

# The Fermat-type equations $x^5 + y^5 = 2z^p$ or $3z^p$ solved through $\mathbb{Q}$ -curves

Nuno Freitas

Universitat de Barcelona

January 2012

# The equation $x^5 + y^5 = dz^p$

## Theorem (Billerey and Billerey, Dieulefait)

Let  $d = 2^\alpha 3^\beta 5^\gamma$  where  $\alpha \geq 2$ ,  $\beta, \gamma, \geq 0$ , or  $d = 7, 13$ . Then, for  $p > 19$  the equation  $x^5 + y^5 = dz^p$  has no non-trivial primitive solution.

Let  $\gamma$  be an integer divisible only by primes  $l \not\equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ .

## Theorem (Dieulefait, F)

For any  $p > 13$  such that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  or  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$ , the equation  $x^5 + y^5 = 2^\gamma z^p$  has no non-trivial primitive solutions.

## Theorem (Dieulefait, F)

For any  $p > 73$  such that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  or  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$ , the equation  $x^5 + y^5 = 3^\gamma z^p$  has no non-trivial primitive solutions.

# The equation $x^5 + y^5 = dz^p$

## Theorem (Billerey and Billerey, Dieulefait)

Let  $d = 2^\alpha 3^\beta 5^\gamma$  where  $\alpha \geq 2$ ,  $\beta, \gamma \geq 0$ , or  $d = 7, 13$ . Then, for  $p > 19$  the equation  $x^5 + y^5 = dz^p$  has no non-trivial primitive solution.

Let  $\gamma$  be an integer divisible only by primes  $l \not\equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ .

## Theorem (Dieulefait, F)

For any  $p > 13$  such that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  or  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$ , the equation  $x^5 + y^5 = 2^\gamma z^p$  has no non-trivial primitive solutions.

## Theorem (Dieulefait, F)

For any  $p > 73$  such that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  or  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$ , the equation  $x^5 + y^5 = 3^\gamma z^p$  has no non-trivial primitive solutions.

# Relating two equations

## Key factorization:

$$x^5 + y^5 = (x + y)(x^4 - x^3y + x^2y^2 - xy^3 + y^4)$$

$$\text{Let } \phi(x, y) = (x^4 - x^3y + x^2y^2 - xy^3 + y^4)$$

## Proposition

If  $(a, b) = 1$  then the integers  $a + b$  and  $\phi(a, b)$  are coprime outside 5. Moreover,  $5 \mid a + b \iff v_5(\phi(a, b)) = 1$

## Proposition

Let  $l \not\equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  be a prime number dividing  $a^5 + b^5$ . If  $(a, b) = 1$  then  $l$  divides  $a + b$ .

# Relating two equations

## Key factorization:

$$x^5 + y^5 = (x + y)(x^4 - x^3y + x^2y^2 - xy^3 + y^4)$$

$$\text{Let } \phi(x, y) = (x^4 - x^3y + x^2y^2 - xy^3 + y^4)$$

## Proposition

If  $(a, b) = 1$  then the integers  $a + b$  and  $\phi(a, b)$  are coprime outside 5. Moreover,  $5 \mid a + b \iff v_5(\phi(a, b)) = 1$

## Proposition

Let  $l \not\equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  be a prime number dividing  $a^5 + b^5$ . If  $(a, b) = 1$  then  $l$  divides  $a + b$ .

# Relating two equations

Let  $(a, b, c)$  be a primitive solution to  $x^5 + y^5 = d\gamma z^p$ .

- $a^5 + b^5 = (a + b)\phi(a, b) = d\gamma c^p$  ( $d = 2, 3$ )
- Since  $d\gamma$  is divisible only by primes  $l \not\equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  we have  $d\gamma \mid a + b$
- If  $5 \nmid a + b$  then  $\phi(a, b) = c_0^p$
- If  $5 \mid a + b$  then  $\phi(a, b) = 5c_0^p$
- $c_0 \mid c$  is only divisible by primes  $l \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ .

Hence we need to prove that  $\phi(x, y) = rz^p$  where  $r = 1, 5$  has no non-trivial primitive solutions if  $d\gamma \mid a + b$ . Actually, we can suppose that  $\gamma = 1$ .

# The Frey $\mathbb{Q}$ -curve

Observe that over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$

- $\phi(x, y) = \phi_1(x, y)\phi_2(x, y)$ , where
- $\phi_1(x, y) = x^2 + \omega xy + y^2$  and  $\phi_2(x, y) = x^2 + \bar{\omega}xy + y^2$ , with
- $\omega = \frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ ,  $\bar{\omega} = \frac{-1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$
- Moreover, if  $(a, b) = 1$  then  $\phi_1(a, b), \phi_2(a, b)$  are coprime outside the prime above 5.

## Definition

Given a triple  $(a, b, c)$  define the Frey-curve over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$

$$E_{(a,b)} : y^2 = x^3 + 2(a+b)x^2 - \bar{\omega}\phi_1(a, b)x$$

with discriminant  $\Delta(E) = 2^6\bar{\omega}\phi\phi_1$ .

There are representations  $\rho_{E,I} : G_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_I)$  with residual representations  $\bar{\rho}_{E,I} : G_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_I)$

# The Frey $\mathbb{Q}$ -curve

## Serre Conjecture (Khare, Wintenberger)

Let  $\bar{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$  be odd and irreducible. Then  $\bar{\rho}$  is modular of type  $(N(\bar{\rho}), k(\bar{\rho}), \epsilon(\bar{\rho}))$ .

