

On the automorphisms groups of genus 3 curves

Francesc Bars *

*Work partially supported by BFM2003-06092

Notation

C non-singular, projective curve over K ,
 K algebraic closed field, $\text{char}(K) = 0$.

Impose $\text{genus}(C) \geq 2$, and denote it by g .

$WP(C)$ the set of all Weierstrass points of C

Remember

$$2g + 2 \leq \#W(C) \leq (g - 1)g(g + 1),$$

and $\#W(C) = 2g + 2$ if and only if C is hyper-elliptic.

WP denotes a single Weierstrass point of C .

$\text{Aut}(C)$ group of K -automorphism of the curve C .

$v(\varphi)$ the number of fixed points of φ .

Consider a separable covering:

$$\pi : C \rightarrow C'$$

with g' is genus C' , Remember Hurwicz formula:

$$2g - 2 = \deg(\pi)(2g' - 2) + \sum_{P \in C} (e_P - 1) =$$

$$\deg(\pi)(2g' - 2) + \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\deg(\pi)}{v_j} (v_j - 1)$$

$$= \deg(\pi)(2g' - 2) + \deg(\pi) \sum_{i=1}^r (1 - v_j^{-1}),$$

where r are the points on C' over which the ramification occurs $\tilde{P}_1, \dots, \tilde{P}_r$, and for each \tilde{P}_j there are $\deg(\pi)/v_j$ branch points in C .

$P_j^1, \dots, P_j^{\deg(\pi)/v_j}$ each with ramification $v_j = e_{P_j^l}$.

1. General facts on the group $Aut(C)$

Lemma 1. *Let φ be an element of $Aut(C)$ different from the identity. Then φ fixes at most $2g+2$ points (i.e. $v(\varphi) \leq 2g + 2$).*

Proof. S set of fixed points of φ .

$P \in C$ non-fixed by φ .

exist f with $(f)_\infty = rP$ (the poles of f) for some r with $1 \leq r \leq g + 1$ (take $r = g + 1$ if P is not a WP).

$h := f - f\varphi$, then $(h)_\infty = rP + r(\varphi^{-1}P)$, thus h has $2r(\leq 2g + 2)$ zeroes. Observe that every fixed point of φ is a zero for h . \square

Lemma 2. *Let be $\varphi \in Aut(C)$. If P is a WP of C then $\varphi(P)$ is a WP of C .*

$S_{WP(C)}$ the permutation group on the set of Weierstrass points. We have:

$$\lambda : Aut(C) \rightarrow S_{WP(C)}.$$

Lemma 3. λ is injective unless C is hyperelliptic. If C is hyperelliptic, then $\ker(\lambda) = \{id, w\}$ where w denotes the hyperelliptic involution on C .

Proof. Let be $\phi \in \ker(\lambda)$. If C is non-hyperelliptic, we have strictly more than $2g + 2$ WP points, thus by lemma 1 $\phi = id$.

□

If C is non-hyperelliptic we have a canonical immersion

$$\phi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{g-1},$$

and a canonical model of C in the projective $\phi(C)$.

Proposition 4. *If C is a non-hyperelliptic curve, then we can think any $Aut(C)$ as a projective transformation on \mathbb{P}^{g-1} leaving $\phi(C)$ invariant.*

Hurwicz formula consequences:

Lemma 5. *Let be $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(C)$ of prime order p . Then $p \leq g$ or $p = g + 1$ or $p = 2g + 1$.*

Proof. Let us apply the Hurwicz formula in the Galois covering $\pi : C \rightarrow C / \langle \varphi \rangle$. Denote by \tilde{g} the genus of $C / \langle \varphi \rangle$, Hurwicz formula reads:

$$2g - 2 = p(2\tilde{g} - 2) + v(\varphi)(p - 1).$$

Assume once and for all in this proof $p \geq g + 1$.

If $\tilde{g} \geq 2$ then we have $2g - 2 \geq p(2\tilde{g} - 2) \geq 2p \geq 2g + 2$, this can not happen.

If $\tilde{g} = 1$ then we have $2g - 2 = v(\varphi)(p - 1) \geq v(\varphi)g$ if $v(\varphi) \geq 2$ this can not happen. It can not happen also that $v(\varphi) = 1$ (any automorphism of prime order of $\text{Aut}(C)$ which has one fixed point, it must have at least two).

If $\tilde{g} = 0$ then if $v(\varphi) \geq 5$ we have $2g - 2 = -2p + v(\varphi)(p - 1) \geq 3p - 5 \geq 3g - 2$, this can not happen. If $v(\varphi) = 4$ then by Hurwicz $2g - 2 = -2p + 4(p - 1) = 2p - 4$ this can

only happen for $g = p + 1$. If $v(\varphi) = 3$ then $2g - 2 = -2p + 3(p - 1) = p - 3$ which can only happen with $p = 2g + 1$. \square

Similar arguments from the Hurwitz formula as above lemma prove the following results;

Theorem 6 (Hurwitz, 1893). *For any C with genus $g \geq 2$ we have that*

$$\#Aut(C) \leq 84(g - 1).$$

Here we use the cover $C \rightarrow C/Aut(C)$ and apply Hurwitz formula.

