathfrak{n}p^r, \psi | \mathcal{O}) := eS_k(\mathfrak{n}p^r, \psi | \mathcal{O}).$$

- Let $\mathbf{f} \in S_k(\mathfrak{n}p^r, \psi | \mathcal{O})$ be an eigenform of level $\mathfrak{m} | \mathfrak{n}p^r$.

$$e\mathbf{f} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{f} \text{ is ordinary}$$

- $\mathfrak{P} :=$ product of primes of \mathcal{O}_F above p not dividing \mathfrak{m} .
- $e\mathbf{f}$ is an eigenform of level $\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{P}$.
- The eigenvalue of $T_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{P}}(q)$ on $e\mathbf{f}$ is

Step 2: The space of classical p -stabilized forms

- We work at level np^r , with $r \geq 1$.
- The *Hida operator*

$$e := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{np^r}(p)^{n!} : S_k(np^r, \psi | \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow S_k(np^r, \psi | \mathcal{O}).$$

is an idempotent in $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(S_k(np^r, \psi | \mathcal{O}))$.

- The space of *p -stabilized cusp forms* is

$$S_k^{\text{ord}}(np^r, \psi | \mathcal{O}) := eS_k(np^r, \psi | \mathcal{O}).$$

- Let $\mathbf{f} \in S_k(np^r, \psi | \mathcal{O})$ be an eigenform of level $m|np^r$.

$$e\mathbf{f} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{f} \text{ is ordinary}$$

- $\mathfrak{P} :=$ product of primes of \mathcal{O}_F above p not dividing m .
- $e\mathbf{f}$ is an eigenform of level $m\mathfrak{P}$.
- The eigenvalue of $T_{m\mathfrak{P}}(q)$ on $e\mathbf{f}$ is
 - the same as for \mathbf{f} for $q \nmid \mathfrak{P}$;
 - the unit root of $x^2 - c(q, \mathbf{f})x + \psi(q)N(q)^{k-1}$ for $q \in \mathfrak{P}$.

Step 2: The space of classical p -stabilized forms

- We work at level $\mathfrak{n}p^r$, with $r \geq 1$.
- The *Hida operator*

$$e := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{\mathfrak{n}p^n}(\rho)^{n!} : S_k(\mathfrak{n}p^r, \psi | \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow S_k(\mathfrak{n}p^r, \psi | \mathcal{O}).$$

is an idempotent in $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(S_k(\mathfrak{n}p^r, \psi | \mathcal{O}))$.

- The space of *p -stabilized cusp forms* is

$$S_k^{\text{ord}}(\mathfrak{n}p^r, \psi | \mathcal{O}) := eS_k(\mathfrak{n}p^r, \psi | \mathcal{O}).$$

- Let $\mathbf{f} \in S_k(\mathfrak{n}p^r, \psi | \mathcal{O})$ be an eigenform of level $\mathfrak{m} | \mathfrak{n}p^r$.

$$e\mathbf{f} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{f} \text{ is ordinary}$$

- $\mathfrak{P} :=$ product of primes of \mathcal{O}_F above p not dividing \mathfrak{m} .
- $e\mathbf{f}$ is an eigenform of level $\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{P}$.
- The eigenvalue of $T_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{P}}(q)$ on $e\mathbf{f}$ is

• the same as for \mathbf{f} for $q \nmid \mathfrak{P}$;

• the unit root of $x^2 - c(q, \mathbf{f})x + \psi(q)N(q)^{k-1}$ for $q | \mathfrak{P}$.

Step 2: The space of classical p -stabilized forms

- We work at level np^r , with $r \geq 1$.
- The *Hida operator*

$$e := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{np^r}(p)^{n!} : S_k(np^r, \psi | \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow S_k(np^r, \psi | \mathcal{O}).$$

is an idempotent in $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(S_k(np^r, \psi | \mathcal{O}))$.

- The space of *p -stabilized cusp forms* is

$$S_k^{\text{ord}}(np^r, \psi | \mathcal{O}) := eS_k(np^r, \psi | \mathcal{O}).$$

- Let $\mathbf{f} \in S_k(np^r, \psi | \mathcal{O})$ be an eigenform of level $\mathfrak{m} | np^r$.

$$e\mathbf{f} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{f} \text{ is ordinary}$$

- $\mathfrak{P} :=$ product of primes of \mathcal{O}_F above p not dividing \mathfrak{m} .
- $e\mathbf{f}$ is an eigenform of level $\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{P}$.
- The eigenvalue of $T_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{P}}(\mathfrak{q})$ on $e\mathbf{f}$ is
 - the same as for \mathbf{f} for $\mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{P}$;
 - the unit root of $x^2 - c(\mathfrak{q}, \mathbf{f})x + \psi(\mathfrak{q})N(\mathfrak{q})^{k-1}$ for $\mathfrak{q} | \mathfrak{P}$.

Example

- $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and $N \geq 1$ with $(N, p) = 1$.
- Let $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n q^n \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi)$ be an ordinary eigenform.
- $x^2 - c_p(f)x + \psi(p)p^{k-1}$ has roots α, β and suppose that α is a unit.
- Using usual relations between Hecke operators one can check that $T_{Np}(p)$ acts on $\langle f, f(pz) \rangle$ by means of

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} c_p & 1 \\ -\psi(p)p^{k-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- B has eigenvectors $f_\alpha(z) := f(z) - \beta f(pz)$, $f_\beta(z) := f(z) - \alpha f(pz)$:

$$T_{Np}(p)(f_\alpha) = \alpha f_\alpha, \quad T_{Np}(p)(f_\beta) = \beta f_\beta$$

Example

- $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and $N \geq 1$ with $(N, p) = 1$.
- Let $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n q^n \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi)$ be an ordinary eigenform.
- $x^2 - c_p(f)x + \psi(p)p^{k-1}$ has roots α, β and suppose that α is a unit.
- Using usual relations between Hecke operators one can check that $T_{Np}(p)$ acts on $\langle f, f(pz) \rangle$ by means of

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} c_p & 1 \\ -\psi(p)p^{k-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- B has eigenvectors $f_\alpha(z) := f(z) - \beta f(pz)$, $f_\beta(z) := f(z) - \alpha f(pz)$:

$$T_{Np}(p)(f_\alpha) = \alpha f_\alpha, \quad T_{Np}(p)(f_\beta) = \beta f_\beta$$

Example

- $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and $N \geq 1$ with $(N, p) = 1$.
- Let $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n q^n \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi)$ be an ordinary eigenform.
- $x^2 - c_p(f)x + \psi(p)p^{k-1}$ has roots α, β and suppose that α is a unit.
- Using usual relations between Hecke operators one can check that $T_{Np}(p)$ acts on $\langle f, f(pz) \rangle$ by means of

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} c_p & 1 \\ -\psi(p)p^{k-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- B has eigenvectors $f_\alpha(z) := f(z) - \beta f(pz)$, $f_\beta(z) := f(z) - \alpha f(pz)$:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{Np}(p)(f_\alpha) &= \alpha f_\alpha, & T_{Np}(p)(f_\beta) &= \beta f_\beta \\ \Rightarrow f_\alpha(z) &= f_\alpha(z), & f_\beta(z) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Example

- $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and $N \geq 1$ with $(N, p) = 1$.
- Let $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n q^n \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi)$ be an ordinary eigenform.
- $x^2 - c_p(f)x + \psi(p)p^{k-1}$ has roots α, β and suppose that α is a unit.
- Using usual relations between Hecke operators one can check that $T_{Np}(p)$ acts on $\langle f, f(pz) \rangle$ by means of

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} c_p & 1 \\ -\psi(p)p^{k-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- B has eigenvectors $f_\alpha(z) := f(z) - \beta f(pz)$, $f_\beta(z) := f(z) - \alpha f(pz)$:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{Np}(p)(f_\alpha) &= \alpha f_\alpha, & T_{Np}(p)(f_\beta) &= \beta f_\beta & \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow e f_\alpha(z) &= f_\alpha(z), & e f_\beta(z) &= 0 & = \\ \Rightarrow e f(z) &= \frac{p}{p-1} f_\alpha(z), & e(f(pz)) &= \frac{1}{p-1} f_\alpha(z). \end{aligned}$$

Example

- $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and $N \geq 1$ with $(N, p) = 1$.
- Let $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n q^n \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi)$ be an ordinary eigenform.
- $x^2 - c_p(f)x + \psi(p)p^{k-1}$ has roots α, β and suppose that α is a unit.
- Using usual relations between Hecke operators one can check that $T_{Np}(p)$ acts on $\langle f, f(pz) \rangle$ by means of

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} c_p & 1 \\ -\psi(p)p^{k-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- B has eigenvectors $f_\alpha(z) := f(z) - \beta f(pz)$, $f_\beta(z) := f(z) - \alpha f(pz)$:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{Np}(p)(f_\alpha) &= \alpha f_\alpha, & T_{Np}(p)(f_\beta) &= \beta f_\beta & \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow e f_\alpha(z) &= f_\alpha(z), & e f_\beta(z) &= 0 & \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow e f(z) &= \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - \beta} f_\alpha(z), & e(f(pz)) &= \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} f_\alpha(z). \end{aligned}$$

Example

- $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and $N \geq 1$ with $(N, p) = 1$.
- Let $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n q^n \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi)$ be an ordinary eigenform.
- $x^2 - c_p(f)x + \psi(p)p^{k-1}$ has roots α, β and suppose that α is a unit.
- Using usual relations between Hecke operators one can check that $T_{Np}(p)$ acts on $\langle f, f(pz) \rangle$ by means of

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} c_p & 1 \\ -\psi(p)p^{k-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- B has eigenvectors $f_\alpha(z) := f(z) - \beta f(pz)$, $f_\beta(z) := f(z) - \alpha f(pz)$:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{Np}(p)(f_\alpha) &= \alpha f_\alpha, & T_{Np}(p)(f_\beta) &= \beta f_\beta & \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow e f_\alpha(z) &= f_\alpha(z), & e f_\beta(z) &= 0 & \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow e f(z) &= \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - \beta} f_\alpha(z), & e(f(pz)) &= \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} f_\alpha(z). \end{aligned}$$

Example

- $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and $N \geq 1$ with $(N, p) = 1$.
- Let $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n q^n \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi)$ be an ordinary eigenform.
- $x^2 - c_p(f)x + \psi(p)p^{k-1}$ has roots α, β and suppose that α is a unit.
- Using usual relations between Hecke operators one can check that $T_{Np}(p)$ acts on $\langle f, f(pz) \rangle$ by means of

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} c_p & 1 \\ -\psi(p)p^{k-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- B has eigenvectors $f_\alpha(z) := f(z) - \beta f(pz)$, $f_\beta(z) := f(z) - \alpha f(pz)$:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{Np}(p)(f_\alpha) &= \alpha f_\alpha, & T_{Np}(p)(f_\beta) &= \beta f_\beta & \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow e f_\alpha(z) &= f_\alpha(z), & e f_\beta(z) &= 0 & \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow e f(z) &= \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - \beta} f_\alpha(z), & e(f(pz)) &= \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} f_\alpha(z). \end{aligned}$$

The Lifting Theorem

- **The space of p -stabilized Λ -adic forms:** There is an idempotent

$$\mathcal{E}: \mathcal{S}(\bar{n}, \psi | \Lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\bar{n}, \psi | \Lambda)$$

of $\text{End}_{\Lambda}(\mathcal{S}(\bar{n}, \psi | \Lambda))$ s.t. for almost every ν we have

$$\nu(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F})) = e(\nu(\mathcal{F})).$$

Theorem (Wiles, helped by Taylor & Shimura)

$\mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{n}, \psi | \Lambda) := \mathcal{E} \mathcal{S}(\bar{n}, \psi | \Lambda)$ is free Λ -module of finite rank.

- **Hecke operators:** For every $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$, one can define Λ -linear maps

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{a}): \mathcal{S}(\bar{n}, \psi | \Lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\bar{n}, \psi | \Lambda)$$

s.t. for almost every ν we have $\nu(\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{a})(\mathcal{F})) = \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{a})(\nu(\mathcal{F}))$.