We need to extend  $\bar{\rho}_{E,p}!!!$

### Definition

Let  $C$  be an elliptic curve over  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ . We say that  $C$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -**curve** if it is isogenous to all its Galois conjugates  ${}^{\sigma}C$  for  $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$

### Proposition

Then  $E_{(a,b)}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -curve

**Proof:** The curve  $E_{(a,b)}$  has the non-trivial Galois

$${}^{\sigma}E_{(a,b)} : y^2 = x^3 + 2(a+b)x^2 - \omega\phi_2(a,b)x,$$



# The Frey $\mathbb{Q}$ -curve

## Serre Conjecture (Khare, Wintenberger)

Let  $\bar{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$  be odd and irreducible. Then  $\bar{\rho}$  is modular of type  $(N(\bar{\rho}), k(\bar{\rho}), \epsilon(\bar{\rho}))$ .

We need to extend  $\bar{\rho}_{E,p}$ !!!

## Definition

Let  $C$  be an elliptic curve over  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ . We say that  $C$  is a  **$\mathbb{Q}$ -curve** if it is isogenous to all its Galois conjugates  ${}^{\sigma}C$  for  $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$

## Proposition

Then  $E_{(a,b)}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -curve

**Proof:** The curve  $E_{(a,b)}$  has the non-trivial Galois

$${}^{\sigma}E_{(a,b)} : y^2 = x^3 + 2(a+b)x^2 - \omega\phi_2(a,b)x,$$

# The Frey $\mathbb{Q}$ -curve

## Serre Conjecture (Khare, Wintenberger)

Let  $\bar{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$  be odd and irreducible. Then  $\bar{\rho}$  is modular of type  $(N(\bar{\rho}), k(\bar{\rho}), \epsilon(\bar{\rho}))$ .

We need to extend  $\bar{\rho}_{E,p}$ !!!

## Definition

Let  $C$  be an elliptic curve over  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ . We say that  $C$  is a  **$\mathbb{Q}$ -curve** if it is isogenous to all its Galois conjugates  ${}^{\sigma}C$  for  $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$

## Proposition

Then  $E_{(a,b)}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -curve

**Proof:** The curve  $E_{(a,b)}$  has the non-trivial Galois

$${}^{\sigma}E_{(a,b)} : y^2 = x^3 + 2(a+b)x^2 - \omega\phi_2(a,b)x,$$

# The Frey $\mathbb{Q}$ -curve

and there exists a 2-isogeny  $\mu : {}^\sigma E \rightarrow E$  given by

$$(x, y) \mapsto \left(-\frac{y^2}{2x^2}, \frac{\sqrt{-2}}{4} \frac{y}{x^2} (\omega\phi_2 + x^2)\right).$$

## Theorem

Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$  where  $\theta = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(5 + \sqrt{5})}$ . Put  $\gamma = 2\theta^2 - \theta - 5$  and consider the twist of  $E_{(a,b)}$  by  $\gamma$  defined over  $K$  by

$$E_\gamma : y^2 = x^3 + 2\gamma(a+b)x^2 - \gamma^2\bar{\omega}\phi_1(a,b)x.$$

The Weil restriction  $B = \text{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(E_\gamma/K) \sim S_1 \times S_2$  where  $S_i$  are two non-isogenous abelian surfaces of  $GL_2$ -type defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Each  $S_i$  has its  $\mathbb{Q}$ -endomorphism algebra iso to  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ .

# The Frey $\mathbb{Q}$ -curve

and there exists a 2-isogeny  $\mu : \sigma E \rightarrow E$  given by

$$(x, y) \mapsto \left(-\frac{y^2}{2x^2}, \frac{\sqrt{-2}}{4} \frac{y}{x^2} (\omega\phi_2 + x^2)\right).$$

## Theorem

Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$  where  $\theta = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(5 + \sqrt{5})}$ . Put  $\gamma = 2\theta^2 - \theta - 5$  and consider the twist of  $E_{(a,b)}$  by  $\gamma$  defined over  $K$  by

$$E_\gamma : y^2 = x^3 + 2\gamma(a + b)x^2 - \gamma^2\bar{\omega}\phi_1(a, b)x.$$

The Weil restriction  $B = \text{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(E_\gamma/K) \sim S_1 \times S_2$  where  $S_i$  are two non-isogenous abelian surfaces of  $GL_2$ -type defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Each  $S_i$  has its  $\mathbb{Q}$ -endomorphism algebra iso to  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ .

# Computing $(N(\bar{\rho}), k(\bar{\rho}), \epsilon(\bar{\rho}))$

- For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}(i)$  then  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  acts on  $T_l S_i$  and induces  $\rho_l = \rho_{S_i, \lambda} \oplus \rho_{S_i, \lambda}^{\sigma}$ .
- To compute  $N(\bar{\rho}_{S_i, \lambda})$  we need the level of  $\rho_{S_i, \lambda}$  first.

From Tate's Algorithm we compute  $N_{E_{\gamma}}$  and with

$$\text{Milne's Formula: } N_B = \text{Nm}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(N_{E_{\gamma}}) \text{Disc}(K/\mathbb{Q})^2$$

we obtain the conductor of  $B$

## Proposition

- $N_B = 2^t 5^{6+s} \text{rad}(c)^4$
- $s = 0$  or  $2$  if  $5 \mid a + b$  or  $5 \nmid a + b$ , respectively
- if  $2 \mid a + b \Rightarrow t = 24, 8, 16$  if  $2 \parallel a + b, 4 \parallel a + b, 8 \mid a + b$
- if  $2 \nmid a + b$  then  $t = 24$  or  $20$  if  $4 \nmid a$  or  $4 \mid a$ , respectively.

# Computing $(N(\bar{\rho}), k(\bar{\rho}), \epsilon(\bar{\rho}))$

- For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}(i)$  then  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  acts on  $T_l S_i$  and induces  $\rho_l = \rho_{S_i, \lambda} \oplus \rho_{S_i, \lambda}^{\sigma}$ .
- To compute  $N(\bar{\rho}_{S_i, \lambda})$  we need the level of  $\rho_{S_i, \lambda}$  first.

