

The level of pairs of polynomials

Alberto F. Boix

Ben-Gurion University of the Negev

STNB 2020

Based on joint works with:

- ▶ Iván Blanco Chacón (University College Dublin).
- ▶ Alessandro De Stefani (University of Nebraska).
- ▶ Stiofáin Fordham (University College Dublin).
- ▶ Mark Paul Noordman (RijksUniversiteit Groningen).
- ▶ Emrah Sercan Yilmaz (University College Dublin).
- ▶ Jaap Top (RijksUniversiteit Groningen).
- ▶ Davide Vanzo (Università di Bologna).

Where you can find more details?



A. F. Boix, Alessandro De Stefani, and Davide Vanzo.

An algorithm for constructing certain differential operators in positive characteristic

Matematiche (Catania) 70 (2015), no. 1, 239–271.



Iván Blanco–Chacón, A. F. Boix, Stiofáin Fordham, and Emrah Sercan Yilmaz.

Differential operators and hyperelliptic curves over finite fields

Finite Fields Appl. 51 (2018), 351–370.



A. F. Boix, Mark Paul Noordman, and Jaap Top.

The level of pairs of polynomials

Available at <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1903.11311.pdf>.

What is the goal of this talk (roughly speaking)?

- ▶ Initial data: Polynomials f, g with coefficients on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, where p is prime.
- ▶ Question: does there is a differential equation of the form

$$\delta \left(\frac{g}{f} \right) = \frac{g^p}{f^p} ? \quad (1)$$

- ▶ If (1) exists, what we can deduce about the geometry of g, f ?

Background material

A surprising fact

An algorithm

An example

The case of hyperelliptic curves

The case of pairs

BACKGROUND MATERIAL

Preliminaries

- ▶ \mathbb{K} any field.
- ▶ $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$, $f \in S$.

Fact

S_f is not finitely generated as S -module.

Preliminaries

- ▶ $S = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$, $f \in S$.
- ▶ \mathcal{D}_S : ring of \mathbb{C} -linear differential operators.
- ▶ $\mathcal{D}_S[y] := \mathbb{C}[y] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{D}_S$.

Theorem (Bernstein (1972))

There are $b(y) \in \mathbb{C}[y]$ and $\Delta(y) \in \mathcal{D}_S[y]$ such that

$$b(n)f^n = \Delta(n) \bullet f^{n+1},$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Preliminaries

Definition

$b_f(y)$: monic polynomial of smallest degree of the ideal made up by the b 's.

Why we introduce b_f ?

- ▶ m : greatest integer root in absolute value of b_f .
- ▶ (Bernstein, 1972) S_f is generated by $1/f^m$ as left \mathcal{D}_S -module.
- ▶ (Walther, 2005) S_f is not generated by $1/f^i$ for $i < m$.

End of preliminaries

In general, m can be strictly greater than 1.

Example

If $f = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2$, then $b_f(y) = (y + 1)(y + 2)$.

A
SURPRISING
FACT

New setup

From now on:

- ▶ p prime number.
- ▶ $S = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$, $f \in S$.
- ▶ \mathcal{D}_S : ring of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -linear differential operators.

A surprising fact

Theorem (Àlvarez Montaner, Blickle, Lyubeznik (2005))

S_f is generated by $1/f$ as \mathcal{D}_S -module.

THE
LEVEL

What is the level?

We have

$$\mathcal{D}_S = \bigcup_{e \geq 0} \mathcal{D}_S^{(e)},$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_S^{(e)} := S \langle \partial_i^{[t]} \mid 1 \leq i \leq d, \quad 1 \leq t \leq p^e - 1 \rangle$$

and

$$\partial_i^{[t]} := \frac{1}{t!} \frac{\partial^t}{\partial x_i^t}.$$

The exponent e is called the *level*.

Why the surprising fact is true?

Theorem (Àlvarez Montaner, Blickle, Lyubeznik (2005))

There exists $\delta \in \mathcal{D}_S^{(e)}$ such that $\delta(1/f) = 1/f^p$.

COMPUTING
THE
LEVEL

THE
IDEAL
OF
 p^e TH
ROOTS

The ideal of p^e th roots

- ▶ $g \in S = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$.
- ▶ If $\gamma = (c_1, \dots, c_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$, then $\|\gamma\| := \max\{c_i\}$.

If

$$g = \sum_{0 \leq \|\alpha\| \leq p^e - 1} g_\alpha^{p^e} \mathbf{x}^\alpha,$$

then $I_e(gS)$ is the ideal of S generated by the g_α 's.