The Lifting Theorem

- **The space of p -stabilized Λ -adic forms:** There is an idempotent

$$\mathcal{E}: \mathcal{S}(\bar{n}, \psi | \Lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\bar{n}, \psi | \Lambda)$$

of $\text{End}_{\Lambda}(\mathcal{S}(\bar{n}, \psi | \Lambda))$ s.t. for almost every ν we have

$$\nu(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F})) = e(\nu(\mathcal{F})).$$

Theorem (Wiles, helped by Taylor & Shimura)

$\mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{n}, \psi | \Lambda) := \mathcal{E} \mathcal{S}(\bar{n}, \psi | \Lambda)$ is free Λ -module of finite rank.

- **Hecke operators:** For every $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$, one can define Λ -linear maps

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{a}): \mathcal{S}(\bar{n}, \psi | \Lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\bar{n}, \psi | \Lambda)$$

s.t. for almost every ν we have $\nu(\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{a})(\mathcal{F})) = \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{a})(\nu(\mathcal{F}))$.

The Lifting Theorem

- **The space of p -stabilized Λ -adic forms:** There is an idempotent

$$\mathcal{E}: \mathcal{S}(\bar{n}, \psi | \Lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\bar{n}, \psi | \Lambda)$$

of $\text{End}_{\Lambda}(\mathcal{S}(\bar{n}, \psi | \Lambda))$ s.t. for almost every ν we have

$$\nu(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F})) = e(\nu(\mathcal{F})).$$

Theorem (Wiles, helped by Taylor & Shimura)

$\mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{n}, \psi | \Lambda) := \mathcal{E} \mathcal{S}(\bar{n}, \psi | \Lambda)$ is free Λ -module of finite rank.

- **Hecke operators:** For every $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$, one can define Λ -linear maps

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{a}): \mathcal{S}(\bar{n}, \psi | \Lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\bar{n}, \psi | \Lambda)$$

s.t. for almost every ν we have $\nu(\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{a})(\mathcal{F})) = \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{a})(\nu(\mathcal{F}))$.

The lifting theorem

- $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}(\bar{n}, \psi | \Lambda)$ is called:
 - ▶ a *Hecke eigenform* if it is an eigenvector for $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{a})(\mathcal{F})$ for every $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$.
 - ▶ *normalized* if $c(\mathcal{O}_F, \mathcal{F})(X) = 1$.
 - ▶ a *newform* if it is a normalized eigenform s.t. $\nu(\mathcal{F})$ is a newform of level divisible by \mathfrak{n}_0 (with $(\mathfrak{n}_0, \rho) = 1$) for almost every ν .
- In fact, \mathcal{F} is a newform $\Leftrightarrow \nu(\mathcal{F})$ is a newform for *infinitely many* ν .

Theorem (Hida for $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and $k \geq 2$; Wiles in general)

For a newform $f \in S_k^{\text{ord}}(\mathfrak{n}, \psi \omega^{2-k} | \mathcal{O})$, $k \geq 1$, there exist $\Lambda \supseteq \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$, $\nu_{k,0}$, and a newform $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{n}, \psi | \Lambda)$ s.t. $\nu_{k,0}(\mathcal{F}) = f$.

The lifting theorem

- $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}(\bar{n}, \psi | \Lambda)$ is called:
 - ▶ a *Hecke eigenform* if it is an eigenvector for $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{a})(\mathcal{F})$ for every $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$.
 - ▶ *normalized* if $c(\mathcal{O}_F, \mathcal{F})(X) = 1$.
 - ▶ a *newform* if it is a normalized eigenform s.t. $\nu(\mathcal{F})$ is a newform of level divisible by \mathfrak{n}_0 (with $(\mathfrak{n}_0, \rho) = 1$) for almost every ν .
- In fact, \mathcal{F} is a newform $\Leftrightarrow \nu(\mathcal{F})$ is a newform for *infinitely many* ν .

Theorem (Hida for $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and $k \geq 2$; Wiles in general)

For a newform $\mathfrak{f} \in S_k^{\text{ord}}(\mathfrak{n}, \psi \omega^{2-k} | \mathcal{O})$, $k \geq 1$, there exist $\Lambda \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$, $\nu_{k,0}$, and a newform $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{n}, \psi | \Lambda)$ s.t. $\nu_{k,0}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathfrak{f}$.

The lifting theorem

- $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi | \Lambda)$ is called:
 - ▶ a *Hecke eigenform* if it is an eigenvector for $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{a})(\mathcal{F})$ for every $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$.
 - ▶ *normalized* if $c(\mathcal{O}_F, \mathcal{F})(X) = 1$.
 - ▶ a *newform* if it is a normalized eigenform s.t. $\nu(\mathcal{F})$ is a newform of level divisible by \mathfrak{n}_0 (with $(\mathfrak{n}_0, \rho) = 1$) for almost every ν .
- In fact, \mathcal{F} is a newform $\Leftrightarrow \nu(\mathcal{F})$ is a newform for *infinitely many* ν .

Theorem (Hida for $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and $k \geq 2$; Wiles in general)

For a newform $\mathfrak{f} \in S_k^{\text{ord}}(\mathfrak{n}, \psi \omega^{2-k} | \mathcal{O})$, $k \geq 1$, there exist $\Lambda \supseteq \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$, $\nu_{k,0}$, and a newform $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi | \Lambda)$ s.t. $\nu_{k,0}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathfrak{f}$.

The lifting theorem

- $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi | \Lambda)$ is called:
 - ▶ a *Hecke eigenform* if it is an eigenvector for $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{a})(\mathcal{F})$ for every $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$.
 - ▶ *normalized* if $c(\mathcal{O}_F, \mathcal{F})(X) = 1$.
 - ▶ a *newform* if it is a normalized eigenform s.t. $\nu(\mathcal{F})$ is a newform of level divisible by \mathfrak{n}_0 (with $(\mathfrak{n}_0, \rho) = 1$) for almost every ν .
- In fact, \mathcal{F} is a newform $\Leftrightarrow \nu(\mathcal{F})$ is a newform for *infinitely many* ν .

Theorem (Hida for $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and $k \geq 2$; Wiles in general)

For a newform $\mathfrak{f} \in S_k^{\text{ord}}(\mathfrak{n}, \psi \omega^{2-k} | \mathcal{O})$, $k \geq 1$, there exist $\Lambda \supseteq \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$, $\nu_{k,0}$, and a newform $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi | \Lambda)$ s.t. $\nu_{k,0}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathfrak{f}$.

The lifting theorem

- $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi | \Lambda)$ is called:
 - ▶ a *Hecke eigenform* if it is an eigenvector for $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{a})(\mathcal{F})$ for every $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$.
 - ▶ *normalized* if $c(\mathcal{O}_F, \mathcal{F})(X) = 1$.
 - ▶ a *newform* if it is a normalized eigenform s.t. $\nu(\mathcal{F})$ is a newform of level divisible by \mathfrak{n}_0 (with $(\mathfrak{n}_0, \rho) = 1$) for almost every ν .
- In fact, \mathcal{F} is a newform $\Leftrightarrow \nu(\mathcal{F})$ is a newform for *infinitely many* ν .

Theorem (Hida for $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and $k \geq 2$; Wiles in general)

For a newform $\mathbf{f} \in S_k^{\text{ord}}(\mathfrak{n}, \psi \omega^{2-k} | \mathcal{O})$, $k \geq 1$, there exist $\Lambda \supseteq \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$, $\nu_{k,0}$, and a newform $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi | \Lambda)$ s.t. $\nu_{k,0}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbf{f}$.

Step 3: Patching

- Recall the setting

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda & \subseteq & \mathcal{K} \\ | & & | \\ \mathbb{Z}_p[\psi][[X]] & \subseteq & \mathbb{Q}_p[\psi](X) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O} & \subseteq & K \\ | & & | \\ \mathbb{Z}_p[\psi] & \subseteq & \mathbb{Q}_p[\psi] \end{array}$$

- There are two types of prime ideals $P \subseteq \Lambda$ of height 1:
 - $P|(p)$ (only a finite number); Λ/P finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_p[[X]]$.
 - P is generated by a polynomial not divisible by p ; Λ/P finite extension of \mathbb{Z}_p .
- From now on, “height 1 prime” = “height 1 prime of type b)”.
- Consider $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ a set of distinct height 1 primes of Λ ;
- K_n = field of fractions of Λ/P_n .
- \mathcal{O}_n = integral closure of Λ/P_n in K_n .

Step 3: Patching

- Recall the setting

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda & \subseteq & \mathcal{K} \\ | & & | \\ \mathbb{Z}_p[\psi][[X]] & \subseteq & \mathbb{Q}_p[\psi](X) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O} & \subseteq & K \\ | & & | \\ \mathbb{Z}_p[\psi] & \subseteq & \mathbb{Q}_p[\psi] \end{array}$$

- There are two types of prime ideals $P \subseteq \Lambda$ of height 1:
 - $P|(p)$ (only a finite number); Λ/P finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_p[[X]]$.
 - P is generated by a polynomial not divisible by p ; Λ/P finite extension of \mathbb{Z}_p .
- From now on, “height 1 prime” = “height 1 prime of type b)”.
- Consider $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ a set of distinct height 1 primes of Λ ;
- K_n = field of fractions of Λ/P_n .
- \mathcal{O}_n = integral closure of Λ/P_n in K_n .

Step 3: Patching

- Recall the setting

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda & \subseteq & \mathcal{K} \\ | & & | \\ \mathbb{Z}_p[\psi][[X]] & \subseteq & \mathbb{Q}_p[\psi](X) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O} & \subseteq & K \\ | & & | \\ \mathbb{Z}_p[\psi] & \subseteq & \mathbb{Q}_p[\psi] \end{array}$$

- There are two types of prime ideals $P \subseteq \Lambda$ of height 1:
 - $P|(p)$ (only a finite number); Λ/P finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_p[[X]]$.
 - P is generated by a polynomial not divisible by p ; Λ/P finite extension of \mathbb{Z}_p .
- From now on, “height 1 prime” = “height 1 prime of type b)”.
- Consider $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ a set of distinct height 1 primes of Λ ;
- K_n = field of fractions of Λ/P_n .
- \mathcal{O}_n = integral closure of Λ/P_n in K_n .

Patching Theorem (Wiles)

Suppose that for each $n \geq 1$, there exists a continuous odd representation

$$\varrho_n: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_n)$$

unramified outside $\mathfrak{n}p$, for some $\mathfrak{n} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$. Suppose that for every prime $q \nmid \mathfrak{n}p$, there exist $c_q(X), \varepsilon_q(X) \in \Lambda$ s.t.

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_n)(\mathrm{Frob}_q) \equiv c_q(X) \pmod{P_n},$$

$$\det(\varrho_n)(\mathrm{Frob}_q) \equiv \varepsilon_q(X) \pmod{P_n}.$$

Then there exists a continuous odd representation $\varrho: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{K})$ unramified outside $\mathfrak{n}p$ s.t. for every prime $q \nmid \mathfrak{n}p$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\varrho)(\mathrm{Frob}_q) = c_q(X) \in \Lambda,$$

$$\det(\varrho)(\mathrm{Frob}_q) = \varepsilon_q(X) \in \Lambda.$$

Patching Theorem (Wiles)

Suppose that for each $n \geq 1$, there exists a continuous odd representation

$$\varrho_n: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_n)$$

unramified outside \mathfrak{np} , for some $\mathfrak{n} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$. Suppose that for every prime $\mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{np}$, there exist $c_{\mathfrak{q}}(X), \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{q}}(X) \in \Lambda$ s.t.