Proposition 7 (Hurwicz, 1893). *Let H be a cyclic subgroup of $\text{Aut}(C)$ and denote by \tilde{g} the genus of C/H and $m = \#H$. Then:*

1. *if $\tilde{g} \geq 2$ then $m \leq g - 1$.*

2. *if $\tilde{g} = 1$ then $m \leq 2(g - 1)$.*

3. *if $\tilde{g} = 0$ and*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} r \geq 4 \Rightarrow m \leq 2(g - 1). \\ r = 4 \Rightarrow m \leq 6(g - 1). \\ r = 3 \Rightarrow m \leq 10(g - 1). \end{array} \right.$$

The proof is similar to Hurwicz theorem with the cover $\pi : C \rightarrow C/H$.

Let us finally list some other properties which follows from Hurwicz formula :

Proposition 8 (Accola). Let be H and H_j $1 \leq j \leq k$ subgroups of $\text{Aut}(C)$ such that $H = \bigcup_{j=1}^k H_j$ and $H_i \cap H_l = \{id\}$ with $i \neq l$. Denote by $m_j = \#H_j$ and $m = \#H$ and \tilde{g} the genus of C/H and \tilde{g}_j the genus of C/H_j . Then,

$$(k - 1)g + m\tilde{g} = \sum_{j=1}^k m_j\tilde{g}_j.$$

Corollary 9. Let C be a genus 3 curve which is non-hyperelliptic. Then any involution σ on C is a 2-hyperelliptic that means a bielliptic involution (i.e. the genus of $C/\langle \sigma \rangle$ is 1).

Corollary 10. If C has genus 3 and suppose that exist a subgroup H of $\text{Aut}(C)$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ such that the genus of C/H is zero. If one element of H fix no point of C then C is an hyperelliptic curve.

Lemma 11. Let be $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(C)$ not the identity. Let be $v(\varphi)$ the number of fixed points. Then $v(\varphi) \leq 2 + \frac{2g}{\text{ord}(\varphi)-1}$ where $\text{ord}(\varphi)$ is the order of this element in the group.

Proposition 12. *Let be $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(C)$ not the identity. If $v(\varphi) > 4$ then every fixed point of φ is a WP.*

Let us make explicit general facts on $\text{Aut}(C)$ when the genus C is three:

C , with $\text{genus}(C) = 3$, then :

$$\#\text{Aut}(C) \leq 168$$

Only the primes 2, 3, 7 can divide the order of $\text{Aut}(C)$

$\text{Aut}(C)$ is a finite group in $\text{PGL}_3(K)$

Automorphism groups appearing on genus 3 curves

C once and for all a non-hyperelliptic genus 3 curve.

Think C embedded in \mathbb{P}^2 , its equation is a non-singular plane quartic in \mathbb{P}^2 (with at least degree 3 in every variable).

This talk follows two approaches to list the full list of groups appearing:

- Komiya-Kubayashi (Section 2.2)
- Dolgachev (Section 2.1)

Both approaches study first a cyclic subgroup of $Aut(C)$ in order to obtain a model equation, and latter from this equation obtain the fuller automorphism group.

2.1. The determination of the finite groups inside PGL_3

Take every finite group inside PGL_3 with less than 168 elements and only has prime orders 2,3 or 7.

Study which has as fixed set of $(X : Y : Z)$ a non-singular plane quartic.

Proposition 13. *Let g be an automorphism of order $m > 1$ of a non-singular quartic plane curve $C = V(F(X, Y, Z))$. Let us choose coordinates in such a way such that generator of the cyclic group $H = \langle g \rangle$ is represented by a diagonal matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi_m^a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \xi_m^b \end{pmatrix},$$

where ξ_m is a primitive m -th root of unity. Then $F(X, Y, Z)$ is in the following list:

Cyclic automorphism of order m .

$g = \text{diag}[1, \xi_m^a, \xi_m^b]$ we denote its Type by:
 $m, (a, b)$

$C = V(F)$ where C denotes the quartic.

L_i denotes homogenous polynomial of degree
 i

	<i>Type</i>	$F(X, Y, Z)$
(i)	2, (0, 1)	$Z^4 + Z^2L_2(X, Y) + L_4(X, Y)$
(ii)	3, (0, 1)	$Z^3L_1(X, Y) + L_4(X, Y)$
(iii)	3, (1, 2)	$X^4 + \alpha X^2YZ + XY^3 + XZ^3 + \beta Y^4$
(iv)	4, (0, 1)	$Z^4 + L_4(X, Y)$
(v)	4, (1, 2)	$X^4 + Y^4 + Z^4 + \delta X^2Z^2 + \gamma XY^2$
(vi)	6, (3, 2)	$X^4 + Y^4 + \alpha X^2Y^2 + \beta Y^3Z + \gamma X^3Y$
(vii)	7, (3, 1)	$X^3Y + Y^3Z + \alpha X^2Y^2 + \beta XY^3 + \gamma XZ^3$
(viii)	8, (3, 7)	$X^4 + Y^3Z + \alpha X^2Y^2 + \beta XY^3 + \gamma XZ^3$
(ix)	9, (3, 2)	$X^4 + XY^3 + \alpha X^2Y^2 + \beta Y^3Z + \gamma XZ^3$
(x)	12, (3, 4)	$X^4 + Y^4 + \alpha X^2Y^2 + \beta Y^3Z + \gamma XZ^3$

Proof. (sketch)

g acts by $(X : Y : Z) \mapsto (X : \xi_m^a Y : \xi_m^b Z)$.