Calculation of the level

We have

$$S = I_0(f^{p^0-1}) \supseteq I_1(f^{p-1}) \supseteq I_2(f^{p^2-1}) \supseteq \dots$$

Set

$$e := \inf \left\{ s \geq 1 \mid I_{s-1}(f^{p^{s-1}-1}) = I_s(f^{p^s-1}) \right\}.$$

Calculation of the level

Theorem (Àlvarez Montaner, Blickle, Lyubeznik (2005))

With the previous choice of e , for any $s \geq 0$

$$l_{e-1} \left(f^{p^{e-1}-1} \right) = l_{e+s} \left(f^{p^{e+s}-1} \right).$$

Moreover,

$$e = \min \left\{ s \geq 1 \mid f^{p^s-p} \in l_s \left(f^{p^s-1} \right)^{[p^s]} \right\}.$$

AN ALGORITHM

Input

- ▶ p prime number.
- ▶ $S = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$, $f \in S$.

The body of the algorithm

Algorithm (B., De Stefani, Vanzo (2015))

Carry out the following steps:

- ▶ Compute $(e, l_e(f^{p^e-1}))$, where e is the level of δ .
- ▶ Write

$$f^{p^e-1} = \sum_{0 \leq \|\alpha\| \leq p^e-1} f_\alpha^{p^e} \mathbf{x}^\alpha.$$

- ▶ For each $0 \leq \|\alpha\| \leq p^e - 1$, there is $\delta_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_S^{(e)}$ such that

$$\delta_\alpha(\mathbf{x}^\beta) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \beta = \alpha, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here, $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$ with $0 \leq \|\beta\| \leq p^e - 1$

The body of the algorithm

Algorithm (B., De Stefani, Vanzo (2015))

- ▶ We have

$$f^{p^e-p} \in I_e (f^{p^e-1})^{[p^e]} = (f_\alpha^{p^e} \mid 0 \leq \|\alpha\| \leq p^e - 1),$$

hence

$$f^{p^e-p} = \sum_{0 \leq \|\alpha\| \leq p^e-1} s_\alpha f_\alpha^{p^e}.$$

- ▶ Set

$$\delta := \sum_{0 \leq \|\alpha\| \leq p^e-1} s_\alpha \delta_\alpha.$$

AN
EXAMPLE

An example

- ▶ $f = x^2y^3z^5 \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[x, y, z]$.
- ▶ $f^{15} = x^{30}y^{45}z^{75} = (xy^2z^4)^{16} \cdot (x^{14}y^{13}z^{11})$, so level 4.

Now, needed δ_1 such that

$$\delta_1(x^{14}y^{13}z^{11}) = 1$$

and

$$\delta_1(x^i y^j z^k) = 0 \text{ for any } 0 \leq i, j, k \leq 15 = 2^4 - 1.$$

An example (continued)

$$\blacktriangleright \delta_1 = (\partial_1^{[15]} \partial_2^{[15]} \partial_3^{[15]}) \cdot (xy^2z^4).$$

Moreover,

$$f^{2^4-2} = (x^{12}y^{10}z^6) \cdot (x^{16}y^{32}z^{64}) \in I_4(f^{15})^{[16]}.$$

Therefore,

$$\delta = (x^{12}y^{10}z^6) \cdot (\partial_1^{[15]} \partial_2^{[15]} \partial_3^{[15]}) \cdot (xy^2z^4).$$

THE
CASE
OF
HYPERELLIPTIC
CURVES

Setup

- ▶ $g \geq 1$
- ▶ $f(x, y, z) := y^2 z^{2g-1} - h(x, z)$, $h \in \mathbb{F}_p[x, z]_{2g+1}$.
- ▶ $h(x, 1)$ has no multiple roots.
- ▶ $C : f(x, y, z) = 0$.
- ▶ Write

$$h(x, 1)^{(p-1)/2} = \sum_{j=0}^N c_j x^j, \quad N := \left(\frac{p-1}{2} \right) (2g+1).$$

The Cartier–Manin matrix

Definition (Manin'65)

We define the **Cartier–Manin matrix** of C as

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} c_{p-1} & c_{p-2} & \cdots & c_{p-g} \\ c_{2p-1} & c_{2p-2} & \cdots & c_{2p-g} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{gp-1} & c_{gp-2} & \cdots & c_{gp-g} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Why you introduce this matrix?

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C) & \xrightarrow{\text{Frob}} & H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C) \\ \uparrow \text{Serre duality} & & \uparrow \text{Serre duality} \\ H^0(C, \Omega_C^1) & \xrightarrow{\text{Cart}} & H^0(C, \Omega_C^1). \end{array}$$

- ▶ Cart is given by A once you fix on $H^0(C, \Omega_C^1)$ the basis

$$\frac{x^{i-1} dx}{y} \quad (1 \leq i \leq g).$$

Why you introduce this matrix?