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_n)(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}) \equiv c_{\mathfrak{q}}(X) \pmod{P_n},$$

$$\det(\varrho_n)(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}) \equiv \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{q}}(X) \pmod{P_n}.$$

Then there exists a continuous odd representation $\varrho: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{K})$ unramified outside \mathfrak{np} s.t. for every prime $\mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{np}$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\varrho)(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}) = c_{\mathfrak{q}}(X) \in \Lambda,$$

$$\det(\varrho)(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{q}}(X) \in \Lambda.$$

Patching Theorem (Wiles)

Suppose that for each $n \geq 1$, there exists a continuous odd representation

$$\varrho_n: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_n)$$

unramified outside $\mathfrak{n}p$, for some $\mathfrak{n} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$. Suppose that for every prime $\mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{n}p$, there exist $c_{\mathfrak{q}}(X), \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{q}}(X) \in \Lambda$ s.t.

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_n)(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}) \equiv c_{\mathfrak{q}}(X) \pmod{P_n},$$

$$\det(\varrho_n)(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}) \equiv \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{q}}(X) \pmod{P_n}.$$

Then there exists a continuous odd representation $\varrho: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{K})$ unramified outside $\mathfrak{n}p$ s.t. for every prime $\mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{n}p$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\varrho)(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}) = c_{\mathfrak{q}}(X) \in \Lambda,$$

$$\det(\varrho)(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{q}}(X) \in \Lambda.$$

Pseudo-representations

Definition

Let G be a profinite group and let R be a commutative topological integral domain. A *pseudo-representation* of G into R is a triple $\pi = (A_\pi, D_\pi, C_\pi)$ of continuous maps

$$A_\pi: G \rightarrow R, \quad D_\pi: G \rightarrow R, \quad C_\pi: G \times G \rightarrow R$$

satisfying the following conditions for all elements $g, g_i \in G$:

- i) $A_\pi(g_1 g_2) = A_\pi(g_1) A_\pi(g_2) + C_\pi(g_1, g_2)$.
- ii) $D_\pi(g_1 g_2) = D_\pi(g_1) D_\pi(g_2) + C_\pi(g_1, g_2)$.
- iii) $C_\pi(g_1 g_2, g_3) = A_\pi(g_1) C_\pi(g_2, g_3) + D_\pi(g_2) C_\pi(g_1, g_3)$.
- iv) $C_\pi(g_1, g_2 g_3) = A_\pi(g_3) C_\pi(g_1, g_2) + D_\pi(g_2) C_\pi(g_1, g_3)$.
- v) $A_\pi(1) = D_\pi(1) = 1$.
- vi) $C_\pi(g, 1) = C_\pi(1, g) = 0$.
- vii) $C_\pi(g_1, g_2) C_\pi(g_3, g_4) = C_\pi(g_1, g_4) C_\pi(g_3, g_2)$.

Pseudo-representations vs. Representations

Lemma

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \varrho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(R) \text{ is a rep. s.t.} \\ \varrho(g) = \begin{pmatrix} a(g) & b(g) \\ c(g) & d(g) \end{pmatrix} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \pi := (A_\pi(\cdot), D_\pi(\cdot), C_\pi(\cdot, \cdot)) \\ \text{is a pseudo-rep., where} \\ A_\pi(g) := a(g), D_\pi(g) := d(g), \\ C_\pi(g_1, g_2) := b(g_1)c(g_2). \end{array} \right.$$

Conversely, if $\pi = (A_\pi, D_\pi, C_\pi)$ is a pseudo-representation of G into R s.t. there exist $g_1, g_2 \in G$ with $C_\pi(g_1, g_2) \in R^*$, then

$$\varrho(g) := \begin{pmatrix} A_\pi(g) & C_\pi(g, g_2)/C_\pi(g_1, g_2) \\ C_\pi(g_1, g) & D_\pi(g) \end{pmatrix}$$

is a representation $\varrho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(R)$.

- If R is a field, then every pseudo-rep. comes from a rep.
- $\mathrm{Tr}(\pi)(g) := A_\pi(g) + D_\pi(g)$, $\det(\pi)(g) := A_\pi(g)D_\pi(g) - C_\pi(g, g)$.

Pseudo-representations vs. Representations

Lemma

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \varrho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(R) \text{ is a rep. s.t.} \\ \varrho(g) = \begin{pmatrix} a(g) & b(g) \\ c(g) & d(g) \end{pmatrix} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \pi := (A_\pi(\cdot), D_\pi(\cdot), C_\pi(\cdot, \cdot)) \\ \text{is a pseudo-rep., where} \\ A_\pi(g) := a(g), D_\pi(g) := d(g), \\ C_\pi(g_1, g_2) := b(g_1)c(g_2). \end{array} \right.$$

Conversely, if $\pi = (A_\pi, D_\pi, C_\pi)$ is a pseudo-representation of G into R s.t. there exist $g_1, g_2 \in G$ with $C_\pi(g_1, g_2) \in R^*$, then

$$\varrho(g) := \begin{pmatrix} A_\pi(g) & C_\pi(g, g_2)/C_\pi(g_1, g_2) \\ C_\pi(g_1, g) & D_\pi(g) \end{pmatrix}$$

is a representation $\varrho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(R)$.

- If R is a field, then every pseudo-rep. comes from a rep.
- $\mathrm{Tr}(\pi)(g) := A_\pi(g) + D_\pi(g)$, $\det(\pi)(g) := A_\pi(g)D_\pi(g) - C_\pi(g, g)$.

Pseudo-representations vs. Representations

Lemma

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \varrho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(R) \text{ is a rep. s.t.} \\ \varrho(g) = \begin{pmatrix} a(g) & b(g) \\ c(g) & d(g) \end{pmatrix} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \pi := (A_\pi(\cdot), D_\pi(\cdot), C_\pi(\cdot, \cdot)) \\ \text{is a pseudo-rep., where} \\ A_\pi(g) := a(g), D_\pi(g) := d(g), \\ C_\pi(g_1, g_2) := b(g_1)c(g_2). \end{array} \right.$$

Conversely, if $\pi = (A_\pi, D_\pi, C_\pi)$ is a pseudo-representation of G into R s.t. there exist $g_1, g_2 \in G$ with $C_\pi(g_1, g_2) \in R^*$, then

$$\varrho(g) := \begin{pmatrix} A_\pi(g) & C_\pi(g, g_2)/C_\pi(g_1, g_2) \\ C_\pi(g_1, g) & D_\pi(g) \end{pmatrix}$$

is a representation $\varrho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(R)$.

- If R is a field, then every pseudo-rep. comes from a rep.
- $\mathrm{Tr}(\pi)(g) := A_\pi(g) + D_\pi(g)$, $\det(\pi)(g) := A_\pi(g)D_\pi(g) - C_\pi(g, g)$.

Pseudo-representations vs. Representations

Lemma

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \varrho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(R) \text{ is a rep. s.t.} \\ \varrho(g) = \begin{pmatrix} a(g) & b(g) \\ c(g) & d(g) \end{pmatrix} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \pi := (A_\pi(\cdot), D_\pi(\cdot), C_\pi(\cdot, \cdot)) \\ \text{is a pseudo-rep., where} \\ A_\pi(g) := a(g), D_\pi(g) := d(g), \\ C_\pi(g_1, g_2) := b(g_1)c(g_2). \end{array} \right.$$

Conversely, if $\pi = (A_\pi, D_\pi, C_\pi)$ is a pseudo-representation of G into R s.t. there exist $g_1, g_2 \in G$ with $C_\pi(g_1, g_2) \in R^*$, then

$$\varrho(g) := \begin{pmatrix} A_\pi(g) & C_\pi(g, g_2)/C_\pi(g_1, g_2) \\ C_\pi(g_1, g) & D_\pi(g) \end{pmatrix}$$

is a representation $\varrho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(R)$.

- If R is a field, then every pseudo-rep. comes from a rep.
- $\mathrm{Tr}(\pi)(g) := A_\pi(g) + D_\pi(g)$, $\det(\pi)(g) := A_\pi(g)D_\pi(g) - C_\pi(g, g)$.

Odd pseudo-representations

Definition

- A rep. ϱ is *odd* if there exists $\sigma \in G$ of order 2 s.t.

$$\varrho(\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- A pseudo-rep. π is *odd* if there exists $\sigma \in G$ of order 2 s.t.

$$A_\pi(\sigma) = -1, \quad D_\pi(\sigma) = 1, \quad C_\pi(g, \sigma) = C_\pi(\sigma, g) = 0 \quad \forall g \in G.$$

Lemma

If $2 \in R^*$, then an odd pseudo-rep. π is determined by $\text{Tr}(\pi)$.

Proof.

$$A_\pi(g) = \frac{\text{Tr}(\pi)(g) - \text{Tr}(\pi)(g\sigma)}{2}, \quad D_\pi(g) = \frac{\text{Tr}(\pi)(g) + \text{Tr}(\pi)(g\sigma)}{2}. \quad \square$$

Odd pseudo-representations

Definition

- A rep. ϱ is *odd* if there exists $\sigma \in G$ of order 2 s.t.

$$\varrho(\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- A pseudo-rep. π is *odd* if there exists $\sigma \in G$ of order 2 s.t.

$$A_\pi(\sigma) = -1, \quad D_\pi(\sigma) = 1, \quad C_\pi(g, \sigma) = C_\pi(\sigma, g) = 0 \quad \forall g \in G.$$

Lemma

If $2 \in R^*$, then an odd pseudo-rep. π is determined by $\text{Tr}(\pi)$.

Proof.

$$A_\pi(g) = \frac{\text{Tr}(\pi)(g) - \text{Tr}(\pi)(g\sigma)}{2}, \quad D_\pi(g) = \frac{\text{Tr}(\pi)(g) + \text{Tr}(\pi)(g\sigma)}{2}. \quad \square$$

Odd pseudo-representations

Definition

- A rep. ϱ is *odd* if there exists $\sigma \in G$ of order 2 s.t.

$$\varrho(\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- A pseudo-rep. π is *odd* if there exists $\sigma \in G$ of order 2 s.t.

$$A_\pi(\sigma) = -1, \quad D_\pi(\sigma) = 1, \quad C_\pi(g, \sigma) = C_\pi(\sigma, g) = 0 \quad \forall g \in G.$$

Lemma

If $2 \in R^*$, then an odd pseudo-rep. π is determined by $\text{Tr}(\pi)$.

Proof.

$$A_\pi(g) = \frac{\text{Tr}(\pi)(g) - \text{Tr}(\pi)(g\sigma)}{2}, \quad D_\pi(g) = \frac{\text{Tr}(\pi)(g) + \text{Tr}(\pi)(g\sigma)}{2}. \quad \square$$

Proof of the Patching Theorem

- odd $\varrho_n: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_n) \rightsquigarrow$ odd π_n with values in \mathcal{O}_n
- π_n is determined by $\mathrm{Tr}(\pi_n) = \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_n) \in \Lambda/P_n$
 $\rightsquigarrow \pi_n$ is with values in Λ/P_n .
- Write $Q_r = P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_r$.
- Suppose we have constructed a pseudo-rep. α_r in Λ/Q_r s.t.

$$\alpha_r \equiv \pi_n \pmod{P_n} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq r.$$

- Observe that for $1 \leq n \leq r$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\alpha_r) \equiv \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_n) \pmod{(P_n, P_{r+1})}$$

Proof of the Patching Theorem

- odd $\varrho_n: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_n) \rightsquigarrow$ odd π_n with values in \mathcal{O}_n
- π_n is determined by $\mathrm{Tr}(\pi_n) = \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_n) \in \Lambda/P_n$
 $\rightsquigarrow \pi_n$ is with values in Λ/P_n .
- Write $Q_r = P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_r$.
- Suppose we have constructed a pseudo-rep. α_r in Λ/Q_r s.t.

$$\alpha_r \equiv \pi_n \pmod{P_n} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq r.$$

- Observe that for $1 \leq n \leq r$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\alpha_r) \equiv \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_n) \pmod{(P_n, P_{r+1})}$$