First situation: Suppose a or b is zero.

Assume $a = 0$, write

$$F = \beta Z^4 + Z^3 L_1(X, Y) + Z^2 L_2(X, Y) + \\ Z L_3(X, Y) + L_4(X, Y),$$

if $\beta \neq 0$

then $4b \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, thus $m = 2$ or $m = 4$.

If $m = 2$ then $L_1 = L_3 = 0$ we obtain Type 2, $(0, 1)$.

If $m = 4$ ($b \neq 2$), then $L_1 = L_2 = L_3 = 0$ and we get Type 4, $(0, 1)$.

If $\beta = 0$, then $3b \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, then $m = 3$ and thus $L_2 = L_3 = 0$ and we get Type 3, $(0, 1)$.

Second situation: $0, a, b$ are all distinct. We can suppose that $a \neq b$, $(a, b) = 1$. Let be $P_1 = (1 : 0 : 0)$, $P_2 = (0 : 1 : 0)$ and $P_3 = (0 : 0 : 1)$ the reference points.

1. All reference points lie in the non-singular plane quartic.

The equation possibilities are now:

$$F = X^3 L_{1,X}(Y, Z) + Y^3 L_{1,Y}(X, Z) + Z^3 L_{1,Z}(X, Y) \\ + X^2 L_{2,X}(Y, Z) + Y^2 L_{2,Y}(X, Z) + Z^2 L_{2,Z}(X, Y)$$

By change on the variables X, Y, Z , reduces to:

$$F = X^3 Y + Y^3 Z + Z^3 X + X^2 L_{2,X}(Y, Z) + \\ Y^2 L_{2,Y}(X, Z) + Z^2 L_{2,Z}(X, Y).$$

We have that $a = 3a + b = 3b \pmod{m}$, therefore $m = 7$ and take a generator of H such that $(a, b) = (3, 1)$. We obtain that no other monomial enters in F then Type 7, $(3, 1)$.

2. Two reference points lie in the plane quartic.

By rescaling the matrix g joint with permuting the coordinates we can assume that $(1 : 0 : 0)$ does not lie in C . The equation is like:

$$F = X^4 + X^2 L_2(Y, Z) + X L_3(Y, Z) + L_4(Y, Z)$$

because as $a, b \neq 0$ L_1 does not appear (is not invariant by g), moreover Y^4 and Z^4 are not in L_4 (only $(1 : 0 : 0)$ does not lie in C).

First: $Y^3 Z$ appears in L_4 . We have $3a + b = 0 \pmod{m}$.

Suppose $Z^3 Y$ is also in L_4 then $a + 3b = 0$ therefore $8b = 0 \pmod{m}$ and then $m = 8$, we can take g generator with $(a, b) = (3, 7)$ and we obtain Type 8, $(3, 7)$.

If $Z^3 Y$ is not in L_4 then Z^3 is in L_3 (because non-singularity) therefore $3b = 0 \pmod{m}$, this condition joint with $3a + b = 0 \pmod{m}$

we have two situations: $m = 3$ and take g with $(a, b) = (1, 2)$ or $m = 9$ and $(a, b) = (3, 2)$, but the first can not happen under the condition that Y^3Z appears in L_4 and the second type is equal to 9, $(3, 2)$ of the table.

Second: If Y^3Z is not but is Z^3Y in L_4 a permutation of $Y \leftrightarrow Z$ we reduce above situation. If Y^3Z and Z^3Y are not in L_4 for non-singularity we have that Y^3 and Z^3 should appear in L_3 , then $3b = 0$ and $3a = 0 \pmod{m}$, therefore $m = 3$ and $(a, b) = (1, 2)$ is the Type 3, $(1, 2)$ in the table.

3. One reference point lie in the plane quartic. We assume that $P_1 = (1 : 0 : 0)$ and $P_2 = (0 : 1 : 0)$ do not lie on C .

$$F = X^4 + Y^4 + X^2L_2(Y, Z) + XL_3(Y, Z) + L_4(Y, Z).$$

4. None of the reference points lies in the plane quartic.

In this situation

$$F = X^4 + Y^4 + Z^4 + X^2 L_2(Y, Z) + X L_3(Y, Z) + \alpha Y^3 Z + \beta Y Z^3 + \iota Y^2 Z^2,$$

5. One reference point lie in the plane quartic. We assume that $P_1 = (1 : 0 : 0)$ and $P_2 = (0 : 1 : 0)$ do not lie on C .

$$F = X^4 + Y^4 + X^2L_2(Y, Z) + XL_3(Y, Z) + L_4(Y, Z),$$

where Z^4 does not enter in L_4 for the hypotheses on which reference points lie or not lie in the quartic, L_1 does not appear because $ab \neq 0$. We have then $4a = 0 \pmod{m}$. By non-singularity Z^3 appears in L_3 , therefore $3b = 0 \pmod{m}$, hence $m = 6$ or $m = 12$. Imposing the invariance by g we obtain

$$(*)F = X^4 + Y^4 + \alpha X^2Y^2 + XZ^3,$$

if $m = 6$ then $(a, b) = (3, 2)$ (and α may be different from 0), this is Type 6, (3, 2). If $m = 12$ then $(a, b) = (3, 4)$ from the above equation (*) and $\alpha = 0$, this is Type 12, (3, 4).