From Tate's Algorithm we compute  $N_{E_{\gamma}}$  and with

$$\text{Milne's Formula: } N_B = \text{Nm}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(N_{E_{\gamma}}) \text{Disc}(K/\mathbb{Q})^2$$

we obtain the conductor of  $B$

## Proposition

- $N_B = 2^t 5^{6+s} \text{rad}(c)^4$
- $s = 0$  or  $2$  if  $5 \mid a + b$  or  $5 \nmid a + b$ , respectively
- if  $2 \mid a + b \Rightarrow t = 24, 8, 16$  if  $2 \parallel a + b, 4 \parallel a + b, 8 \mid a + b$
- if  $2 \nmid a + b$  then  $t = 24$  or  $20$  if  $4 \nmid a$  or  $4 \mid a$ , respectively.

# Computing $(N(\bar{\rho}), k(\bar{\rho}), \epsilon(\bar{\rho}))$

Let  $\epsilon$  be the character of  $K$  then  $\epsilon^2$  is the character of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ .

- $E_\gamma$  has no CM  $\Rightarrow \rho_{E_\gamma, l}$  absolutely irreducible
- Extensions of abs. irr. rep. are unique up to twists
- There are four 2-dimensional rep. of  $G_Q$  extending  $\rho_{E_\gamma, l}$

$$\rho_{S_1, \lambda} \otimes \epsilon = \rho_{S_1, \lambda}^\sigma, \quad \rho_{S_1, \lambda} \otimes \epsilon^2 = \rho_{S_2, \lambda}, \quad \rho_{S_1, \lambda} \otimes \epsilon^3 = \rho_{S_2, \lambda}^\sigma$$

- $B \simeq S_1 \times S_2 \Rightarrow N_B = N_{S_1} N_{S_2}$
- $N_{S_i} = \text{cond}(\rho_{S_i, \lambda}) \text{cond}(\rho_{S_i, \lambda}^\sigma) = \text{cond}(\rho_{S_i, \lambda})^2$
- The difference between  $\text{cond}(\rho_{S_1, \lambda})$  and  $\text{cond}(\rho_{S_2, \lambda})$  is at 5
- $\text{cond}_5(\rho_{S_1, \lambda} \otimes \epsilon^2) \leq \text{lcm}(\text{cond}_5(\rho_{S_1, \lambda}), \text{cond}(\epsilon^2)^2 = 5^2)$

# Computing $(N(\bar{\rho}), k(\bar{\rho}), \epsilon(\bar{\rho}))$

Let  $\epsilon$  be the character of  $K$  then  $\epsilon^2$  is the character of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ .

- $E_\gamma$  has no CM  $\Rightarrow \rho_{E_\gamma, l}$  absolutely irreducible
- Extensions of abs. irr. rep. are unique up to twists
- There are four 2-dimensional rep. of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  extending  $\rho_{E_\gamma, l}$

$$\rho_{S_1, \lambda} \otimes \epsilon = \rho_{S_1, \lambda}^\sigma, \quad \rho_{S_1, \lambda} \otimes \epsilon^2 = \rho_{S_2, \lambda}, \quad \rho_{S_1, \lambda} \otimes \epsilon^3 = \rho_{S_2, \lambda}^\sigma$$

- $B \simeq S_1 \times S_2 \Rightarrow N_B = N_{S_1} N_{S_2}$
- $N_{S_i} = \text{cond}(\rho_{S_i, \lambda}) \text{cond}(\rho_{S_i, \lambda}^\sigma) = \text{cond}(\rho_{S_i, \lambda})^2$
- The difference between  $\text{cond}(\rho_{S_1, \lambda})$  and  $\text{cond}(\rho_{S_2, \lambda})$  is at 5
- $\text{cond}_5(\rho_{S_1, \lambda} \otimes \epsilon^2) \leq \text{lcm}(\text{cond}_5(\rho_{S_1, \lambda}), \text{cond}(\epsilon^2)^2 = 5^2)$



# Computing $(N(\bar{\rho}), k(\bar{\rho}), \epsilon(\bar{\rho}))$

Let  $\epsilon$  be the character of  $K$  then  $\epsilon^2$  is the character of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ .

- $E_\gamma$  has no CM  $\Rightarrow \rho_{E_\gamma, l}$  absolutely irreducible
- Extensions of abs. irr. rep. are unique up to twists
- There are four 2-dimensional rep. of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  extending  $\rho_{E_\gamma, l}$

$$\rho_{S_1, \lambda} \otimes \epsilon = \rho_{S_1, \lambda}^\sigma, \quad \rho_{S_1, \lambda} \otimes \epsilon^2 = \rho_{S_2, \lambda}, \quad \rho_{S_1, \lambda} \otimes \epsilon^3 = \rho_{S_2, \lambda}^\sigma$$

- $B \simeq S_1 \times S_2 \Rightarrow N_B = N_{S_1} N_{S_2}$
- $N_{S_i} = \text{cond}(\rho_{S_i, \lambda}) \text{cond}(\rho_{S_i, \lambda}^\sigma) = \text{cond}(\rho_{S_i, \lambda})^2$
- The difference between  $\text{cond}(\rho_{S_1, \lambda})$  and  $\text{cond}(\rho_{S_2, \lambda})$  is at 5
- $\text{cond}_5(\rho_{S_1, \lambda} \otimes \epsilon^2) \leq \text{lcm}(\text{cond}_5(\rho_{S_1, \lambda}), \text{cond}(\epsilon^2)^2 = 5^2)$