Proof of the Patching Theorem

- odd $\varrho_n: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_n) \rightsquigarrow$ odd π_n with values in \mathcal{O}_n
- π_n is determined by $\mathrm{Tr}(\pi_n) = \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_n) \in \Lambda/P_n$
 $\rightsquigarrow \pi_n$ is with values in Λ/P_n .
- Write $Q_r = P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_r$.
- Suppose we have constructed a pseudo-rep. α_r in Λ/Q_r s.t.

$$\alpha_r \equiv \pi_n \pmod{P_n} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq r.$$

- Observe that for $1 \leq n \leq r$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\alpha_r) \equiv \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_n) \pmod{P_n, P_{r+1}}$$

Proof of the Patching Theorem

- odd $\varrho_n: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_n) \rightsquigarrow$ odd π_n with values in \mathcal{O}_n
- π_n is determined by $\mathrm{Tr}(\pi_n) = \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_n) \in \Lambda/P_n$
 $\rightsquigarrow \pi_n$ is with values in Λ/P_n .
- Write $Q_r = P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_r$.
- Suppose we have constructed a pseudo-rep. α_r in Λ/Q_r s.t.

$$\alpha_r \equiv \pi_n \pmod{P_n} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq r.$$

- Observe that for $1 \leq n \leq r$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\alpha_r) \equiv \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_n) = \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_n) \equiv \mathrm{Tr}(\alpha_{r+1}) \pmod{(P_n, P_{r+1})}$$

Proof of the Patching Theorem

- odd $\varrho_n: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_n) \rightsquigarrow$ odd π_n with values in \mathcal{O}_n
- π_n is determined by $\mathrm{Tr}(\pi_n) = \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_n) \in \Lambda/P_n$
 $\rightsquigarrow \pi_n$ is with values in Λ/P_n .
- Write $Q_r = P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_r$.
- Suppose we have constructed a pseudo-rep. α_r in Λ/Q_r s.t.

$$\alpha_r \equiv \pi_n \pmod{P_n} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq r.$$

- Observe that for $1 \leq n \leq r$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\alpha_r) \equiv \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_n) = \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_n) \equiv \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_{r+1}) \pmod{(P_n, P_{r+1})}$$

Proof of the Patching Theorem

- odd $\varrho_n: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_n) \rightsquigarrow$ odd π_n with values in \mathcal{O}_n
- π_n is determined by $\mathrm{Tr}(\pi_n) = \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_n) \in \Lambda/P_n$
 $\rightsquigarrow \pi_n$ is with values in Λ/P_n .
- Write $Q_r = P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_r$.
- Suppose we have constructed a pseudo-rep. α_r in Λ/Q_r s.t.

$$\alpha_r \equiv \pi_n \pmod{P_n} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq r.$$

- Observe that for $1 \leq n \leq r$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\alpha_r) \equiv \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_n) = \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_n) \equiv \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_{r+1}) = \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_{r+1}) \pmod{(P_n, P_{r+1})}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathrm{Tr}(\alpha_r) \equiv \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_{r+1}) \pmod{(Q_r, P_{r+1})}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_r \equiv \pi_{r+1} \pmod{(Q_r, P_{r+1})}.$$

Proof of the Patching Theorem

- odd $\varrho_n: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_n) \rightsquigarrow$ odd π_n with values in \mathcal{O}_n
- π_n is determined by $\mathrm{Tr}(\pi_n) = \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_n) \in \Lambda/P_n$
 $\rightsquigarrow \pi_n$ is with values in Λ/P_n .
- Write $Q_r = P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_r$.
- Suppose we have constructed a pseudo-rep. α_r in Λ/Q_r s.t.

$$\alpha_r \equiv \pi_n \pmod{P_n} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq r.$$

- Observe that for $1 \leq n \leq r$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\alpha_r) \equiv \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_n) = \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_n) \equiv \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_{r+1}) = \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_{r+1}) \pmod{(P_n, P_{r+1})}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathrm{Tr}(\alpha_r) \equiv \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_{r+1}) \pmod{(Q_r, P_{r+1})}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_r \equiv \pi_{r+1} \pmod{(Q_r, P_{r+1})}.$$

Proof of the Patching Theorem

- odd $\varrho_n: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_n) \rightsquigarrow$ odd π_n with values in \mathcal{O}_n
- π_n is determined by $\mathrm{Tr}(\pi_n) = \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_n) \in \Lambda/P_n$
 $\rightsquigarrow \pi_n$ is with values in Λ/P_n .
- Write $Q_r = P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_r$.
- Suppose we have constructed a pseudo-rep. α_r in Λ/Q_r s.t.

$$\alpha_r \equiv \pi_n \pmod{P_n} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq r.$$

- Observe that for $1 \leq n \leq r$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\alpha_r) \equiv \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_n) = \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_n) \equiv \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_{r+1}) = \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_{r+1}) \pmod{(P_n, P_{r+1})}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathrm{Tr}(\alpha_r) \equiv \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_{r+1}) \pmod{(Q_r, P_{r+1})}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_r \equiv \pi_{r+1} \pmod{(Q_r, P_{r+1})}.$$

Proof of the Patching Theorem

- odd $\varrho_n: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_n) \rightsquigarrow$ odd π_n with values in \mathcal{O}_n
- π_n is determined by $\mathrm{Tr}(\pi_n) = \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_n) \in \Lambda/P_n$
 $\rightsquigarrow \pi_n$ is with values in Λ/P_n .
- Write $Q_r = P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_r$.
- Suppose we have constructed a pseudo-rep. α_r in Λ/Q_r s.t.

$$\alpha_r \equiv \pi_n \pmod{P_n} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq r.$$

- Observe that for $1 \leq n \leq r$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\alpha_r) \equiv \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_n) = \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_n) \equiv \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_{r+1}) = \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_{r+1}) \pmod{(P_n, P_{r+1})}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathrm{Tr}(\alpha_r) \equiv \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_{r+1}) \pmod{(Q_r, P_{r+1})}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_r \equiv \pi_{r+1} \pmod{(Q_r, P_{r+1})}.$$

Proof of the Patching Theorem

- odd $\varrho_n: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_n) \rightsquigarrow$ odd π_n with values in \mathcal{O}_n
- π_n is determined by $\mathrm{Tr}(\pi_n) = \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_n) \in \Lambda/P_n$
 $\rightsquigarrow \pi_n$ is with values in Λ/P_n .
- Write $Q_r = P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_r$.
- Suppose we have constructed a pseudo-rep. α_r in Λ/Q_r s.t.

$$\alpha_r \equiv \pi_n \pmod{P_n} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq r.$$

- Observe that for $1 \leq n \leq r$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\alpha_r) \equiv \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_n) = \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_n) \equiv \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_{r+1}) = \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_{r+1}) \pmod{(P_n, P_{r+1})}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathrm{Tr}(\alpha_r) \equiv \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_{r+1}) \pmod{(Q_r, P_{r+1})}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_r \equiv \pi_{r+1} \pmod{(Q_r, P_{r+1})}.$$

Proof of the Patching Theorem

- odd $\varrho_n: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_n) \rightsquigarrow$ odd π_n with values in \mathcal{O}_n
- π_n is determined by $\mathrm{Tr}(\pi_n) = \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_n) \in \Lambda/P_n$
 $\rightsquigarrow \pi_n$ is with values in Λ/P_n .
- Write $Q_r = P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_r$.
- Suppose we have constructed a pseudo-rep. α_r in Λ/Q_r s.t.

$$\alpha_r \equiv \pi_n \pmod{P_n} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq r.$$

- Observe that for $1 \leq n \leq r$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\alpha_r) \equiv \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_n) = \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_n) \equiv \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_{r+1}) = \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_{r+1}) \pmod{(P_n, P_{r+1})}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathrm{Tr}(\alpha_r) \equiv \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_{r+1}) \pmod{(Q_r, P_{r+1})}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_r \equiv \pi_{r+1} \pmod{(Q_r, P_{r+1})}.$$

Proof of the Patching Theorem.

- By

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda/Q_{r+1} \rightarrow \Lambda/Q_r \oplus \Lambda/P_{r+1} \rightarrow \Lambda/(Q_r, P_{r+1}) \rightarrow 0,$$

we may lift the pseudo-rep. $\alpha_r \oplus \pi_{r+1}$ into $\Lambda/Q_r \oplus \Lambda/P_{r+1}$ to a pseudo-rep. α_{r+1} into Λ/Q_{r+1} s.t.

$$\alpha_{r+1} \equiv \pi_n \pmod{P_n} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq r+1.$$

- Set $\alpha := \lim_{\leftarrow} \alpha_n$.
- α is a pseudo-rep. into $\lim_{\leftarrow} \Lambda/P_n \simeq \Lambda$, since $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n = 0$.
- α pseudo-rep. into \mathcal{K} .



Proof of the Patching Theorem.

- By

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda/Q_{r+1} \rightarrow \Lambda/Q_r \oplus \Lambda/P_{r+1} \rightarrow \Lambda/(Q_r, P_{r+1}) \rightarrow 0,$$

we may lift the pseudo-rep. $\alpha_r \oplus \pi_{r+1}$ into $\Lambda/Q_r \oplus \Lambda/P_{r+1}$ to a pseudo-rep. α_{r+1} into Λ/Q_{r+1} s.t.

$$\alpha_{r+1} \equiv \pi_n \pmod{P_n} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq r+1.$$

- Set $\alpha := \lim_{\leftarrow} \alpha_n$.
- α is a pseudo-rep. into $\lim_{\leftarrow} \Lambda/P_n \simeq \Lambda$, since $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n = 0$.
- α pseudo-rep. into \mathcal{K} via a rep. $\rho: G_E \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{K})$, which has the desired properties.



Proof of the Patching Theorem.

- By

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda/Q_{r+1} \rightarrow \Lambda/Q_r \oplus \Lambda/P_{r+1} \rightarrow \Lambda/(Q_r, P_{r+1}) \rightarrow 0,$$

we may lift the pseudo-rep. $\alpha_r \oplus \pi_{r+1}$ into $\Lambda/Q_r \oplus \Lambda/P_{r+1}$ to a pseudo-rep. α_{r+1} into Λ/Q_{r+1} s.t.

$$\alpha_{r+1} \equiv \pi_n \pmod{P_n} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq r+1.$$

- Set $\alpha := \lim_{\leftarrow} \alpha_n$.
- α is a pseudo-rep. into $\lim_{\leftarrow} \Lambda/P_n \simeq \Lambda$, since $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n = 0$.
- α pseudo-rep. into \mathcal{K} via a rep. $\rho: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{K})$, which has the desired properties.



Proof of the Patching Theorem.

- By

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda/Q_{r+1} \rightarrow \Lambda/Q_r \oplus \Lambda/P_{r+1} \rightarrow \Lambda/(Q_r, P_{r+1}) \rightarrow 0,$$

we may lift the pseudo-rep. $\alpha_r \oplus \pi_{r+1}$ into $\Lambda/Q_r \oplus \Lambda/P_{r+1}$ to a pseudo-rep. α_{r+1} into Λ/Q_{r+1} s.t.

$$\alpha_{r+1} \equiv \pi_n \pmod{P_n} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq r+1.$$

- Set $\alpha := \lim_{\leftarrow} \alpha_n$.
- α is a pseudo-rep. into $\lim_{\leftarrow} \Lambda/P_n \simeq \Lambda$, since $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n = 0$.
- α pseudo-rep. into $\mathcal{K} \rightsquigarrow$ a rep. $\varrho: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{K})$, which has the desired properties.



Proof of the Patching Theorem.

- By

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda/Q_{r+1} \rightarrow \Lambda/Q_r \oplus \Lambda/P_{r+1} \rightarrow \Lambda/(Q_r, P_{r+1}) \rightarrow 0,$$

we may lift the pseudo-rep. $\alpha_r \oplus \pi_{r+1}$ into $\Lambda/Q_r \oplus \Lambda/P_{r+1}$ to a pseudo-rep. α_{r+1} into Λ/Q_{r+1} s.t.

$$\alpha_{r+1} \equiv \pi_n \pmod{P_n} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq r+1.$$

- Set $\alpha := \lim_{\leftarrow} \alpha_n$.
- α is a pseudo-rep. into $\lim_{\leftarrow} \Lambda/P_n \simeq \Lambda$, since $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n = 0$.
- α pseudo-rep. into $\mathcal{K} \rightsquigarrow$ a rep. $\varrho: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{K})$, which has the desired properties.