6. None of the reference points lies in the plane quartic.

In this situation

$$F = X^4 + Y^4 + Z^4 + X^2 L_2(Y, Z) + X L_3(Y, Z) + \alpha Y^3 Z + \beta Y Z^3 + \iota Y^2 Z^2,$$

where L_1 does not appear because $ab \neq 0$. Clearly $4a = 4b = 0 \pmod{m}$, therefore $m = 4$ and we can take $(a, b) = (1, 2)$ or $(1, 3)$ both situations define isomorphic curves (only by a renaming which is X, Y, Z in the equations), this is type 4, (1, 2).



Group theory notation

$G \leq GL(V)$. G intransitive if the representation of G in $GL(V)$ is reducible. Otherwise we say transitive.

G is imprimitive if G contains an intransitive normal subgroup G' ,

in this situation V decomposes into direct sum of G' -invariant proper subspaces and the set of representants of G of G/G' permutes them.

C_m the cyclic group of order m ,

S_i the symmetric group of i -elements,

A_i the alternate group of i -elements,

D_i the dihedral group, order $2i$.

Q_8 the quaternion group

$A \circledast B$ denotes a group G defined as an $Ext^1(B, A)$, from

$$1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow G \rightarrow B \rightarrow 1,$$

and $A \rtimes B$ the semi-product.

Theorem 14. *In the following table we list all the groups that appear as a group of automorphism of a non-singular plane quartic and moreover group by group we list equations which has exactly as automorphism group this group. These equations covers up to isomorphism all the plane non-singular quartics which has some automorphism.*

Full automorphism group G .

n denotes order of the group G

n	G	
168	$PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_7) \cong PSL_3(\mathbb{F}_2)$	
96	$(C_4 \times C_4) \rtimes S_3$	
48	$C_4 \odot A_4$	
24	S_4	$Z^4 + Y^4 + X^4 + 3a(Z^2 + Y^2 + X^2)$
16	$C_4 \odot (C_2 \times C_2)$	$Z^4 - X^3Y + Y^3X$
9	C_9	
8	Q_8	$Z^4 + \alpha Z^2(Y^2 + X^2)$
6	C_6	$Z^4 + \alpha Z^2(Y^2 + X^2)$
6	S_3	$Z^4 + \alpha Z^2 Y X + \beta X^2 Y^2$
4	$C_2 \times C_2$	$Z^4 + Z^2(\alpha Y^2 + \beta X^2)$
3	C_3	
2	C_2	$Z^4 + \alpha Z^2(Y^2 + X^2)$

where *P.M.* means parameter restriction.

Remark 15. *the Dolgachev table is:*

n	G	
168	$PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_7) \cong PSL_3(\mathbb{F}_2)$	
96	$(C_4 \times C_4) \rtimes S_3$	
48	$C_4 \odot A_4$	
24	S_4	$Z^4 + Y^4 + X^4 + a(Z^2Y^2 + X^2Y^2)$
16	$C_4 \times C_4$	$Z^4 + Y^4 + X^4 + a(Z^2Y^2 + X^2Y^2)$
9	C_9	
8	Q_8	$Z^4 + \alpha Z^2(Y^2 + X^2) + \beta(Y^2 - X^2)$
7	C_7	$Z^3Y + Y^3 + X^3$
6	C_6	$Z^4 + Y^4 + X^4 + a(Z^2Y^2 + X^2Y^2)$
6	S_3	$Z^4 + \alpha Z^2YX + \beta(Y^2 - X^2)$
4	$C_2 \times C_2$	$Z^4 + Z^2(\alpha Y^2 + \beta X^2) + \gamma(Y^2 - X^2)$
3	C_3	$Z^4 + \alpha Z^2YX + \beta(Y^2 - X^2)$
2	C_2	$Z^4 + Z^2(\alpha Y^2 + \beta X^2) + \gamma(Y^2 - X^2)$

Proof. (sketch)

Case 1: G an intransitive group realized as a group of automorphism.

Case 1.a.: $V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3$.

Choose (X, Y, Z) such that V_1 spanned by $(1, 0, 0)$ and so on.

$g \in G$ of order m , after scaling $g = \text{diag}(1, a, b)$, we know models of equations and restrictions for m, a, b above proposition.

Suppose $h \in G$ but $h \notin \langle g \rangle$, (choose m maximal with the property that has an element of order m).

Study now situation by situation the equations on cyclic subgroups (i)-(x):

Take $m = 12$, (x); we think $h = \text{diag}(1, \xi_{m'}^c, \xi_{m'}^d)$ then $4c = 3d = 0 \pmod{m'}$, then $12|m'$ and $h \in \langle g \rangle$.

Nevertheless situation (x) has bigger automorphisms group which appears in case 1.b.