Definition

Let C be as before.

- ▶ C is **ordinary** if A is invertible.
- ▶ C is **supersingular** if $A \neq 0$ and $A^2 = 0$.
- ▶ C is **superspecial** if $A = 0$.
- ▶ C is **intermediate** if neither of the above holds.

THE CASE
OF
ELLIPTIC CURVES

Ordinary and supersingular elliptic curves

- ▶ $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}^2$ elliptic curve defined by f .
- ▶ $f^{p-1} = c \cdot (xyz)^{p-1} + \dots$
- ▶ C is **ordinary** if $c \neq 0$, otherwise **supersingular**.
- ▶ (Takagi, Takahashi'08) C is ordinary iff f has level one.

Ordinary and supersingular elliptic curves

Theorem (B., De Stefani, Vanzo (2015))

C is supersingular if and only if f has level two.

THE CASE
OF
GENUS
AT LEAST TWO

Higher genus: the ordinary case

Theorem (Blanco–Chacón, B., Fordham, Yilmaz (2018))

If $p > 2g^2 - 1$ and C is ordinary, then the level of f is 2.

Higher genus: the ordinary case

The converse is, in general, not true.

- ▶ $p = 11$, $C : y^2z^3 - x^5 - z^5 = 0$.
- ▶ A has rank 1.
- ▶ The level of $y^2z^3 - x^5 - z^5$ is two.

Higher genus: the supersingular (not superspecial) case

Theorem (Blanco–Chacón, B., Fordham, Yilmaz (2018))

If $p > 2g^2 - 1$ and C is supersingular (but not superspecial), then the level of f is at least 3.

Higher genus: the supersingular (not superspecial) case

- ▶ $C : y^2z^3 - x^5 - z^5 = 0$.
- ▶ C is supersingular (not superspecial) for $p = 13$.
- ▶ The level of $y^2z^3 - x^5 - z^5$ is 4 for $p = 13$.
- ▶ C is superspecial for $p = 17$.
- ▶ The level of $y^2z^3 - x^5 - z^5$ is 3 for $p = 17$.

THE
CASE
OF
PAIRS

Based on joint work with:

- ▶ Mark Paul Noordman (RijksUniversiteit Groningen).
- ▶ Jaap Top (RijksUniversiteit Groningen).

The level of a pair (First try)

- ▶ k field $\supseteq \mathbb{F}_p$, p prime.
- ▶ $f, g \in k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$.

Set

$$\text{level}(g, f) := \inf\{e \geq 0 : \exists \delta \in \mathcal{D}^{(e)} \text{ such that } \delta(g/f) = (g/f)^p\}.$$

The level of a pair (Second try)

- ▶ k field $\supseteq \mathbb{F}_p$, p prime.
- ▶ $f, g \in k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$.

Lemma

One has

$$\text{level}(g, f) := \inf\{e \geq 0 : I_e(g^p f^{p^e - p}) \subseteq I_e(gf^{p^e - 1})\}.$$

In particular:

$$\text{level}(g, f) = 1 \iff g \in I_1(gf^{p-1}).$$

The level of a pair is NOT always finite

▶ $f = x^{p+1} + y^{p+1}, g = x.$

▶ $\text{level}(g, f) = \infty.$

EXAMPLES
OF PAIRS
WITH
FINITE LEVEL

The case of quadratic forms

- ▶ $f, g \in k[x, y]$ quadratic forms.
- ▶ $\sqrt{(f)}$: radical of (f) .

Then:

$$\text{level}(g, f) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } g \text{ is a multiple of } f, \\ 1, & \text{if } f \text{ is not the square of a linear form,} \\ 1, & \text{if } g \in \sqrt{(f)}, \\ 2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The case $f = x^3 + y^3 + z^3$

In this case:

$$\text{level}(f) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ 2, & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, $\forall g$ $\text{level}(g, f) = 1$ if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$.

The case $f = x^3 + y^3 + z^3$, $g = xyz$, $p = 2, 3$

In this case,

$$xyz \notin I_1(gf^{p-1}) = \begin{cases} (x^2, y^2, z^2), & \text{if } p = 2, \\ (x^2 + 2xy + y^2 + 2xz + 2yz + z^2), & \text{if } p = 3. \end{cases}$$

So, $\text{level}(g, f) \geq 2$ and, indeed, $\text{level}(g, f) = 2$.

$f = x^3 + y^3 + z^3$, $g \in k[x, y, z]$ any cubic monomial,
 $p \geq 5$, $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$

In this case, $\text{level}(g, f) = 1$.

WE
STOP
HERE