# Computing $(N(\bar{\rho}), k(\bar{\rho}), \epsilon(\bar{\rho}))$

Equation	$\nu_2(a+b)$	$\rho_{S_1, \lambda}$	$\rho_{S_1, \lambda}^\sigma$	$\rho_{S_2, \lambda}$	$\rho_{S_2, \lambda}^\sigma$
$r = 1$	0	$2^6 5^2 c_0$	$2^6 5^2 c_0$	$2^6 5^2 c_0$	$2^6 5^2 c_0$
$r = 1$	0	$2^5 5^2 c_0$	$2^5 5^2 c_0$	$2^5 5^2 c_0$	$2^5 5^2 c_0$
$r = 1$	1	$2^6 5^2 c_0$	$2^6 5^2 c_0$	$2^6 5^2 c_0$	$2^6 5^2 c_0$
$r = 1$	2	$2^2 5^2 c_0$	$2^2 5^2 c_0$	$2^2 5^2 c_0$	$2^2 5^2 c_0$
$r = 1$	$\geq 3$	$2^4 5^2 c_0$	$2^4 5^2 c_0$	$2^4 5^2 c_0$	$2^4 5^2 c_0$
$r = 5$	0	$2^6 5^2 c_0$	$2^6 5^2 c_0$	$2^6 5 c_0$	$2^6 5 c_0$
$r = 5$	0	$2^5 5^2 c_0$	$2^5 5^2 c_0$	$2^5 5 c_0$	$2^5 5 c_0$
$r = 5$	1	$2^6 5^2 c_0$	$2^6 5^2 c_0$	$2^6 5 c_0$	$2^6 5 c_0$
$r = 5$	2	$2^2 5^2 c_0$	$2^2 5^2 c_0$	$2^2 5 c_0$	$2^2 5 c_0$
$r = 5$	$\geq 3$	$2^4 5^2 c_0$	$2^4 5^2 c_0$	$2^4 5 c_0$	$2^4 5 c_0$

Table: Values of conductors, where  $c_0 = \text{rad}(c)$

# Computing $(N(\bar{\rho}), k(\bar{\rho}), \epsilon(\bar{\rho}))$

Let  $\lambda$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  be above  $p$  and define  $\rho := \rho_{S_1, \lambda}$  and  $\bar{\rho} := \bar{\rho}_{S_1, \lambda}$ .

- (Hellegouarch)  $\bar{\rho}|_K = \bar{\rho}_{E_{\gamma, p}}$  is unramified at  $c_0 = \text{rad}(c)$ .
- $\text{Disc}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = 2^4 5^3$  then  $\bar{\rho}$  can not ramify outside 2 and 5
- (Carayol) Wild ramification implies conductor does not decrease when reducing mod  $p$
- Then  $N(\bar{\rho})$  is equal to the first column in the previous table without  $c_0$
- (Pyle)  $\rho$  has character  $\epsilon^{-1}$  then  $\epsilon(\bar{\rho}) = \epsilon^{-1}$  since  $p > 2$
- If  $p \nmid c$   $S_1$  has good reduction; if  $p \mid c$ , since  $p \mid v_{\mathfrak{P}(\Delta)}$  then  $\bar{\rho}$  is finite. In both cases  $k(\bar{\rho}) = 2$
- (Ellenberg)  $\bar{\rho}$  is absolutely irreducible for  $p > 13$  if  $(a, b, c)$  is such that  $|c| > 1$ .

From Serre conjecture there is a newform  $f$  of type  $(M, 2, \bar{\epsilon})$  with  $M = 1600, 800, 400$  or  $100$  and a prime  $\mathfrak{P}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_f$  above  $p$  such that  $\bar{\rho} \equiv \bar{\rho}_{f, \mathfrak{P}} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$

# Computing $(N(\bar{\rho}), k(\bar{\rho}), \epsilon(\bar{\rho}))$

Let  $\lambda$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  be above  $p$  and define  $\rho := \rho_{S_1, \lambda}$  and  $\bar{\rho} := \bar{\rho}_{S_1, \lambda}$ .

- (Hellegouarch)  $\bar{\rho}|_K = \bar{\rho}_{E_{\gamma, p}}$  is unramified at  $c_0 = \text{rad}(c)$ .
- $\text{Disc}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = 2^4 5^3$  then  $\bar{\rho}$  can not ramify outside 2 and 5
- (Carayol) Wild ramification implies conductor does not decrease when reducing mod  $p$
- Then  $N(\bar{\rho})$  is equal to the first column in the previous table without  $c_0$
- (Pyle)  $\rho$  has character  $\epsilon^{-1}$  then  $\epsilon(\bar{\rho}) = \epsilon^{-1}$  since  $p > 2$
- If  $p \nmid c$   $S_1$  has good reduction; if  $p \mid c$ , since  $p \mid v_{\mathfrak{P}(\Delta)}$  then  $\bar{\rho}$  is finite. In both cases  $k(\bar{\rho}) = 2$
- (Ellenberg)  $\bar{\rho}$  is absolutely irreducible for  $p > 13$  if  $(a, b, c)$  is such that  $|c| > 1$ .

From Serre conjecture there is a newform  $f$  of type  $(M, 2, \bar{\epsilon})$  with  $M = 1600, 800, 400$  or  $100$  and a prime  $\mathfrak{P}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_f$  above  $p$  such that  $\bar{\rho} \equiv \bar{\rho}_{f, \mathfrak{P}} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$



# Computing $(N(\bar{\rho}), k(\bar{\rho}), \epsilon(\bar{\rho}))$

Let  $\lambda$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  be above  $p$  and define  $\rho := \rho_{S_1, \lambda}$  and  $\bar{\rho} := \bar{\rho}_{S_1, \lambda}$ .