Similar arguments in the cases (v)-(x) to conclude: there are no other automorphism appearing as an intransitive group with $V = V_1 \oplus$

$V_2 \oplus V_3$.

Case (iv) and suppose $h \notin \langle g \rangle$, let

$$L_4 = aX^4 + bY^4 + cX^3Y + dXY^3 + eX^2Y^2$$

assume $ab \neq 0$, $h = \text{diag}(\xi_{m'}^p, \xi_{m'}^q, 1)$, then $m' = 2$ or 4 . If $m' = 2$ the only possibility is $(p, q) = (0, 1)$ or $(1, 0)$ ($h \notin \langle g \rangle$) where $c = d = 0$, but in this possibility we obtain a bigger group of automorphism.

If $m' = 4$, only possibilities $(p, q) = (1, 0), (0, 1), (1, 3), (3, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1)$. If $(p, q) = (1, 3)$ or $(3, 1)$ we have $c = d = 0$, we obtain that this equation that has bigger group appearing in the following process (interchanging X and Y). If $(p, q) = (1, 2)$ or $(2, 1)$ similar as the case $(1, 3)$. The situation $(1, 0)$ implies $c = d = e = 0$, this is the Fermat quartic and has bigger group of automorphism.

Assume now $a \neq 0$ and $b = 0$. $d \neq 0$ (non-singularity). One has $4p = 3p + q = 0 \pmod{m'}$, then $c = e = 0$. But then we obtain $\mathbb{Z}/12$ as

group, situation (x) considered before.

Assume now $a = b = 0$. $cd \neq 0$ (non-singularity).
 $3p + q = p + 3q = 0 \pmod{m'}$, but then $m' = 8$
(studied above).

Similar argument applied:

Case (iii) One checks that no other element appears except when 1) $\alpha = \beta = 0$ that is situation (ix) already studied; 2) $\alpha = \beta$ appears C_6 in the group and is already studied (vi); 3) $\beta = 0, \alpha \neq 0$ no-reduced; 4) $\alpha = 0, \beta \neq 0$ appears C_6 .

Case (ii): Since $L_1 \neq 0$ no h can appear.

Case (i): Only need to study when $\text{diag}(1, -1, 1)$ appears (i.e. we have $C_2 \times C_2$). We have that L_4 does not contain Y^3X and X^3Y and L_2 does not contain XY . In this situation could have a bigger group of automorphism when $\alpha = \beta$ (see table).

Case 1.b. $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ with $\dim V_2 = 2$, where V_2 irreducible representation of G (G non-abelian).

Choose coordinates s.t. $(1, 0, 0) \in V_1$, V_2 spanned by $(0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1)$. \bar{g} restriction of g to $W = V(Z) = \mathbb{P}(V_2)$, choose in SL_2 . Write:

$$F = \alpha Z^4 + Z^3 L_1(Y, X) + Z^2 L_2(Y, X) + Z L_3(Y, X) + L_4(Y, X),$$

$L_1 = 0$ (irreducibility of V_2) and $\alpha \neq 0$ (non-singularity).

If $L_2 \neq 0$, G leaves $V(L_2)$ invariant, \bar{G} the restriction of G in W , the

$$G \leq D_2$$

then by a change of variables on V_2 that the action of \bar{G} is $(x, y) \mapsto (-y, x)$ and $(x, y) \mapsto (ix, -iy)$, then G can be only an extension of the $C_2 \times C_2$ situation above, therefore we have that G is isomorphic to Q_8 with the values on the table of the theorem.

If $L_2 = 0$ but $L_3 \neq 0$, here $\bar{G} \leq D_3$ obtains that with the invariants of this elements one obtains a singular curve.

If $L_2 = L_3 = 0$ but $L_4 \neq 0$, \bar{G} leave $V(L_4)$ invariant. One knows

$$\bar{G} \leq A_4$$

of order 12. One should study all these subgroups, the ones with has $\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ we can restrict on the equation given by step 1a and one obtains the group of 16 elements and the group of 48 elements.

Case 2: G has normal transitive imprimitive subgroup H .

H is a subgroup given above and permutes cyclically coordinates, therefore the only situations possible are:

$$Z^4 + \alpha Z^2 Y X + Z(Y^3 + X^3) + \beta Y^2 X^2$$

$$Z^3 Y + Y^3 X + X^3 Z$$

$$Z^4 + Y^3X + X^3Y$$

$$Z^4 + Y^4 + Z^4 + 3a(Z^2Y^2 + Z^2X^2 + Y^2X^2)$$

the first one obtains S_3 the group with the restrictions appearing above in the argument.

The second curve is the Klein quartic, one can obtain that the automorphism group is $PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_7)$.

Easily has as a subgroup of automorphism $C_4^2 \rtimes S_3$ of order 96, therefore can not be bigger for Hurwitz bound.

The fourth one, if $a = 0$ is the Fermat's curve, or $a = \frac{1}{2}(-1 \pm \sqrt{-7})$ is isomorphic to Klein curve. If a does not take this values, easily a subgroup of the $Aut(C)$ is sign of changes of the variables and permutations of variables, this is a group of order 24 isomorphic to S_4 . To obtain that this is the full group of automorphism, we need a more careful study on Weierstrass points and the automorphism in

$PGL_3(K)$.

