
Traces of rationality of Darmon points

[BD09] Bertolini–Darmon, “The rationality of Stark–Heegner points over genus fields of real quadratic fields”

Seminari de Teoria de Nombres de Barcelona

Barcelona, January 2017

Marc Masdeu

University of Warwick



Introduction

- E/\mathbb{Q} an elliptic curve of conductor $N = pM$, with $p \nmid M$.
- K/\mathbb{Q} a **real** quadratic field, satisfying:
 - p is inert in K
 - $\ell \mid M \implies \ell$ split in K .
- These conditions imply that $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E/K, s)$ is odd.
- BSD predicts $\exists P_K \in E(K)$ nontorsion. . .
- . . . but Heegner points are not readily available, since K is real!
 - For example $\mathcal{H} \cap K = \emptyset$.
- **Darmon's insight:** consider $\mathcal{H}_p = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) \setminus \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ instead.
 - Constructed a point $P_\psi \in E(\mathbb{C}_p)$ for each $\psi: \mathcal{O}_K \hookrightarrow M_2(\mathbb{Q})$.
- **Conjecture (2001):** $P_\psi \in E(K^{\text{ab}})$, and behave like Heegner points.
- In particular, he conjectured that $\sum_\psi P_\psi \in E(K)$.
 - This is (a particular case of) what Bertolini–Darmon proved in 2009.

Plan

- 1 Define Darmon points (a.k.a. Stark–Heegner points).
- 2 State the main result of [BD09].
- 3 Sketch the proof.

Measure-valued modular symbols and integration

- Let $\Gamma = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}[1/p]) \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}) : N \mid c \right\}$.
- $\mathrm{Meas}^0(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)) =$ the Γ -module of measures on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ having total measure 0.
- From previous talks: $E \rightsquigarrow f \in S_2(\Gamma_0(N)) \rightsquigarrow I_f \in \mathrm{Symb}_{\Gamma_0(pM)}^{\mathrm{new}}(\mathbb{Z})^+$.

Proposition

$\exists!$ $\mu = \mu_f \in H^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{Meas}^0(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)))$ satisfying:

$$\mu_\gamma(\mathbb{Z}_p) = I_f \{ \infty \rightarrow \gamma \infty \} \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma_0(N) \subset \Gamma.$$

- Recall $q = q_E \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ the Tate period attached to E/\mathbb{Q}_p .
- There is a Γ -equivariant pairing $\mathrm{Meas}^0(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \times \mathrm{Div}^0 \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$:

$$(\mu, \tau_2 - \tau_1) \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \log_q \left(\frac{t - \tau_2}{t - \tau_1} \right) d\mu(t).$$

- Cap product induces a pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{Meas}^0(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p))) \times H_1(\Gamma, \mathrm{Div}^0 \mathcal{H}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p.$$

Indefinite integrals

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: H^1(\Gamma, \text{Meas}^0(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p))) \times H_1(\Gamma, \text{Div}^0 \mathcal{H}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p.$$

- Consider the short exact sequence of Γ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Div}^0 \mathcal{H}_p \xrightarrow{\iota} \text{Div} \mathcal{H}_p \xrightarrow{\text{deg}} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

- Taking Γ -homology, get a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_2(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\delta} H_1(\Gamma, \text{Div}^0 \mathcal{H}_p) \xrightarrow{\iota_*} H_1(\Gamma, \text{Div} \mathcal{H}_p) \xrightarrow{\text{deg}_*} H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

- **Fact:** $H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) = \Gamma^{\text{ab}}$ is a finite abelian group, say of size e_Γ .
- So $\Theta \in H_1(\Gamma, \text{Div} \mathcal{H}_p) \sim \Theta_0 \in H_1(\Gamma, \text{Div}^0 \mathcal{H}_p)$ such that $\iota_*(\Theta_0) = e_\Gamma \Theta$.
- Define $\langle \mu_f, \Theta \rangle := \frac{1}{e_\Gamma} \langle \mu_f, \Theta_0 \rangle$, which is an “indefinite integral”

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: H^1(\Gamma, \text{Meas}^0(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p))) \times H_1(\Gamma, \text{Div} \mathcal{H}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p.$$

Darmon points

- Recall K/\mathbb{Q} our real quadratic field, of discriminant D .
- $\text{Cl}^+(K)$ the narrow class group of K .
- Consider optimal embeddings

$$\psi: \mathcal{O}_K \hookrightarrow M_0(M) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) : M \mid c \right\}.$$

- Similar to Carlos' talk, have action:

$$\text{Cl}^+(K) \curvearrowright \text{Emb}(\mathcal{O}_K) = \{ \text{conjugacy classes of optimal embeddings} \}.$$

- If $\sigma \in \text{Cl}^+(K)$ and $\psi \in \text{Emb}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, write ψ^σ for the translate.