Layout

- 1 Wiles' result and general notations
- 2 General strategy of the proof
- 3 Tools for the proof
- 4 Sketch of the proof**
- 5 Are there any ordinary primes?

Λ -adic representations attached to Λ -adic forms

Theorem (Wiles)

For a newform $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{n}, \psi | \Lambda)$, there is a cont. odd irred. rep.

$$\rho_{\mathcal{F}}: G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{K})$$

unramified outside $n p$ s.t. for every prime $q \nmid n p$ we have

$$\text{Tr}(\rho_{\mathcal{F}})(\text{Frob}_q) = c(q, \mathcal{F})(X) \in \Lambda,$$

$$\det(\rho_{\mathcal{F}})(\text{Frob}_q) = \psi(q)N(q) \in \Lambda.$$

- It implies the Main Theorem:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{f} \in S_k(\mathfrak{n}, \psi | \mathcal{O}) & \rightsquigarrow & \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{n}, \psi \omega^{k-2} | \Lambda) & \rightsquigarrow & \rho_{\mathcal{F}} \rightsquigarrow \rho_{\mathfrak{f}, \lambda} := \nu(\rho_{\mathcal{F}}) \\ \text{ordinary} & & \text{s.t. } \nu(\mathcal{F}) = e\mathfrak{f}. & & \end{array}$$

- **Schur's Lemma:** If $\rho_{\mathfrak{f}, \lambda}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(L)$ exists, with L a finite extension of $K_{\mathfrak{f}, \lambda}$, then there is an equivalent rep. $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{f}, \lambda})$.
- **Ribet:** If $\rho_{\mathfrak{f}, \lambda}$ exists, then it is irreducible.

Λ -adic representations attached to Λ -adic forms

Theorem (Wiles)

For a newform $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{n}, \psi | \Lambda)$, there is a cont. odd irred. rep.

$$\varrho_{\mathcal{F}}: G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{K})$$

unramified outside $n p$ s.t. for every prime $q \nmid n p$ we have

$$\text{Tr}(\varrho_{\mathcal{F}})(\text{Frob}_q) = c(q, \mathcal{F})(X) \in \Lambda,$$

$$\det(\varrho_{\mathcal{F}})(\text{Frob}_q) = \psi(q)N(q) \in \Lambda.$$

- It implies the Main Theorem:

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{S}_k(\mathbf{n}, \psi | \mathcal{O}) \\ \text{ordinary} \end{array} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{n}, \psi \omega^{k-2} | \Lambda) \begin{array}{c} \rightsquigarrow \varrho_{\mathcal{F}} \rightsquigarrow \varrho_{\mathbf{f}, \lambda} := \nu(\varrho_{\mathcal{F}}) \\ \text{s.t. } \nu(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbf{e}\mathbf{f}. \end{array}$$

- **Schur's Lemma:** If $\varrho_{\mathbf{f}, \lambda}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(L)$ exists, with L a finite extension of $K_{\mathbf{f}, \lambda}$, then there is an equivalent rep. $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}, \lambda})$.
- **Ribet:** If $\varrho_{\mathbf{f}, \lambda}$ exists, then it is irreducible.

Λ -adic representations attached to Λ -adic forms

Theorem (Wiles)

For a newform $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{n}, \psi | \Lambda)$, there is a cont. odd irred. rep.

$$\varrho_{\mathcal{F}}: G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{K})$$

unramified outside $n p$ s.t. for every prime $q \nmid n p$ we have

$$\text{Tr}(\varrho_{\mathcal{F}})(\text{Frob}_q) = c(q, \mathcal{F})(X) \in \Lambda,$$

$$\det(\varrho_{\mathcal{F}})(\text{Frob}_q) = \psi(q)N(q) \in \Lambda.$$

- It implies the Main Theorem:

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{f} \in S_k(\mathbf{n}, \psi | \mathcal{O}) \\ \text{ordinary} \end{array} \rightsquigarrow \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{n}, \psi \omega^{k-2} | \Lambda) \\ \text{s.t. } \nu(\mathcal{F}) = e\mathbf{f}. \end{array} \rightsquigarrow \varrho_{\mathcal{F}} \rightsquigarrow \varrho_{\mathbf{f}, \lambda} := \nu(\varrho_{\mathcal{F}})$$

- **Schur's Lemma:** If $\varrho_{\mathbf{f}, \lambda}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(L)$ exists, with L a finite extension of $K_{\mathbf{f}, \lambda}$, then there is an equivalent rep. $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}, \lambda})$.
- **Ribet:** If $\varrho_{\mathbf{f}, \lambda}$ exists, then it is irreducible.

Λ -adic representations attached to Λ -adic forms

Theorem (Wiles)

For a newform $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{n}, \psi | \Lambda)$, there is a cont. odd irred. rep.

$$\varrho_{\mathcal{F}}: G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{K})$$

unramified outside $n p$ s.t. for every prime $q \nmid n p$ we have

$$\text{Tr}(\varrho_{\mathcal{F}})(\text{Frob}_q) = c(q, \mathcal{F})(X) \in \Lambda,$$

$$\det(\varrho_{\mathcal{F}})(\text{Frob}_q) = \psi(q)N(q) \in \Lambda.$$

- It implies the Main Theorem:

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{S}_k(\mathbf{n}, \psi | \mathcal{O}) \\ \text{ordinary} \end{array} \rightsquigarrow \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{n}, \psi \omega^{k-2} | \Lambda) \\ \text{s.t. } \nu(\mathcal{F}) = e\mathbf{f}. \end{array} \rightsquigarrow \varrho_{\mathcal{F}} \rightsquigarrow \varrho_{\mathbf{f}, \lambda} := \nu(\varrho_{\mathcal{F}})$$

- **Schur's Lemma:** If $\varrho_{\mathbf{f}, \lambda}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(L)$ exists, with L a finite extension of $K_{\mathbf{f}, \lambda}$, then there is an equivalent rep. $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}, \lambda})$.
- **Ribet:** If $\varrho_{\mathbf{f}, \lambda}$ exists, then it is irreducible.

Warm up: $F = \mathbb{Q}$

- We show:

1 Eichler-Shimura ($k=2$) }
2 Patching Theorem } \Rightarrow Theorem on the previous slide
(existence of Galois reps. for $k \geq 1$).

- For almost all $r \geq 1$

$$f_r := \nu_{2,r}(\mathcal{F}) \in S_2^{\text{ord}}(Np^r, \psi_{\varrho\zeta} | \mathcal{O}[\zeta])$$

is an eigenform.

- $f_r \xrightarrow{1} \varrho_r: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}[\zeta_r])$ a continuous irreducible odd representation unramified outside Np satisfying that for every $q \nmid Np$

$$\text{Tr}(\varrho_r)(\text{Frob}_q) = c_q(f_r) = c_q(\mathcal{F})(\zeta_r) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_q}$$

$$\det(\varrho_r)(\text{Frob}_q) = \psi(q)q^1 = \psi(q)q \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_q}$$

where $\mathfrak{m}_q = \text{prime of } \mathbb{F}_q \text{ associated to } \varrho_r$.

- $\{\varrho_r\}_r \xrightarrow{2} \varrho_{\mathcal{F}}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{K})$.

Warm up: $F = \mathbb{Q}$

- We show:

1 Eichler-Shimura ($k=2$) }
2 Patching Theorem } \Rightarrow Theorem on the previous slide
(existence of Galois reps. for $k \geq 1$).

- For almost all $r \geq 1$

$$f_r := \nu_{2,r}(\mathcal{F}) \in S_2^{\text{ord}}(Np^r, \psi_{\varrho_\zeta} | \mathcal{O}[\zeta])$$

is an eigenform.

- $f_r \xrightarrow{1} \varrho_r: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}[\zeta_r])$ a continuous irreducible odd representation unramified outside Np satisfying that for every $q \nmid Np$

$$\text{Tr}(\varrho_r)(\text{Frob}_q) = c_q(f_r) \equiv c_q(\mathcal{F})(X) \pmod{P_{2,r}},$$

$$\det(\varrho_r)(\text{Frob}_q) = \psi(q)q^1 \equiv \psi(q)q \pmod{P_{2,r}},$$

where $P_{2,r}$ = prime of Λ associated to $\nu_{2,r}$.

- $\{\varrho_r\}_r \xrightarrow{2} \varrho_{\mathcal{F}}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{K})$.

Warm up: $F = \mathbb{Q}$

- We show:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} 1 \text{ Eichler-Shimura (k=2)} \\ 2 \text{ Patching Theorem} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \text{Theorem on the previous slide (existence of Galois reps. for } k \geq 1).$$

- For almost all $r \geq 1$

$$f_r := \nu_{2,r}(\mathcal{F}) \in S_2^{\text{ord}}(Np^r, \psi_{\varrho_\zeta} | \mathcal{O}[\zeta])$$

is an eigenform.

- $f_r \xrightarrow{1} \varrho_r: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}[\zeta_r])$ a continuous irreducible odd representation unramified outside Np satisfying that for every $q \nmid Np$

$$\text{Tr}(\varrho_r)(\text{Frob}_q) = c_q(f_r) \equiv c_q(\mathcal{F})(X) \pmod{P_{2,r}},$$

$$\det(\varrho_r)(\text{Frob}_q) = \psi(q)q^1 \equiv \psi(q)q \pmod{P_{2,r}},$$

where $P_{2,r}$ = prime of Λ associated to $\nu_{2,r}$.

- $\{\varrho_r\}_r \xrightarrow{2} \varrho_{\mathcal{F}}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{K})$.

Warm up: $F = \mathbb{Q}$

- We show:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} 1 \text{ Eichler-Shimura (k=2)} \\ 2 \text{ Patching Theorem} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \text{Theorem on the previous slide (existence of Galois reps. for } k \geq 1 \text{).}$$

- For almost all $r \geq 1$

$$f_r := \nu_{2,r}(\mathcal{F}) \in S_2^{\text{ord}}(Np^r, \psi_{\varrho_{\zeta}} | \mathcal{O}[\zeta])$$

is an eigenform.

- $f_r \xrightarrow{1} \varrho_r: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}[\zeta_r])$ a continuous irreducible odd representation unramified outside Np satisfying that for every $q \nmid Np$

$$\text{Tr}(\varrho_r)(\text{Frob}_q) = c_q(f_r) \equiv c_q(\mathcal{F})(X) \pmod{P_{2,r}},$$

$$\det(\varrho_r)(\text{Frob}_q) = \psi(q)q^1 \equiv \psi(q)q \pmod{P_{2,r}},$$

where $P_{2,r}$ = prime of Λ associated to $\nu_{2,r}$.

- $\{\varrho_r\}_r \xrightarrow{2} \varrho_{\mathcal{F}}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{K})$.

Warm up: $F = \mathbb{Q}$

- We show:

1 Eichler-Shimura ($k=2$) }
2 Patching Theorem } \Rightarrow Theorem on the previous slide
(existence of Galois reps. for $k \geq 1$).

- For almost all $r \geq 1$

$$f_r := \nu_{2,r}(\mathcal{F}) \in S_2^{\text{ord}}(Np^r, \psi_{\varrho_\zeta} | \mathcal{O}[\zeta])$$

is an eigenform.

- $f_r \xrightarrow{1} \varrho_r: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}[\zeta_r])$ a continuous irreducible odd representation unramified outside Np satisfying that for every $q \nmid Np$

$$\text{Tr}(\varrho_r)(\text{Frob}_q) = c_q(f_r) \equiv c_q(\mathcal{F})(X) \pmod{P_{2,r}},$$

$$\det(\varrho_r)(\text{Frob}_q) = \psi(q)q^1 \equiv \psi(q)q \pmod{P_{2,r}},$$

where $P_{2,r}$ = prime of Λ associated to $\nu_{2,r}$.