- (Hellegouarch)  $\bar{\rho}|_K = \bar{\rho}_{E_{\gamma, p}}$  is unramified at  $c_0 = \text{rad}(c)$ .
- $\text{Disc}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = 2^4 5^3$  then  $\bar{\rho}$  can not ramify outside 2 and 5
- (Carayol) Wild ramification implies conductor does not decrease when reducing mod  $p$
- Then  $N(\bar{\rho})$  is equal to the first column in the previous table without  $c_0$
- (Pyle)  $\rho$  has character  $\epsilon^{-1}$  then  $\epsilon(\bar{\rho}) = \epsilon^{-1}$  since  $p > 2$
- If  $p \nmid c$   $S_1$  has good reduction; if  $p \mid c$ , since  $p \mid v_{\mathfrak{P}(\Delta)}$  then  $\bar{\rho}$  is finite. In both cases  $k(\bar{\rho}) = 2$
- (Ellenberg)  $\bar{\rho}$  is absolutely irreducible for  $p > 13$  if  $(a, b, c)$  is such that  $|c| > 1$ .

From Serre conjecture there is a newform  $f$  of type  $(M, 2, \bar{\epsilon})$  with  $M = 1600, 800, 400$  or  $100$  and a prime  $\mathfrak{P}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_f$  above  $p$  such that  $\bar{\rho} \equiv \bar{\rho}_{f, \mathfrak{P}} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$



# Computing $(N(\bar{\rho}), k(\bar{\rho}), \epsilon(\bar{\rho}))$

Let  $\lambda$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  be above  $p$  and define  $\rho := \rho_{S_1, \lambda}$  and  $\bar{\rho} := \bar{\rho}_{S_1, \lambda}$ .

- (Hellegouarch)  $\bar{\rho}|_K = \bar{\rho}_{E_{\gamma, p}}$  is unramified at  $c_0 = \text{rad}(c)$ .
- $\text{Disc}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = 2^4 5^3$  then  $\bar{\rho}$  can not ramify outside 2 and 5
- (Carayol) Wild ramification implies conductor does not decrease when reducing mod  $p$
- Then  $N(\bar{\rho})$  is equal to the first column in the previous table without  $c_0$
- (Pyle)  $\rho$  has character  $\epsilon^{-1}$  then  $\epsilon(\bar{\rho}) = \epsilon^{-1}$  since  $p > 2$
- If  $p \nmid c$   $S_1$  has good reduction; if  $p \mid c$ , since  $p \mid v_{\mathfrak{P}(\Delta)}$  then  $\bar{\rho}$  is finite. In both cases  $k(\bar{\rho}) = 2$
- (Ellenberg)  $\bar{\rho}$  is absolutely irreducible for  $p > 13$  if  $(a, b, c)$  is such that  $|c| > 1$ .

From Serre conjecture there is a newform  $f$  of type  $(M, 2, \bar{\epsilon})$  with  $M = 1600, 800, 400$  or  $100$  and a prime  $\mathfrak{P}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_f$  above  $p$  such that  $\bar{\rho} \equiv \bar{\rho}_{f, \mathfrak{P}} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$

# Eliminating Newforms

For each possible newform we will contradict the congruence

$$\bar{\rho} \equiv \bar{\rho}_{f,p}.$$

- Compute with SAGE the newforms in  $\mathcal{S}_2(M, \epsilon^{-1})$
- The newforms corresponding to the trivial solutions  $(\pm 1, 0)$ ,  $(0, \pm 1)$ ,  $(1, 1)$ ,  $(-1, -1)$  and  $(1, -1)$ ,  $(-1, 1)$  exist.
- $E_{(\pm 1, 0)}$ ,  $E_{(0, \pm 1)}$  is not a problem for  $d = 2$
- $E_{(-1, 1)}$ ,  $E_{(1, -1)}$ ,  $E_{(1, 1)}$  and  $E_{(-1, -1)}$  have Complex Multiplication

Observe that  $\mathbb{Q}(i) = \mathbb{Q}(\epsilon) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_f$  and define the sets:

S1: Newforms with CM (Complex Multiplication),

S2: Newforms without CM and field of coefficients strictly containing  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ ,

S3: Newforms without CM and field of coefficients  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$

# Eliminating Newforms

For each possible newform we will contradict the congruence

$$\bar{\rho} \equiv \bar{\rho}_{f,p}.$$

- Compute with SAGE the newforms in  $\mathcal{S}_2(M, \epsilon^{-1})$
- The newforms corresponding to the trivial solutions  $(\pm 1, 0)$ ,  $(0, \pm 1)$ ,  $(1, 1)$ ,  $(-1, -1)$  and  $(1, -1)$ ,  $(-1, 1)$  exist.
- $E_{(\pm 1, 0)}$ ,  $E_{(0, \pm 1)}$  is not a problem for  $d = 2$
- $E_{(-1, 1)}$ ,  $E_{(1, -1)}$ ,  $E_{(1, 1)}$  and  $E_{(-1, -1)}$  have Complex Multiplication

Observe that  $\mathbb{Q}(i) = \mathbb{Q}(\epsilon) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_f$  and define the sets:

**S1:** Newforms with CM (Complex Multiplication),

**S2:** Newforms without CM and field of coefficients strictly containing  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ ,

**S3:** Newforms without CM and field of coefficients  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$



Recall that  $2 \mid a + b$  then 800 is not a possible level.