Case 3: G is a simple group.

There are only two transitive primitive groups of PGL_3 , one is $PGL_3(\mathbb{F}_2)$ given the Klein quartic (see next talk), already considered.

The other has order bigger than 168, therefore can not be $Aut(C)$ of any genus 3 curve.



2.2. The determination of $Aut(C)$ by cyclic covers

Suppose that C is a non-hyperelliptic non-singular projective genus 3 curve, and suppose that C has an automorphism σ . C/σ has genus 0, or 1, (2 can not appear).

If $Aut(C)$ has an element of order ≥ 4 then $genus(C/\sigma) = 0$.

Two situations:

1. C curves which are a Galois cyclic cover of a projective line.
2. C curves which are a Galois cyclic cover of an elliptic curve but not of a projective line.

Let m denote the order of a cyclic group.

1. **cyclic covers over a projective line.**

C Galois cyclic cover of order then $K(C) = K(x, y)$ with $y^m \in K(x)$, therefore:

$$y^m = (x - a_1)^{n_1} \cdot \dots \cdot (x - a_r)^{n_r}$$

with $1 \leq n_i < m$ and $\sum_{i=1}^r n_i$ is divided by m a_1, \dots, a_r are the points over which the ramification occurs.

Apply now proposition Hurwicz (1893) with $g = 3$ and $\tilde{g} = 0$, we now already that

$$m \leq 20$$

Theorem 16 (Kubayashi-Komiya). *The genus 3 curves C which are projective and non-singular which are also a Galois cyclic cover of order m (can have also a cyclic cover of order a multiple of m) of a projective line and with C non-hyperelliptic are listed as follow (with the equation model up to isomorphism):*

m	Equation
3	$y^3 = x(x - 1)(x - \alpha)(x - \beta)$
4	$y^4 = x(x - 1)(x - \alpha)$
6	$y^3 = x(x - 1)(x - \alpha)(x - (1 - \alpha))$
7	$y^3 + yx^3 + x = 0$,
8	$y^4 = x(x^2 - 1)$
9	$y^3 = x(x^3 - 1)$
12	$y^4 = x^3 - 1$

Observe that each equation above in \mathbb{P}^2 becomes a non-singular quartic.

Make a concrete situation, how proofs goes:

We now that $m \leq 20$. From Hurwicz formula from the cover $C \rightarrow C/C_m$ we can not consider $m = 5, 11, 13, 17, 19$.

From the conditions of the equation, from the ramification r and the conditions on n_i we can discard $m = 15, 16, 18, 20$.

Take $m = 8$ (for example here).

The values of v_i can be only divisors of 8, then 2,4,8, therefore all the possibilities are

	v_1	v_2	v_3	v_4	v_5
(i)	2	2	2	2	2
(ii)	2	2	4	4	
(iii)	4	8	8		

Case (i), (ii) reducible equation.

Case (iii) three situations:

$$(1) y^8 = (x - a_1)^2(x - a_2)^3(x - a_3)^3$$

$$(2) y^8 = (x - a_1)(x - a_2)(x - a_3)^6$$

$$(3) y^8 = (x - a_1)^2(x - a_2)(x - a_3)^5$$

by a birational transformation $x = X$ and $y = (X - a_1)^{-2}(X - a_2)^{-1}(X - a_3)^{-1}Y$, (2) is birational equivalent to (1) and (2) is a hyperelliptic curve.

Let us normalize the equation (3) as $y^8 = x^2(x - 1)$. One computes a basis of differentials of the first kind $w_1 = y^{-3}dx$, $w_2 = y^{-6}x dx$, $w_3 = y^{-7}x dx$, and writing $x = -X^{-1}Y^4$, $y = Y$ one obtains a canonical model:

$$X^3Z + XZ^3 + Y^4 = 0$$

(and one observes that this quartic is isomorphic to Fermat's quartic $X^4 + Y^4 + Z^4 = 0$).

How obtain from theorem 16 above the full automorphism group?

We use the equations in the projective model and case by case we study the group of elements of $PGL_3(K)$ that fix the quartic.

Basically is study two situations (Klein quartic knows):

1) one with the affine model: $y^3 = x(x - 1)(x - t)(x - s)$

2) second with the affine model: $y^4 = x(x - 1)(x - t)$.

Let us mention briefly 2)

Theorem 17 (Kuribayashi-Komiya). *The non-hyperelliptic genus 3 curves non-smooth and projective which are a Galois cyclic cover of a projective line of order m are isomorphic to one of the following equations and has the automorphism group associated to it:*

<i>Equation</i> = $\{F(X, Y, Z) = 0\}$	<i>Aut</i> ($C = V$)
$Y^3Z + XZ^3 + X^3Y = 0$	$PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$
$Y^4 - X^3Z - XZ^3 = 0$	$(C_4 \times C_4)$
$Y^3Z - X^4 + XZ^3 = 0$	C_9
$Y^4 - X^3Z + Z^4 = 0$	$C_4 \odot A_4$
$Y^4 - X^3Z + (\alpha - 1)X^2Z^2 - \alpha XZ^3 = 0$	$C_4 \odot (C_2 \times C_2)$
$Y^3Z - X(X - Z)(X - \alpha Z)(X - (1 - \alpha)Z) = 0$	C_6
$Y^3Z - X(X - Z)(X - \alpha Z)(X - \beta Z) = 0$	C_3

2. Cyclic cover of a torus.

We remember that the automorphism group has a cyclic element σ of order $m > 4$ then the genus of $C / \langle \sigma \rangle$ is zero and therefore a cyclic cover of a projective line, as we are done.