- Global class-field theory gives

$$\text{rec}: \text{Cl}^+(K) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Gal}(H_K^+/K), \quad H_K^+ = \text{narrow Hilbert class field of } K.$$

- $\psi \in \text{Emb}(\mathcal{O}_K) \rightsquigarrow \Theta_\psi = [\gamma_\psi \otimes \tau_\psi] \in H_1(\Gamma, \text{Div } \mathcal{H}_p)$:
 - $\gamma_\psi = \psi(u_K) \in \Gamma_0(M) \subset \Gamma$, where $\mathcal{O}_{K,1}^\times / \text{tors} = \langle u_K \rangle$.
 - τ_ψ is fixed by γ_ψ (one can consistently choose one of two options).
- Define $J_\psi = \langle \mu_f, \Theta_\psi \rangle \in \mathbb{C}_p$.

Conjecture (Darmon, 2001)

- 1 For each $\psi \in \text{Emb}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, there exists $\mathbb{P}_\psi \in E(H_K^+)$ and $t \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ s.t.:

$$J_\psi = t \log_E(\mathbb{P}_\psi).$$

- 2 For each $\sigma \in \text{Cl}^+(K)$, the points \mathbb{P}_ψ satisfy

$$\mathbb{P}_{\psi\sigma} = \text{rec}(\sigma)^{-1}(\mathbb{P}_\psi).$$

- Using “multiplicative integrals” $\rightsquigarrow P_\psi$ satisfying $J_\psi = \log_E(P_\psi)$.
 - Part 1 of conjecture then says that $P_\psi \in E(H_K^+) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.
- Darmon–Green and Darmon–Pollack: numerical evidence.
- The construction has been generalized to many different settings (Greenberg, Gartner, Trifkovic, Guitart–M.–Sengun, Longo–Vigni, Rotger–Seveso, . . .).
- Guitart–M.: more numerical evidence supporting these conjectures.

Main Theorem of [BD09]

Define:

$$J_K = \sum_{\psi \in \text{Emb}(\mathcal{O}_K)} J_\psi \in \mathbb{C}_p.$$

Theorem (Bertolini–Darmon, 2009)

Suppose that:

- E has **split** multiplicative reduction at p (i.e. $a_p(E) = 1$).
- \exists prime $q \neq p$ of multiplicative reduction.

Then:

- 1 There exists a point $\mathbb{P}_K \in E(K)$ and $t \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ such that $J_K = t \log_E(\mathbb{P})$.
- 2 The point \mathbb{P}_K is of infinite order if and only if $L'(E/K, 1) \neq 0$.

- In [BD09] they prove the “rationality” not only of J_K , but also of “twisted traces” of the points J_ψ by genus characters.
- Analogues of this result:
 - For “quaternionic Darmon points” (Longo–Vigni).
 - For “Darmon cycles” (Seveso).
- Strategy of proof “inspired by” a **very famous** proof from 1863...

Proof Strategy

- 1 Construct a p -adic L -function $\mathcal{L}_p(f_\infty/K, k)$ attached to f_∞ and K .
- 2 Relate J_K to $\mathcal{L}_p(f_\infty/K, k)$:

$$J_K = \mathcal{L}'_p(f_\infty/K, k).$$

- 3 Factor $\mathcal{L}_p(f_\infty/K, k)^2$ in terms of Mazur–Kitagawa p -adic L -functions:

$$\mathcal{L}_p(f_\infty/K, k)^2 = D^{\frac{k-2}{2}} L_p(f_\infty, k, k/2) L_p(f_\infty^K, k, k/2).$$

- 4 Deduce the theorem from the results in Carlos' talk on the Mazur–Kitagawa p -adic L -functions appearing in the RHS.



A p -adic L -function attached to f_∞ and K

- $E \rightsquigarrow f \in S_2^{\text{new}}(\Gamma_0(N)) \rightsquigarrow I_f = I_f^+ \in H^1(\Gamma_0(N), \mathbb{Z})^{w_\infty=1}$.
- I_f lives in a family: there exists $\mu \in H^1(\Gamma_0(M), \mathbb{D}_*^\dagger)^{\text{ord}}$ such that
 - 1 $\rho_2(\mu) = I_f$, and
 - 2 For all $k \in U \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, $\exists \lambda(k) \in \mathbb{C}_p^\times$ such that $\rho_k(\mu) = \lambda(k)I_{f_k}$.
- For each $\psi \in \text{Emb}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, consider γ_ψ as before, and $\tau_\psi, \bar{\tau}_\psi$ the fixed points by $\psi(K^\times)$ acting on \mathcal{H}_p .
- **Very Important Fact:** $J_\tau = \int_{(\mathbb{Z}_p^2)'} \log(x - \tau_\psi y) d\mu_{\gamma_\tau}(x, y)$.
- Define $\mathcal{L}_p(f_\infty, \psi, k)$ as

$$\mathcal{L}_p(f_\infty, \psi, k) = \int_{(\mathbb{Z}_p^2)'} ((x - \tau_\psi)(x - \bar{\tau}_\psi))^{\frac{k-2}{2}} d\mu_{\gamma_\psi}(x, y).$$

Definition

The “square-root p -adic L-function” is:

$$\mathcal{L}_p(f_\infty/K, k) = \sum_{\psi \in \text{Emb}(\mathcal{O}_K)} \mathcal{L}_p(f_\infty, \psi, k).$$

$$\mathcal{L}_p(f_\infty, \psi, k) = \int_{(\mathbb{Z}_p^2)'} ((x - \tau_\psi)(x - \bar{\tau}_\psi))^{\frac{k-2}{2}} d\mu_{\gamma_\psi}(x, y),$$

$$\mathcal{L}_p(f_\infty/K, k) = \sum_{\psi} \mathcal{L}_p(f_\infty, \psi, k).$$

Theorem A - p -adic Gross–Zagier

We have $\mathcal{L}_p(f_\infty/K, 2) = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}'_p(f_\infty/K, 2) = J_K$.