- There are four with CM by  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  and four by  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$ .
- If  $(a, b, c)$  is non-trivial there exists a prime  $\geq 5$  of multiplicative reduction.
- (Ellenberg) If  $p > 13$  the image of  $\bar{\rho}$  will not lie in the normalizer of a split Cartan subgroup.
- For an  $f$  with CM if  $p$  is a square on the field of CM then the image of  $\bar{\rho}_{f,p}$  will be in a normalizer of a split Cartan subgroup.
- Then  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5} \Rightarrow \bar{\rho} \neq \bar{\rho}_{f,p}$

## d=2: Newforms in S2

- There are 12 newforms (modulo conjugation) in S2.
- For  $q$  of good reduction for  $S_1$ ,  $a_q = \bar{a}_q \epsilon^{-1}(q)$ .
- 3 is of good reduction and  $a_3 = t - ti$  with  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- Weil bound  $|a_3| \leq 2\sqrt{3} \Rightarrow |t| \leq 2$
- If  $f = q + \sum_{n=2} a_n(f)q^n$  then  $a_3(\bar{\rho}) \equiv a_3(f) \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$
- There is  $f$  in S2 of level 400 with  $a_3(f)$  having minimal polynomial  $x^2 + 10i$
- Then  $a_3(f) \equiv t - it \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$  implies  $100 \equiv 4t^4 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$ , substituting for  $t = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$  we reach a contradiction if  $p > 5$ .
- Do the same with  $a_3(f)$  for all other  $f$  and conclude a contradiction for  $p > 7$ .

## d=2: Newforms in S3

Let  $\chi$  be the character of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  and  $E_{\gamma,2}$  the twist by 2 of  $E_\gamma$ .

- There are 10 “bad” newforms in S3 all with level 1600 ( $2 \parallel a + b$ ).
- Since  $1600 = 2^6 5^2$  and  $\text{cond}(\chi)^2 = 8^2 = 2^6$  the conductor of  $f \otimes \chi$  may decrease.
- With SAGE we compute the coefficients of  $f \otimes \chi$  to find that  $f \otimes \chi$  are of level 800 for all  $f$  in S3
- $(\rho_{S_1,\lambda} \otimes \chi)|_K = (\rho_{S_1,\lambda})|_K \otimes \chi|_K = \rho_{E_\gamma,p} \otimes \chi|_K = \rho_{E_{\gamma,2},p}$
- Then  $\rho_{S_1,\lambda} \otimes \chi$  extends  $\rho_{E_{\gamma,2},p}$  and the same holds for  $\rho_{S_1,\lambda}^\sigma, \rho_{S_2,\lambda}^\sigma, \rho_{S_2,\lambda}$
- Therefore  $\rho_{B,p} \otimes \chi = (\text{Ind}_{G_K}^{G_\mathbb{Q}} \rho_{E_\gamma,p}) \otimes \chi = \text{Ind}_{G_K}^{G_\mathbb{Q}} (\rho_{E_\gamma,p} \otimes \chi|_K) = \text{Ind}_{G_K}^{G_\mathbb{Q}} \rho_{E_{\gamma,2},p}$  arises from  $G_\mathbb{Q}$  acting on the  $p$ -adic Tate module of  $\text{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(E_{\gamma,2}/K)$ .
- Then we can compute conductor of  $\rho_{B,p} \otimes \chi$  via Milne's Formula

## d=2: Newforms in S3

Let  $\chi$  be the character of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  and  $E_{\gamma,2}$  the twist by 2 of  $E_\gamma$ .

- There are 10 “bad” newforms in S3 all with level 1600 ( $2 \parallel a + b$ ).
- Since  $1600 = 2^6 5^2$  and  $\text{cond}(\chi)^2 = 8^2 = 2^6$  the conductor of  $f \otimes \chi$  may decrease.
- With SAGE we compute the coefficients of  $f \otimes \chi$  to find that  $f \otimes \chi$  are of level 800 for all  $f$  in S3
- $(\rho_{S_1,\lambda} \otimes \chi)|_K = (\rho_{S_1,\lambda})|_K \otimes \chi|_K = \rho_{E_\gamma,p} \otimes \chi|_K = \rho_{E_{\gamma,2},p}$
- Then  $\rho_{S_1,\lambda} \otimes \chi$  extends  $\rho_{E_{\gamma,2},p}$  and the same holds for  $\rho_{S_1,\lambda}^\sigma, \rho_{S_2,\lambda}^\sigma, \rho_{S_2,\lambda}$
- Therefore  $\rho_{B,p} \otimes \chi = (\text{Ind}_{G_K}^{G_\mathbb{Q}} \rho_{E_\gamma,p}) \otimes \chi = \text{Ind}_{G_K}^{G_\mathbb{Q}} (\rho_{E_\gamma,p} \otimes \chi|_K) = \text{Ind}_{G_K}^{G_\mathbb{Q}} \rho_{E_{\gamma,2},p}$  arises from  $G_\mathbb{Q}$  acting on the  $p$ -adic Tate module of  $\text{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(E_{\gamma,2}/K)$ .
- Then we can compute conductor of  $\rho_{B,p} \otimes \chi$  via Milne's Formula

## d=2: Newforms in S3

Let  $\chi$  be the character of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  and  $E_{\gamma,2}$  the twist by 2 of  $E_\gamma$ .