Let us impose that $m = 2, 3$ or 4 .

$$n = |\text{Aut}(C)|$$

Impose that $n > 4$ in this talk.

Because $n > 4$ $C / \text{Aut}(C) = \mathbb{P}^1$ (Hurwicz).

(Hurwicz) Galois cover $\pi : C \rightarrow C/Aut(C)$ verifies:

(a) If $r \geq 5$, then $n \leq 8$ and:

- (1) $n = 8, v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = v_4 = v_5 = 2$;
- (2) $n = 6, v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = v_4 = 2, v_5 = 3$.

(b) If $r = 4$ then $n \leq 24$ and:

- (1) $n = 24, v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = 2, v_4 = 3$
- (2) $n = 16, v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = 2, v_4 = 4$
- (3) $n = 12, v_1 = v_2 = 2, v_3 = v_4 = 3$
- (4) $n = 8, v_1 = v_2 = 2, v_3 = v_4 = 4$
- (5) $n = 6, v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = v_4 = 3$.

(c) If $r = 3$, then $n \leq 48$ and:

- (1) $n = 48, v_1 = v_2 = 3, v_3 = 4$
- (2) $n = 24, v_1 = 3, v_2 = v_3 = 4$
- (3) $n = 16, v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = 4$.

We need a study case by case of every situation. To show the ideas that appears in this study let us take the situation with $r \geq 5$ and $n = 6$.

$n = 6$ ramification 2,2,2,2,3 non-hyperelliptic.

We have an involution σ (bielliptic)

P_1 and P_2 branch points with multiplicity 3

τ the automorphism of order 3 by which P_1 and P_2 are fixed.

$\tau\sigma = \sigma\tau^2$ (cyclic already studied) and $gen(C / \langle \tau \rangle) = 1$ is an elliptic curve ($=0$, studied, $=2$ (No, Hurwicz)).

We use some facts on divisors.

Lemma 18. *Let C be a projective non-singular curve of genus g (≥ 3) and let ι an automorphism of C such that $C/\langle \iota \rangle$ is an elliptic curve. Denote by v_P the ramification multiplicity of a branch point of the covering $\pi : C \rightarrow C/\langle \iota \rangle$. Then the divisor $\sum (v_P - 1)P$ is canonical.*

This is not useful for our concrete situation $n = 6$ but yes in others and let here to write it.

Lemma 19. *Let C be a non-hyperelliptic genus 3 curve, projective and non-singular. Assume that C has an automorphism ι of order 4 and ι has fixed points on C . Then the $v(\iota) = 4$, denote by P_1, P_2, P_3 and P_4 this four fixed points. Moreover we have that $\sum_{i=1}^4 P_i$ and $4P_i$ $1 \leq i \leq 4$ are canonical divisors.*

Go back to $n = 6$.

$2(P_1 + P_2)$ is canonical divisor.

$G = \{1, \tau, \tau^2, \sigma = \sigma_1, \sigma_2 = \tau\sigma_1, \sigma_3 = \tau^2\sigma_1\}$,
where σ_i are involutions (all bielliptic).

$\{Q_i^{(1)}\}, \{Q_i^{(2)}\}, \{Q_i^{(3)}\}$ set of 4 fixed points
by $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$ respectively.

$\sum_{i=1}^4 \{Q_i^{(1)}\}, \sum_{i=1}^4 \{Q_i^{(2)}\}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^4 \{Q_i^{(3)}\}$
are canonical divisors.

From $\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1 = \sigma_3$:

$$\sigma_1\left(\sum_{i=1}^4 \{Q_i^{(2)}\}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^4 \{Q_i^{(3)}\}$$

and $\sigma_1 P_1 = P_2$.

Define meromorphic functions:

$$\text{div}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^4 \{Q_i^{(2)}\} - 2(P_1 + P_2)$$

$$\text{div}(y) = \sum_{i=1}^4 \{Q_i^{(3)}\} - 2(P_1 + P_2)$$

they verify $\sigma_1(x) = \alpha y$ and $\sigma_1(y) = \beta x$,
 $\alpha\beta = 1$. (involution)

Rewrite y instead of αy .

Check that $1, x, y$ are a basis for $L(2P_1 + 2P_2)$ with $\tau(x) = -y$ and $\tau(y) = x - y$.