Proof

- $\mathcal{L}_p(f_\infty/K, \psi, 2) = \int_{(\mathbb{Z}_p)} d\mu_{\gamma_\tau}(x, y) = \mu_{\gamma_\tau}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)) = 0$.
- $\mathcal{L}'_p(f_\infty/K, \psi, 2) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{(\mathbb{Z}_p^2)'} (\log(x - \tau_\psi y) + \log(x - \bar{\tau}_\psi y)) d\mu_{\gamma_\tau}(x, y)$
 $= \frac{1}{2} (J_\tau + \text{Frob}_p(J_\tau))$
 $= \frac{1}{2} (J_\tau - w_M J_{\tau\sigma})$ (for some σ depending only on τ).
 $= \frac{1}{2} (J_\tau + J_{\tau\sigma})$ (since $w_M = -a_p = -1$).
- Summing over all embeddings we get

$$\mathcal{L}'_p(f_\infty/K, 2) = \frac{1}{2} (1 - w_M) \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Cl}^+(K)} J_{\tau\sigma} = \sum_{\psi} J_\psi.$$

Factorization

Theorem B - Factorization

For all $k \in U$,

$$\mathcal{L}_p(f_\infty/K, k)^2 = D^{\frac{k-2}{2}} L_p(f_\infty, k, k/2) L_p(f_\infty^K, k, k/2).$$

Proof

- 1 Interpolation property for $\mathcal{L}_p(f_\infty/K, k)^2$ (Popa 2006):

For all $k \in U \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$,

$$\mathcal{L}_p(f_\infty/K, k)^2 = \lambda(k)^2 (1 - a_p(k)^{-2} p^{k-2})^2 D^{\frac{k-2}{2}} L^*(f_k^\# / K, k/2).$$

$$= \lambda(k)^2 (1 - a_p(k)^{-2} p^{k-2})^2 D^{\frac{k-2}{2}} L^*(f_k^\#, k/2) L^*(f_k^{K, \#}, k/2)$$

- 2 Use the interpolation property of the MK p -adic L-functions (RHS) to show that the factorization occurs for all $k \in U \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$.
- 3 Conclude using that $U \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ is dense in U .



End of proof

$$J_K = \mathcal{L}'_p(f_\infty/K, 2) \quad (\text{A - } p\text{-adic GZ})$$

$$\mathcal{L}_p(f_\infty/K, k)^2 = D^{\frac{k-2}{2}} L_p(f_\infty, k, k/2) L_p(f_\infty^K, k, k/2) \quad (\text{B - Factorization})$$

- Easy observations:

- ① $D^{\frac{k-2}{2}} = 1 + O(k-2)$,

- ② $L_p(f_\infty, k, k/2) = O((k-2)^2)$ (exceptional zero case)

- Deduce that

$$J_K^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d^2}{dk^2} \Big|_{k=2} L_p(f_\infty, k, k/2) \right) L_p(f_\infty^K, 2, 1).$$

- Need to understand the two terms in the RHS.

End of proof (continued)

$$A + B \implies J_K^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d^2}{dk^2} \Big|_{k=2} L_p(f_\infty, k, k/2) \right) L_p(f_\infty^K, 2, 1).$$

Theorem C - Bertolini–Darmon 2007

- 1 There exists $\mathbb{P} \in E(\mathbb{Q})$, and $\ell_1 \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$, such that

$$\frac{d^2}{dk^2} \Big|_{k=2} L_p(f_\infty, k, k/2) = \ell_1 \log_E^2(\mathbb{P}).$$

- 2 \mathbb{P} is nontorsion if and only if $L'(E, 1) \neq 0$.

- $a_p(E^K) = -1 \implies L_p(f_\infty^K, 2, 1) = 2L^*(E^K, 1) = 2\ell_2 \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$.
- Get $\log_E^2(P_K) = \ell_1 \ell_2 \log_E^2(\mathbb{P})$, and one sees that $\ell_1 \ell_2 = t^2$ is a square.
- Taking square roots yields the theorem:

$$J_K = t \log_E^2(\mathbb{P}), \text{ and } \mathbb{P} \text{ is nontorsion iff } L'(E/K, 1) \neq 0.$$

Thank you !

Bibliography, code and slides at:

<http://www.warwick.ac.uk/mmasdeu/>