- There are 10 “bad” newforms in S3 all with level 1600 ( $2 \parallel a + b$ ).
- Since  $1600 = 2^6 5^2$  and  $\text{cond}(\chi)^2 = 8^2 = 2^6$  the conductor of  $f \otimes \chi$  may decrease.
- With SAGE we compute the coefficients of  $f \otimes \chi$  to find that  $f \otimes \chi$  are of level 800 for all  $f$  in S3
- $(\rho_{S_1,\lambda} \otimes \chi)|_K = (\rho_{S_1,\lambda})|_K \otimes \chi|_K = \rho_{E_\gamma,p} \otimes \chi|_K = \rho_{E_{\gamma,2},p}$
- Then  $\rho_{S_1,\lambda} \otimes \chi$  extends  $\rho_{E_{\gamma,2},p}$  and the same holds for  $\rho_{S_1,\lambda}^\sigma, \rho_{S_2,\lambda}^\sigma, \rho_{S_2,\lambda}$
- Therefore  $\rho_{B,p} \otimes \chi = (\text{Ind}_{G_K}^{G_\mathbb{Q}} \rho_{E_\gamma,p}) \otimes \chi = \text{Ind}_{G_K}^{G_\mathbb{Q}} (\rho_{E_\gamma,p} \otimes \chi|_K) = \text{Ind}_{G_K}^{G_\mathbb{Q}} \rho_{E_{\gamma,2},p}$  arises from  $G_\mathbb{Q}$  acting on the  $p$ -adic Tate module of  $\text{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(E_{\gamma,2}/K)$ .
- Then we can compute conductor of  $\rho_{B,p} \otimes \chi$  via Milne's Formula

## d=2: Newforms in S3

$\rho_1 := \rho_{S_1, \lambda} \otimes \chi$  is a 2-dimensional factor of  $\rho_{B, p} \otimes \chi$  and extends  $\rho_{E_{\gamma, 2, p}}$ . Let  $\bar{\rho}_1$  denote its reduction. A similar analysis as for  $E_{\gamma}$  shows that if  $2 \parallel a + b$  then by Serre's conjecture

$\bar{\rho}_1$  is modular of type  $(M_1, 2, \bar{\epsilon})$  with  $M = 100$  or  $400$

- $\bar{\rho}_1 \equiv \bar{\rho}_{g, p} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$
- $\bar{\rho}_1 = \overline{\rho_{S_1, \lambda} \otimes \chi} = \bar{\rho} \otimes \chi \equiv \bar{\rho}_{f, p} \otimes \chi \equiv \bar{\rho}_{f \otimes \chi, p} = \bar{\rho}_{f', p}$ ,
- We know that  $f'$  has level 800
- This kind of level lowering can not happen (by Carayol)!

### Theorem

For any  $p > 13$  such that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$ , the equation  $x^5 + y^5 = 2\gamma z^p$  has no non-trivial primitive solutions.

## d=2: Newforms in S3

$\rho_1 := \rho_{S_1, \lambda} \otimes \chi$  is a 2-dimensional factor of  $\rho_{B, p} \otimes \chi$  and extends  $\rho_{E_{\gamma, 2, p}}$ . Let  $\bar{\rho}_1$  denote its reduction. A similar analysis as for  $E_{\gamma}$  shows that if  $2 \parallel a + b$  then by Serre's conjecture

$\bar{\rho}_1$  is modular of type  $(M_1, 2, \bar{\epsilon})$  with  $M = 100$  or  $400$

- $\bar{\rho}_1 \equiv \bar{\rho}_{g, p} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$
- $\bar{\rho}_1 = \overline{\rho_{S_1, \lambda} \otimes \chi} = \bar{\rho} \otimes \chi \equiv \bar{\rho}_{f, p} \otimes \chi \equiv \bar{\rho}_{f \otimes \chi, p} = \bar{\rho}_{f', p}$ ,
- We know that  $f'$  has level 800
- This kind of level lowering can not happen (by Carayol)!

### Theorem

For any  $p > 13$  such that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$ , the equation  $x^5 + y^5 = 2\gamma z^p$  has no non-trivial primitive solutions.

Let  $d = 3$ . Recall that  $3 \mid a + b$  and suppose  $a + b$  odd, which means level 800 or 1600.

- In level 800 there are 4 newforms of type S2 and 10 of type S3 and none of type S1. Suppose  $\bar{\rho} \equiv \bar{\rho}_{f,p}$ .
- For type S2 we do as before. There exists  $f$  in S2 with  $a_3(f)$  having minimal polynomial  $t^2 \pm (2 - 2i)t + i$  then we need  $p > 73$  to achieve a contradiction.
- If  $f \in S3$ ,  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_K} \equiv \bar{\rho}_{f,p}|_{G_K} \Rightarrow a_{\mathfrak{p}_3}(E_\gamma) \equiv a_{\mathfrak{p}_3}(f) \pmod{p}$
- $3 \mid a + b \Rightarrow a_{\mathfrak{p}_3}(E_\gamma) = -18$  (with SAGE)
- $a_3(f) = \pm(2i - 2)$  or  $\pm(i - 1)$  for  $f$  in S3
- $a_{\mathfrak{p}_3}(f) = \alpha^4 + \beta^4$ , where  $\alpha, \beta$  are roots of the characteristic polynomial of  $\rho_{f,p}(\text{Frob}_3)$ , i.e.  $x^2 - a_3(f)x + \epsilon^{-1}(3)3$
- Then  $a_{\mathfrak{p}_3}(f) = 14$  or  $2$ , contradiction for  $p > 3$



Now level 1600:

- The forms of type S1 and S2 can be eliminated exactly as for  $d = 2$ . Since  $f$  in S1 with CM by  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$  verify  $a_3 = \pm(i - 1)$  we only need the condition  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , because  $3 \mid a + b$ .
- For  $f$  in S3 consider  $f \otimes \chi$  known to have level  $800 = 2^5 5^2$  and twist  $E_\gamma(a, b)$  by 2.
- If  $\text{cond}_2(E_{\gamma,2}) \neq 2^5$  we have a contradiction by Carayol.
- If  $\text{cond}_2(E_{\gamma,2}) = 2^5$  then since  $E_{\gamma,2} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_3}$  is equal to  $E_\gamma(a, b) \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_3}$  we have  $a_{\mathfrak{P}_3}(E_{\gamma,2}) = -18$  which gives a contradiction with  $a_{\mathfrak{P}_3}(f)$  as before.