- $\{\varrho_r\}_r \xrightarrow{2} \varrho_{\mathcal{F}}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{K})$.

General case

- Assume $d = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even.
- $\mathfrak{l} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F =$ a prime s.t. $(\mathfrak{l}, n\rho) = 1$.
- Extending coefficients to \mathcal{K} . Set

$$S^{\text{ord}}(\bar{n}, \psi | \mathcal{K}) := S^{\text{ord}}(\bar{n}, \psi | \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathcal{K}.$$

- Space of oldforms *with respect to* \mathfrak{l} :

$$S^{\text{ord}}(\bar{n}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{old}} := \{ \mathcal{F}(z) + \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{l}z) \mid \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in S^{\text{ord}}(\bar{n}, \psi | \mathcal{K}) \}.$$

- Space of newforms *with respect to* \mathfrak{l} :

$$S^{\text{ord}}(\bar{n}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{new}} := \mathcal{K} \left\{ \mathcal{F}_i(\mathfrak{a}_{ij}z) \mid \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{F}_i \in S^{\text{ord}}(\bar{m}_i, \psi | \Lambda) \text{ newform} \\ \text{and } \mathfrak{l} \mid \bar{m}_i, (\rho, \mathfrak{a}_{ij}) = 1, \mathfrak{a}_{ij} \bar{m}_i \mid \bar{n}\mathfrak{l} \end{array} \right\},$$

- Enlarge \mathcal{K} so that it contains the eigenvalues of all eigenforms. Then:

$$S^{\text{ord}}(\bar{n}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K}) = S^{\text{ord}}(\bar{n}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{old}} \oplus S^{\text{ord}}(\bar{n}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{new}}.$$

(sum decomposition which does not necessarily hold over Λ).

General case

- Assume $d = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even.
- $\mathfrak{l} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F =$ a prime s.t $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{n}\rho) = 1$.
- Extending coefficients to \mathcal{K} . Set

$$\mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi | \mathcal{K}) := \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi | \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathcal{K}.$$

- Space of oldforms *with respect to* \mathfrak{l} :

$$\mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{old}} := \{ \mathcal{F}(z) + \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{l}z) \mid \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi | \mathcal{K}) \}.$$

- Space of newforms *with respect to* \mathfrak{l} :

$$\mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{new}} := \mathcal{K} \left\{ \mathcal{F}_i(\mathfrak{a}_{ij}z) \mid \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{F}_i \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_i, \psi | \Lambda) \text{ newform} \\ \text{and } \mathfrak{l} | \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_i, (\rho, \mathfrak{a}_{ij}) = 1, \mathfrak{a}_{ij} \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_i | \bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l} \end{array} \right\},$$

- Enlarge \mathcal{K} so that it contains the eigenvalues of all eigenforms. Then:

$$\mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{old}} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{new}}.$$

(sum decomposition which does not necessarily hold over Λ).

General case

- Assume $d = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even.
- $\mathfrak{l} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F =$ a prime s.t $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{n}\rho) = 1$.
- Extending coefficients to \mathcal{K} . Set

$$\mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi | \mathcal{K}) := \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi | \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathcal{K}.$$

- Space of oldforms *with respect to* \mathfrak{l} :

$$\mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{old}} := \{ \mathcal{F}(z) + \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{l}z) \mid \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi | \mathcal{K}) \}.$$

- Space of newforms *with respect to* \mathfrak{l} :

$$\mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{new}} := \mathcal{K} \left\{ \mathcal{F}_i(\mathfrak{a}_{ij}z) \mid \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{F}_i \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_i, \psi | \Lambda) \text{ newform} \\ \text{and } \mathfrak{l} | \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_i, (\rho, \mathfrak{a}_{ij}) = 1, \mathfrak{a}_{ij} \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_i | \bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l} \end{array} \right\},$$

- Enlarge \mathcal{K} so that it contains the eigenvalues of all eigenforms. Then:

$$\mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{old}} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{new}}.$$

(sum decomposition which does not necessarily hold over Λ).

General case

- Assume $d = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even.
- $\mathfrak{l} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F =$ a prime s.t $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{n}\rho) = 1$.
- Extending coefficients to \mathcal{K} . Set

$$\mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi | \mathcal{K}) := \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi | \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathcal{K}.$$

- Space of oldforms *with respect to* \mathfrak{l} :

$$\mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{old}} := \{ \mathcal{F}(z) + \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{l}z) \mid \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi | \mathcal{K}) \}.$$

- Space of newforms *with respect to* \mathfrak{l} :

$$\mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{new}} := \mathcal{K} \left\{ \mathcal{F}_i(\mathfrak{a}_{ij}z) \mid \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{F}_i \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_i, \psi | \Lambda) \text{ newform} \\ \text{and } \mathfrak{l} | \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_i, (\rho, \mathfrak{a}_{ij}) = 1, \mathfrak{a}_{ij} \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_i | \bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l} \end{array} \right\},$$

- Enlarge \mathcal{K} so that it contains the eigenvalues of all eigenforms. Then:

$$\mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{old}} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{new}}.$$

(sum decomposition which does not necessarily hold over Λ).

General case

- Assume $d = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even.
- $\mathfrak{l} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F =$ a prime s.t. $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{n}\rho) = 1$.
- Extending coefficients to \mathcal{K} . Set

$$\mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi | \mathcal{K}) := \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi | \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathcal{K}.$$

- Space of oldforms *with respect to* \mathfrak{l} :

$$\mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{old}} := \{ \mathcal{F}(z) + \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{l}z) \mid \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi | \mathcal{K}) \}.$$

- Space of newforms *with respect to* \mathfrak{l} :

$$\mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{new}} := \mathcal{K} \left\{ \mathcal{F}_i(\mathfrak{a}_{ij}z) \mid \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{F}_i \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_i, \psi | \Lambda) \text{ newform} \\ \text{and } \mathfrak{l} | \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_i, (\rho, \mathfrak{a}_{ij}) = 1, \mathfrak{a}_{ij} \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_i | \bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l} \end{array} \right\},$$

- Enlarge \mathcal{K} so that it contains the eigenvalues of all eigenforms. Then:

$$\mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{old}} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{new}}.$$

(sum decomposition which does not necessarily hold over Λ).

General case

- Assume $d = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even.
- $\mathfrak{l} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F =$ a prime s.t. $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{n}\rho) = 1$.
- Extending coefficients to \mathcal{K} . Set

$$\mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi | \mathcal{K}) := \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi | \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathcal{K}.$$

- Space of oldforms *with respect to* \mathfrak{l} :

$$\mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{old}} := \{ \mathcal{F}(z) + \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{l}z) \mid \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \psi | \mathcal{K}) \}.$$

- Space of newforms *with respect to* \mathfrak{l} :

$$\mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{new}} := \mathcal{K} \left\{ \mathcal{F}_i(\mathfrak{a}_{ij}z) \mid \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{F}_i \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_i, \psi | \Lambda) \text{ newform} \\ \text{and } \mathfrak{l} | \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_i, (\rho, \mathfrak{a}_{ij}) = 1, \mathfrak{a}_{ij} \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_i | \bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l} \end{array} \right\},$$

- Enlarge \mathcal{K} so that it contains the eigenvalues of all eigenforms. Then:

$$\mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{old}} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{new}}.$$

(sum decomposition which does not necessarily hold over Λ).

The congruence module of \mathcal{F}

- Set

$$H(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{l} | \mathcal{K}) := \{ \mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{new}} \mid \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{G} - u\mathcal{F} - v\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{l}z), \\ \text{with } \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \Lambda), u, v \in \mathcal{K} \}.$$

The congruence module for \mathcal{F} is

$$C(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{l} | \mathcal{K}) := H(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{l} | \mathcal{K}) / \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{new}} \cap \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \Lambda).$$

It measures how far the direct sum decomposition over \mathcal{K} fails to be a direct sum decomposition over Λ .

- Let $\mathbb{T} \subseteq \text{End}(\mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{new}})$ denote the ring generated over Λ by the Hecke operators $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{m})$ for $(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{l}) = 1$.

- Set

$$I_{\mathcal{F}} = \text{Ann}(C(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{l} | \mathcal{K})) \subseteq \mathbb{T}.$$

-

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{m}) - c(\mathfrak{m}, \mathcal{F})(X) \in I_{\mathcal{F}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbb{T}/I_{\mathcal{F}} \simeq \Lambda/\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{l}} \\ \text{for } (\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{l}) = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{for some ideal } \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{l}} \subseteq \Lambda$$

The congruence module of \mathcal{F}

- Set

$$H(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{l} | \mathcal{K}) := \{ \mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{new}} \mid \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{G} - u\mathcal{F} - v\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{l}z), \\ \text{with } \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \Lambda), u, v \in \mathcal{K} \}.$$

The congruence module for \mathcal{F} is

$$C(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{l} | \mathcal{K}) := H(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{l} | \mathcal{K}) / \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{new}} \cap \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \Lambda).$$

It measures how far the direct sum decomposition over \mathcal{K} fails to be a direct sum decomposition over Λ .

- Let $\mathbb{T} \subseteq \text{End}(\mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{new}})$ denote the ring generated over Λ by the Hecke operators $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{m})$ for $(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{l}) = 1$.

- Set

$$I_{\mathcal{F}} = \text{Ann}(C(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{l} | \mathcal{K})) \subseteq \mathbb{T}.$$

-

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{m}) - c(\mathfrak{m}, \mathcal{F})(X) \in I_{\mathcal{F}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbb{T}/I_{\mathcal{F}} \simeq \Lambda/\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{l}} \\ \text{for } (\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{l}) = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{for some ideal } \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{l}} \subseteq \Lambda$$

The congruence module of \mathcal{F}

- Set

$$H(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{l} | \mathcal{K}) := \{ \mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{new}} \mid \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{G} - u\mathcal{F} - v\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{l}z), \\ \text{with } \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \Lambda), u, v \in \mathcal{K} \}.$$

The congruence module for \mathcal{F} is

$$C(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{l} | \mathcal{K}) := H(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{l} | \mathcal{K}) / \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{new}} \cap \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \Lambda).$$

It measures how far the direct sum decomposition over \mathcal{K} fails to be a direct sum decomposition over Λ .

- Let $\mathbb{T} \subseteq \text{End}(\mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{new}})$ denote the ring generated over Λ by the Hecke operators $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{m})$ for $(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{l}) = 1$.

- Set

$$I_{\mathcal{F}} = \text{Ann}(C(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{l} | \mathcal{K})) \subseteq \mathbb{T}.$$

-

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{m}) - c(\mathfrak{m}, \mathcal{F})(X) \in I_{\mathcal{F}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbb{T} / I_{\mathcal{F}} \simeq \Lambda / \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{l}} \\ \text{for } (\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{l}) = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{for some ideal } \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{l}} \subseteq \Lambda$$

The congruence module of \mathcal{F}

- Set

$$H(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{l} | \mathcal{K}) := \{ \mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{new}} \mid \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{G} - u\mathcal{F} - v\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{l}z), \\ \text{with } \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \Lambda), u, v \in \mathcal{K} \}.$$

The congruence module for \mathcal{F} is

$$C(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{l} | \mathcal{K}) := H(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{l} | \mathcal{K}) / \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{new}} \cap \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \Lambda).$$

It measures how far the direct sum decomposition over \mathcal{K} fails to be a direct sum decomposition over Λ .

- Let $\mathbb{T} \subseteq \text{End}(\mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{l}, \psi | \mathcal{K})^{\text{new}})$ denote the ring generated over Λ by the Hecke operators $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{m})$ for $(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{l}) = 1$.