Make change

$$x_1 = \frac{x - 2y + 1}{x + y + 1}, \quad y_1 = \frac{-2x + y + 1}{x + y + 1},$$

the action of σ_1 and τ is:

$$\sigma_1 : (x_1, y_1) \mapsto (y_1, x_1),$$

$$\tau : (x_1, y_1) \mapsto (y_1/x_1, 1/x_1),$$

$1, x_1, y_1$ basis for $L(K)$ (K canonical divisor) with homogenous coordinates the group acts by

$$\sigma_1 \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\tau \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix},$$

then the equation is invariant for the group S_3

therefore the equation are

$$A(X^4 + Y^4 + Z^4) + B(X^3Y + Y^3X + Z^3X + X^3Z + Z^3Y + Y^3Z) + C(X^2Y^2 + Y^2Z^2 + X^2Z^2) = 0$$

for some A, B, C .

If $B = C = 0$ and $A \neq 0$ is isomorphic to $y^4 = x(x^2 - 1)$ which has cyclic cover of a projective line, this is already studied.

If $B = 0$ and $AC \neq 0$ has a group of order 24, except when $C/A = 3\mu$ with $\mu \in \left\{ \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{-7}}{2} \right\}$ where for this concrete situation is isomorphic to the Klein quartic (studied above).

$ABC \neq 0$ obtain that full group of automorphism are G .

Working situation by situation Kuribayashi and Komiya obtain:

Theorem 20 (Kuribayashi-Komiya). *The non-hyperelliptic genus 3 curves non-smooth and projective which are a Galois cyclic cover of an elliptic curve and not of a projective line are isomorphic to one of the following equations and has the automorphism group associated to it:*

<i>Equation</i> = $\{F(X, Y, Z) = 0\}$
$X^4 + Y^4 + Z^4 + 3a(X^2Y^2 + X^2Z^2 + Z^2Y^2) = 0$
$X^4 + Y^4 + aX^2Y^2 + b(X^2Z^2 + Y^2Z^2) + Z^4 = 0$
$(X^4 + Y^4 + Z^4) + c(X^2Y^2 + Y^2Z^2 + X^2Z^2) + b(X^3Y + Y^3X + Z^3X + X^3Z + Z^3Y + Y^3Z) = 0$
$X^4 + Y^4 + Z^4 + 2aX^2Y^2 + 2bX^2Z^2 + 2cY^2Z^2 = 0$
$a(X^4 + Y^4 + Z^4) + b(X^3Y - Y^3X) + cX^2Y^2 + d(X^2Z^2 + Y^2Z^2) = 0$
$a(X^4 + Y^4 + Z^4) + b(X^3Y + Y^3Z + XZ^3) + c(Y^3X + X^3Z + Y^3Z) + d(X^2Y^2 + X^2Z^2 + Y^2Z^2) = 0$
$(X^4 + Y^4 + Z^4) + Y^2(a_0X^2 + a_1XZ + bZ^2) + (a_2X^3Z + a_3X^2Z + a_4XZ^3) = 0$

2.3. Final remarks

C be a curve of genus ≥ 2 .

H subgroup of $Aut(C)$

consider the cover $C \rightarrow C/H$, $g_0 = genus(C/H)$:

$$2(g - 1)/|H| = 2(g_0 - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{m_i}\right),$$

the signature associate to this cover is

$$(g_0; m_1, \dots, m_r)$$

where we have exactly r ramification points.

We can list all the possible signatures from Hurwicz, and try to obtain of all this list the ones with $H = Aut(C)$. (above use an intermediate step with cyclic subgroup).

One can obtain:

$Aut(C)$	g_0 ;signature
$PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_7)$	(0; 2, 3, 7)
S_3	(0; 2, 2, 2, 2, 3)
C_2	(1; 2, 2, 2, 2)
$C_2 \times C_2$	(0; 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2)
Q_8	(0; 2, 2, 2, 2, 2)
S_4	(0; 2, 2, 2, 3)
$C_4^2 \rtimes S_3$	(0; 2, 3, 8)
$C_4 \odot (C_2)^2$	(0; 2, 2, 2, 4)
$C_4 \odot A_4$	(0; 2, 3, 12)
C_3	(0; 3, 3, 3, 3, 3)
C_6	(0; 2, 3, 3, 6)
C_9	(0; 3, 9, 9)

M_g moduli space of genus g curves.

$M_{g,r}$ moduli space of genus g curves with r distinct marked points

Is known that

$$\dim(M_{g,r}) = 3g - 3 + r.$$

It is known that the dimension in M_g of the connected components of an appearing signature $(g_0; \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$ is $\dim(M_{g_0, r})$, therefore:

Remark 21. *There are a lot of non-hyperelliptic genus 3 curves that have no automorphism, in particular the generic curve for M_3 should have no automorphism.*

C has a large automorphism group if its point in M_g has a neighborhood such that any other curve in this neighborhood has an automorphism group a group with less elements than the group that has the curve C .

Corollary 22. *Let C be a curve defined over \mathbb{C} ($g \geq 2$). Then: C has a large automorphism group if and only if exists a Belyi function defining a normal covering $\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.*

If we center now in our tables for genus 3 curves:

C non-hyperelliptic genus 3 curves with large automorphism group:

C	$Aut(C)$
$Z^3Y + Y^3X + X^3Z$	$PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_7)$
$Z^4 + X^4 + Y^4$	$C_4^2 \rtimes S_3$
$Z^4 + YX^3 + Y^3X$	$C_4 \odot A_4$
$Z^4 + ZY^3 + YX^3$	C_9