- Set

$$I_{\mathcal{F}} = \text{Ann}(C(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{l} | \mathcal{K})) \subseteq \mathbb{T}.$$

-

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{m}) - c(\mathfrak{m}, \mathcal{F})(X) \in I_{\mathcal{F}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbb{T} / I_{\mathcal{F}} \simeq \Lambda / \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{l}} \\ \text{for } (\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{l}) = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{for some ideal } \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{l}} \subseteq \Lambda$$

- There are infinitely many specializations of each $\mathcal{F}_i(\mathfrak{a}_{i,j}z)$ in the special basis satisfying hypothesis *ii)* of Carayol's Theorem at l
 $\rightsquigarrow \varrho_{\mathcal{F}_i(\mathfrak{a}_{i,j}z)}$.
- Set $A := \prod_{i,j} \mathcal{K}$. Consider the rep.

$$\bigoplus \varrho_{\mathcal{F}_i(\mathfrak{a}_{i,j}z)} \otimes \mathcal{K}: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(A)$$

- Endow A with an action of \mathbb{T} by transport of structure. The map

$$\mathbb{T} \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow A \quad \text{induced by} \quad \mathcal{T}(q) \mapsto \prod_{i,j} c(q, \mathcal{F}_i(\mathfrak{a}_{i,j}z))$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{T} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ -modules.

- We obtain an odd rep.

$$\varrho: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{T} \otimes \mathcal{K})$$

s.t. for $q \nmid nlp$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\varrho)(\mathrm{Frob}_q) = \prod_{i,j} c(q, \mathcal{F}_i(\mathfrak{a}_{i,j}z)) = \mathcal{T}(q) \in \mathbb{T}.$$

- There are infinitely many specializations of each $\mathcal{F}_i(\mathfrak{a}_{i,j}z)$ in the special basis satisfying hypothesis *ii*) of Carayol's Theorem at l
 $\rightsquigarrow \varrho_{\mathcal{F}_i(\mathfrak{a}_{i,j}z)}$.
- Set $A := \prod_{i,j} \mathcal{K}$. Consider the rep.

$$\bigoplus \varrho_{\mathcal{F}_i(\mathfrak{a}_{i,j}z)} \otimes \mathcal{K}: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(A)$$

- Endow A with an action of \mathbb{T} by transport of structure. The map

$$\mathbb{T} \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow A \quad \text{induced by} \quad \mathcal{T}(q) \mapsto \prod_{i,j} c(q, \mathcal{F}_i(\mathfrak{a}_{i,j}z))$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{T} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ -modules.

- We obtain an odd rep.

$$\varrho: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{T} \otimes \mathcal{K})$$

s.t. for $q \nmid nlp$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\varrho)(\mathrm{Frob}_q) = \prod_{i,j} c(q, \mathcal{F}_i(\mathfrak{a}_{i,j}z)) = \mathcal{T}(q) \in \mathbb{T}.$$

- There are infinitely many specializations of each $\mathcal{F}_i(\mathfrak{a}_{i,j}z)$ in the special basis satisfying hypothesis *ii*) of Carayol's Theorem at l
 $\rightsquigarrow \varrho_{\mathcal{F}_i(\mathfrak{a}_{i,j}z)}$.
- Set $A := \prod_{i,j} \mathcal{K}$. Consider the rep.

$$\bigoplus \varrho_{\mathcal{F}_i(\mathfrak{a}_{i,j}z)} \otimes \mathcal{K}: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(A)$$

- Endow A with an action of \mathbb{T} by transport of structure. The map

$$\mathbb{T} \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow A \quad \text{induced by} \quad \mathcal{T}(q) \mapsto \prod_{i,j} c(q, \mathcal{F}_i(\mathfrak{a}_{i,j}z))$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{T} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ -modules.

- We obtain an odd rep.

$$\varrho: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{T} \otimes \mathcal{K})$$

s.t. for $q \nmid nlp$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\varrho)(\mathrm{Frob}_q) = \prod_{i,j} c(q, \mathcal{F}_i(\mathfrak{a}_{i,j}z)) = \mathcal{T}(q) \in \mathbb{T}.$$

- There are infinitely many specializations of each $\mathcal{F}_i(\mathfrak{a}_{i,j}z)$ in the special basis satisfying hypothesis *ii*) of Carayol's Theorem at l
 $\rightsquigarrow \varrho_{\mathcal{F}_i(\mathfrak{a}_{i,j}z)}$.
- Set $A := \prod_{i,j} \mathcal{K}$. Consider the rep.

$$\bigoplus \varrho_{\mathcal{F}_i(\mathfrak{a}_{i,j}z)} \otimes \mathcal{K}: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(A)$$

- Endow A with an action of \mathbb{T} by transport of structure. The map

$$\mathbb{T} \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow A \quad \text{induced by} \quad \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{q}) \mapsto \prod_{i,j} c(\mathfrak{q}, \mathcal{F}_i(\mathfrak{a}_{i,j}z))$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{T} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ -modules.

- We obtain an odd rep.

$$\varrho: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{T} \otimes \mathcal{K})$$

s.t. for $\mathfrak{q} \nmid nlp$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\varrho)(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \prod_{i,j} c(\mathfrak{q}, \mathcal{F}_i(\mathfrak{a}_{i,j}z)) = \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{q}) \in \mathbb{T}.$$

- Let π be the odd pseudo rep. associated to ρ .
- $\text{Tr}(\rho) \in \mathbb{T} \Rightarrow \text{Tr}(\pi) \in \mathbb{T} \Rightarrow \pi$ takes values in \mathbb{T} .
- Let $\bar{\pi}$ be the pseudo-rep. $\pi \pmod{I_{\mathcal{F}}}$:

$$\text{Tr}(\bar{\pi})(\text{Frob}_q) = \mathcal{T}(q) = \alpha(\rho, \mathcal{F})(q) \in \mathbb{T}/I_{\mathcal{F}} = \Lambda/\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}}$$

- Take a prime $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}, I} \subseteq Q \subseteq \Lambda$.
- Let π_Q be the pseudo-rep. $\bar{\pi} \pmod{Q}$.
- $\pi_Q \rightsquigarrow \rho_Q$.
- The proof continues with a *difficult and technical* argument to ensure that, by making distinct choices of I , we may find infinitely many *distinct* primes $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}, I} \subseteq Q \subseteq \Lambda$.
- One concludes by patching together the ρ_Q 's.

- Let π be the odd pseudo rep. associated to ρ .
- $\text{Tr}(\rho) \in \mathbb{T} \Rightarrow \text{Tr}(\pi) \in \mathbb{T} \Rightarrow \pi$ takes values in \mathbb{T} .
- Let $\bar{\pi}$ be the pseudo-rep. $\pi \pmod{I_{\mathcal{F}}}$:

$$\text{Tr}(\bar{\pi})(\text{Frob}_q) = \mathcal{T}(q) \equiv c(q, \mathcal{F})(X) \in \mathbb{T}/I_{\mathcal{F}} \simeq \Lambda/\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F},I}$$

- Take a prime $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F},I} \subseteq Q \subseteq \Lambda$.
- Let π_Q be the pseudo-rep. $\bar{\pi} \pmod{Q}$.
- $\pi_Q \rightsquigarrow \rho_Q$.
- The proof continues with a *difficult and technical* argument to ensure that, by making distinct choices of I , we may find infinitely many *distinct* primes $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F},I} \subseteq Q \subseteq \Lambda$.
- One concludes by patching together the ρ_Q 's.

- Let π be the odd pseudo rep. associated to ϱ .
- $\text{Tr}(\varrho) \in \mathbb{T} \Rightarrow \text{Tr}(\pi) \in \mathbb{T} \Rightarrow \pi$ takes values in \mathbb{T} .
- Let $\bar{\pi}$ be the pseudo-rep. $\pi \pmod{I_{\mathcal{F}}}$:

$$\text{Tr}(\bar{\pi})(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{q}) \equiv c(\mathfrak{q}, \mathcal{F})(X) \in \mathbb{T}/I_{\mathcal{F}} \simeq \Lambda/\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F},I}$$

- Take a prime $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F},I} \subseteq Q \subseteq \Lambda$.
- Let π_Q be the pseudo-rep. $\bar{\pi} \pmod{Q}$.
- $\pi_Q \rightsquigarrow \varrho_Q$.
- The proof continues with a *difficult and technical* argument to ensure that, by making distinct choices of I , we may find infinitely many *distinct* primes $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F},I} \subseteq Q \subseteq \Lambda$.
- One concludes by patching together the ϱ_Q 's.

- Let π be the odd pseudo rep. associated to ρ .
- $\text{Tr}(\rho) \in \mathbb{T} \Rightarrow \text{Tr}(\pi) \in \mathbb{T} \Rightarrow \pi$ takes values in \mathbb{T} .
- Let $\bar{\pi}$ be the pseudo-rep. $\pi \pmod{I_{\mathcal{F}}}$:

$$\text{Tr}(\bar{\pi})(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{q}) \equiv c(\mathfrak{q}, \mathcal{F})(X) \in \mathbb{T}/I_{\mathcal{F}} \simeq \Lambda/\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F},I}$$

- Take a prime $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F},I} \subseteq Q \subseteq \Lambda$.
- Let π_Q be the pseudo-rep. $\bar{\pi} \pmod{Q}$.
- $\pi_Q \rightsquigarrow \rho_Q$.
- The proof continues with a *difficult and technical* argument to ensure that, by making distinct choices of I , we may find infinitely many *distinct* primes $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F},I} \subseteq Q \subseteq \Lambda$.
- One concludes by patching together the ρ_Q 's.

- Let π be the odd pseudo rep. associated to ϱ .
- $\text{Tr}(\varrho) \in \mathbb{T} \Rightarrow \text{Tr}(\pi) \in \mathbb{T} \Rightarrow \pi$ takes values in \mathbb{T} .
- Let $\bar{\pi}$ be the pseudo-rep. $\pi \pmod{I_{\mathcal{F}}}$:

$$\text{Tr}(\bar{\pi})(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{q}) \equiv c(\mathfrak{q}, \mathcal{F})(X) \in \mathbb{T}/I_{\mathcal{F}} \simeq \Lambda/\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F},I}$$

- Take a prime $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F},I} \subseteq Q \subseteq \Lambda$.
- Let π_Q be the pseudo-rep. $\bar{\pi} \pmod{Q}$.
- $\pi_Q \rightsquigarrow \varrho_Q$.
- The proof continues with a *difficult and technical* argument to ensure that, by making distinct choices of I , we may find infinitely many *distinct* primes $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F},I} \subseteq Q \subseteq \Lambda$.
- One concludes by patching together the ϱ_Q 's.

- Let π be the odd pseudo rep. associated to ρ .
- $\text{Tr}(\rho) \in \mathbb{T} \Rightarrow \text{Tr}(\pi) \in \mathbb{T} \Rightarrow \pi$ takes values in \mathbb{T} .
- Let $\bar{\pi}$ be the pseudo-rep. $\pi \pmod{I_{\mathcal{F}}}$:

$$\text{Tr}(\bar{\pi})(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{q}) \equiv c(\mathfrak{q}, \mathcal{F})(X) \in \mathbb{T}/I_{\mathcal{F}} \simeq \Lambda/\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F},I}$$

- Take a prime $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F},I} \subseteq Q \subseteq \Lambda$.
- Let π_Q be the pseudo-rep. $\bar{\pi} \pmod{Q}$.
- $\pi_Q \rightsquigarrow \rho_Q$.
- The proof continues with a *difficult and technical* argument to ensure that, by making distinct choices of I , we may find infinitely many *distinct* primes $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F},I} \subseteq Q \subseteq \Lambda$.
- One concludes by patching together the ρ_Q 's.

- Let π be the odd pseudo rep. associated to ρ .
- $\text{Tr}(\rho) \in \mathbb{T} \Rightarrow \text{Tr}(\pi) \in \mathbb{T} \Rightarrow \pi$ takes values in \mathbb{T} .
- Let $\bar{\pi}$ be the pseudo-rep. $\pi \pmod{I_{\mathcal{F}}}$:

$$\text{Tr}(\bar{\pi})(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{q}) \equiv c(\mathfrak{q}, \mathcal{F})(X) \in \mathbb{T}/I_{\mathcal{F}} \simeq \Lambda/\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F},l}$$

- Take a prime $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F},l} \subseteq Q \subseteq \Lambda$.
- Let π_Q be the pseudo-rep. $\bar{\pi} \pmod{Q}$.
- $\pi_Q \rightsquigarrow \rho_Q$.
- The proof continues with a *difficult and technical* argument to ensure that, by making distinct choices of l , we may find infinitely many *distinct* primes $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F},l} \subseteq Q \subseteq \Lambda$.
- One concludes by patching together the ρ_Q 's.

- Let π be the odd pseudo rep. associated to ϱ .
- $\text{Tr}(\varrho) \in \mathbb{T} \Rightarrow \text{Tr}(\pi) \in \mathbb{T} \Rightarrow \pi$ takes values in \mathbb{T} .
- Let $\bar{\pi}$ be the pseudo-rep. $\pi \pmod{I_{\mathcal{F}}}$:

$$\text{Tr}(\bar{\pi})(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{q}) \equiv c(\mathfrak{q}, \mathcal{F})(X) \in \mathbb{T}/I_{\mathcal{F}} \simeq \Lambda/\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F},\mathfrak{l}}$$

- Take a prime $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F},\mathfrak{l}} \subseteq Q \subseteq \Lambda$.
- Let π_Q be the pseudo-rep. $\bar{\pi} \pmod{Q}$.
- $\pi_Q \rightsquigarrow \varrho_Q$.
- The proof continues with a *difficult* and *technical* argument to ensure that, by making distinct choices of \mathfrak{l} , we may find infinitely many *distinct* primes $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F},\mathfrak{l}} \subseteq Q \subseteq \Lambda$.
- One concludes by patching together the ϱ_Q 's.

- Let π be the odd pseudo rep. associated to ϱ .
- $\text{Tr}(\varrho) \in \mathbb{T} \Rightarrow \text{Tr}(\pi) \in \mathbb{T} \Rightarrow \pi$ takes values in \mathbb{T} .
- Let $\bar{\pi}$ be the pseudo-rep. $\pi \pmod{I_{\mathcal{F}}}$:

$$\text{Tr}(\bar{\pi})(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{q}) \equiv c(\mathfrak{q}, \mathcal{F})(X) \in \mathbb{T}/I_{\mathcal{F}} \simeq \Lambda/\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F},\mathfrak{l}}$$

- Take a prime $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F},\mathfrak{l}} \subseteq Q \subseteq \Lambda$.
- Let π_Q be the pseudo-rep. $\bar{\pi} \pmod{Q}$.
- $\pi_Q \rightsquigarrow \varrho_Q$.
- The proof continues with a *difficult* and *technical* argument to ensure that, by making distinct choices of \mathfrak{l} , we may find infinitely many *distinct* primes $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F},\mathfrak{l}} \subseteq Q \subseteq \Lambda$.
- One concludes by patching together the ϱ_Q 's.

Layout

- 1 Wiles' result and general notations
- 2 General strategy of the proof
- 3 Tools for the proof
- 4 Sketch of the proof
- 5 Are there any ordinary primes?

On the existence of ordinary primes

- For simplicity, take $F = \mathbb{Q}$.
- For $f(q) = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n q^n \in S_k(N, \psi)$, set

$$\Sigma := \{p \text{ prime} \mid c_p \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}.$$

- For general f , is it known whether Σ :
 - has a positive density?
 - contains infinitely many primes?
 - is at least non-empty?
- If f has CM: an affirmative answer is well-known.
 - So assume, from now on, that f does not have CM.
- For $k > 3$: open.
- For $k \leq 3$: Σ has a positive density.

Theorem (Serre '81)

The set $S = \{p \text{ prime} \mid c_p = 0\}$ has zero density.

On the existence of ordinary primes

- For simplicity, take $F = \mathbb{Q}$.
- For $f(q) = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n q^n \in S_k(N, \psi)$, set

$$\Sigma := \{p \text{ prime} \mid c_p \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}.$$

- For general f , is it known whether Σ :
 - has a positive density?
 - contains infinitely many primes?
 - is it non-empty?
- If f has CM: an affirmative answer is well-known.
 - So assume, from now on, that f does not have CM.
- For $k > 3$: open.
- For $k \leq 3$: Σ has a positive density.

Theorem (Serre '81)

The set $S = \{p \text{ prime} \mid c_p = 0\}$ has zero density.

On the existence of ordinary primes

- For simplicity, take $F = \mathbb{Q}$.
- For $f(q) = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n q^n \in S_k(N, \psi)$, set

$$\Sigma := \{p \text{ prime} \mid c_p \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}.$$

- For general f , is it known whether Σ :
 - has a positive density?
 - contains infinitely many primes?
 - is at least non empty?
- If f has CM: an affirmative answer is well-known.
 - So assume, from now on, that f does not have CM.
- For $k > 3$: open.
- For $k \leq 3$: Σ has a positive density.

Theorem (Serre '81)

The set $S = \{p \text{ prime} \mid c_p = 0\}$ has zero density.

On the existence of ordinary primes

- For simplicity, take $F = \mathbb{Q}$.
- For $f(q) = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n q^n \in S_k(N, \psi)$, set

$$\Sigma := \{p \text{ prime} \mid c_p \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}.$$

- For general f , is it known whether Σ :
 - has a positive density?
 - contains infinitely many primes?
 - is at least non empty?
- If f has CM: an affirmative answer is well-known.
So assume, from now on, that f does not have CM.
- For $k > 3$: open.
- For $k \leq 3$: Σ has a positive density.

Theorem (Serre '81)

The set $S = \{p \text{ prime} \mid c_p = 0\}$ has zero density.

On the existence of ordinary primes

- For simplicity, take $F = \mathbb{Q}$.
- For $f(q) = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n q^n \in S_k(N, \psi)$, set

$$\Sigma := \{p \text{ prime} \mid c_p \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}.$$

- For general f , is it known whether Σ :
 - has a positive density?
 - contains infinitely many primes?
 - is at least non empty?
- If f has CM: an affirmative answer is well-known.
So assume, from now on, that f does not have CM.
- For $k > 3$: open.
- For $k \leq 3$: Σ has a positive density.

Theorem (Serre '81)

The set $S = \{p \text{ prime} \mid c_p = 0\}$ has zero density.

On the existence of ordinary primes

- For simplicity, take $F = \mathbb{Q}$.
- For $f(q) = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n q^n \in S_k(N, \psi)$, set

$$\Sigma := \{p \text{ prime} \mid c_p \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}.$$

- For general f , is it known whether Σ :
 - has a positive density?
 - contains infinitely many primes?
 - is at least non empty?
- If f has CM: an affirmative answer is well-known.
So assume, from now on, that f does not have CM.
- For $k > 3$: open.
- For $k \leq 3$: Σ has a positive density.

Theorem (Serre '81)

The set $S = \{p \text{ prime} \mid c_p = 0\}$ has zero density.

On the existence of ordinary primes

- For simplicity, take $F = \mathbb{Q}$.
- For $f(q) = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n q^n \in S_k(N, \psi)$, set

$$\Sigma := \{p \text{ prime} \mid c_p \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}.$$

- For general f , is it known whether Σ :
 - has a positive density?
 - contains infinitely many primes?
 - is at least non empty?
- If f has CM: an affirmative answer is well-known.
So assume, from now on, that f does not have CM.
- For $k > 3$: open.
- For $k \leq 3$: Σ has a positive density.

Theorem (Serre '81)

The set $S = \{p \text{ prime} \mid c_p = 0\}$ has zero density.

On the existence of ordinary primes

- For simplicity, take $F = \mathbb{Q}$.
- For $f(q) = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n q^n \in S_k(N, \psi)$, set

$$\Sigma := \{p \text{ prime} \mid c_p \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}.$$

- For general f , is it known whether Σ :
 - has a positive density?
 - contains infinitely many primes?
 - is at least non empty?
- If f has CM: an affirmative answer is well-known.
So assume, from now on, that f does not have CM.
- For $k > 3$: open.
- For $k \leq 3$: Σ has a positive density.

Theorem (Serre '81)

The set $S = \{p \text{ prime} \mid c_p = 0\}$ has zero density.

On the existence of ordinary primes

- For simplicity, take $F = \mathbb{Q}$.
- For $f(q) = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n q^n \in S_k(N, \psi)$, set

$$\Sigma := \{p \text{ prime} \mid c_p \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}.$$

- For general f , is it known whether Σ :
 - has a positive density?
 - contains infinitely many primes?
 - is at least non empty?
- If f has CM: an affirmative answer is well-known.
So assume, from now on, that f does not have CM.
- For $k > 3$: open.
- For $k \leq 3$: Σ has a positive density.

Theorem (Serre '81)

The set $S = \{p \text{ prime} \mid c_p = 0\}$ has zero density.

On the existence of ordinary primes

- For simplicity, take $F = \mathbb{Q}$.
- For $f(q) = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n q^n \in S_k(N, \psi)$, set

$$\Sigma := \{p \text{ prime} \mid c_p \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}.$$

- For general f , is it known whether Σ :
 - has a positive density?
 - contains infinitely many primes?
 - is at least non empty?
- If f has CM: an affirmative answer is well-known.
So assume, from now on, that f does not have CM.
- For $k > 3$: open.
- For $k \leq 3$: Σ has a positive density.

Theorem (Serre '81)

The set $S = \{p \text{ prime} \mid c_p = 0\}$ has zero density.

On the existence of ordinary primes

- Suppose that $k \leq 2$. Then, the Ramanujan-Petersson inequality

$$|c_p| \leq 2p^{(k-1)/2} \leq 2\sqrt{p}$$

implies that almost all primes not in Σ are in S .
Serre's theorem $\Rightarrow \Sigma$ has density 1.

- Suppose now that $k = 3$.
 - ϱ_f is odd $\Rightarrow \text{Tr}(\varrho_f)(\sigma) = 0$.
 - Pick a prime $\ell > 2$. Then, the set

$$S_\ell := \{p \text{ prime} \mid c_p \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}\}$$

has a positive density.

- The Ramanujan-Petersson inequality

$$|c_p| \leq 2p$$

implies now that every prime in S_ℓ and not in Σ is in S .

- Serre's Theorem \Rightarrow the density of Σ is at least the density of S_ℓ .

On the existence of ordinary primes

- Suppose that $k \leq 2$. Then, the Ramanujan-Petersson inequality

$$|c_p| \leq 2p^{(k-1)/2} \leq 2\sqrt{p}$$

implies that almost all primes not in Σ are in S .

Serre's theorem $\Rightarrow \Sigma$ has density 1.

- Suppose now that $k = 3$.
 - ϱ_f is odd $\Rightarrow \text{Tr}(\varrho_f)(\sigma) = 0$.
 - Pick a prime $\ell > 2$. Then, the set

$$S_\ell := \{p \text{ prime} \mid c_p \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}\}$$

has a positive density.

- The Ramanujan-Petersson inequality

$$|c_p| \leq 2p$$

implies now that every prime in S_ℓ and not in Σ is in S .

- Serre's Theorem \Rightarrow the density of Σ is at least the density of S_ℓ .

On the existence of ordinary primes

- Suppose that $k \leq 2$. Then, the Ramanujan-Petersson inequality

$$|c_p| \leq 2p^{(k-1)/2} \leq 2\sqrt{p}$$

implies that almost all primes not in Σ are in S .

Serre's theorem $\Rightarrow \Sigma$ has density 1.

- Suppose now that $k = 3$.
 - ϱ_f is odd $\Rightarrow \text{Tr}(\varrho_f)(\sigma) = 0$.
 - Pick a prime $\ell > 2$. Then, the set

$$S_\ell := \{p \text{ prime} \mid c_p \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}\}$$

has a positive density.

- The Ramanujan-Petersson inequality

$$|c_p| \leq 2p$$

implies now that every prime in S_ℓ and not in Σ is in S .

- Serre's Theorem \Rightarrow the density of Σ is at least the density of S_ℓ .