Suppose  $a + b$  even.

- We eliminate newforms of type S2 and S3 exactly with the same arguments used when  $d = 2$ .
- For  $f$  in S1 we only need to suppose that is  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  to get a contradiction since newforms with CM by  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$  verify  $a_3 = \pm(i - 1)$ .

## Theorem

For any  $p > 73$  such that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , the equation  $x^5 + y^5 = 3\gamma z^p$  has no non-trivial primitive solutions.

Suppose  $a + b$  even.

- We eliminate newforms of type S2 and S3 exactly with the same arguments used when  $d = 2$ .
- For  $f$  in S1 we only need to suppose that is  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  to get a contradiction since newforms with CM by  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$  verify  $a_3 = \pm(i - 1)$ .

## Theorem

For any  $p > 73$  such that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , the equation  $x^5 + y^5 = 3\gamma z^p$  has no non-trivial primitive solutions.

## Definition

Let  $F_{(a,b)}$  be the elliptic curve defined over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$  given by

$$F_{(a,b)} : y^2 = x^3 + 2(a-b)x^2 + \left(\frac{3}{10}\sqrt{5} + \frac{1}{2}\right)\phi_1(a,b)x.$$

- $F_{(a,b)}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -curve.
- As in the case of  $E$  we apply Quer's theory, Milne's Formula and Serre's conjecture.
- We have  $\bar{\rho} \equiv \bar{\rho}_{f,p}$  for newforms with level 100, 400 or 1600 if  $8 \mid a+b$ ,  $4 \parallel a+b$  or  $2 \parallel a+b$ , respectively.
- If  $2 \nmid a+b$  we can suppose that  $a$  is even and we are in level 800 or 1600 if  $4 \mid a$  or  $4 \nmid a$ , respectively.

## Definition

Let  $F_{(a,b)}$  be the elliptic curve defined over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$  given by

$$F_{(a,b)} : y^2 = x^3 + 2(a-b)x^2 + \left(\frac{3}{10}\sqrt{5} + \frac{1}{2}\right)\phi_1(a,b)x.$$

- $F_{(a,b)}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -curve.
- As in the case of  $E$  we apply Quer's theory, Milne's Formula and Serre's conjecture.
- We have  $\bar{\rho} \equiv \bar{\rho}_{f,p}$  for newforms with level 100, 400 or 1600 if  $8 \mid a+b$ ,  $4 \parallel a+b$  or  $2 \parallel a+b$ , respectively.
- If  $2 \nmid a+b$  we can suppose that  $a$  is even and we are in level 800 or 1600 if  $4 \mid a$  or  $4 \nmid a$ , respectively.

# Multi-Frey technique

- Suppose that  $a^5 + b^5 = dc^p$  and that  $c$  is even.
- Then we have a solution  $(a, b, c_0)$  to  $x^5 + y^5 = d2^p z^p$  and by B-D it is impossible.
- We can suppose  $c$  to be odd and we only have to deal with the cases  $2 \parallel a + b$  or  $2 \nmid a + b$ .
- Thus we have to eliminate newforms only on levels 1600 ( $d = 2$ ) or 1600 and 800 ( $d = 3$ ).
- These are the same levels as in the case of  $E_{(a,b)}$
- For a solution  $(a, b, c)$  we have a double congruence  $(\bar{\rho}_E, \bar{\rho}_F) \equiv (\bar{\rho}_{f,p}|K, \bar{\rho}_{g,p}|K) \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$ , where  $f, g$  are newforms in  $S_2(M, \epsilon^{-1})$  both with level  $M = 800$  or  $M = 1600$ .
- We can apply the multi-Frey technique with  $E$  and  $F$ !

# Multi-Frey technique

## Definition

Let  $C_{(x,y)}/K$  be  $E_{(x,y)}$  or  $F_{(x,y)}$ . For a prime  $q$  of good reduction for  $C$  and newform  $f$  let

$$C_{(x,y)}(q, f) = a_q(C_{(x,y)}) - a_q(f|K)$$

## Theorem (Siksek)

Let  $(f, g)$  be a pair of newforms and define

$$A_q(f, g) = \prod_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{F}_q - \{(0,0)\}} \gcd(E_{(x,y)}(q, f), F_{(x,y)}(q, g)).$$

If  $(a, b, c)$  is a primitive solution giving rise to the double congruence  $(\bar{\rho}_E, \bar{\rho}_F) \equiv (\bar{\rho}_{f,p}|K, \bar{\rho}_{g,p}|K) \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$  then  $p \mid A_q$ .

- We want to improve the conditions on  $p$  which comes from CM forms.
- In level 800 there are no newforms with CM
- In level 1600 there are  $f_1, f_2$  by  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  and  $g_1, g_2$  by  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$
- Let SS1 be the set of pairs  $(f, g)$  where  $f$  has no CM and SS2 the set of those where  $f$  has CM.
- We eliminate a pair  $(f, g)$  in SS1 by applying the arguments on  $f$  explained before.
- Given  $(f, g)$  in SS2 we compute  $A_q(f, g)$  using the auxiliary primes  $q = 3, 7, 13, 17$  to find that  $A_q(f, g) = 0$  for all the auxiliary primes only if  $f, g$  have CM by distinct fields.
- Remain four pairs:  $(f_1, g_1), (f_1, g_2), (g_1, f_1)$  and  $(g_2, f_2)$ .
- For a prime  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  or  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$  we can eliminate these pairs by applying Ellenberg's theorem to  $E$  or  $F$  conveniently to get a contradiction! q.e.d.



- We want to improve the conditions on  $p$  which comes from CM forms.
- In level 800 there are no newforms with CM
- In level 1600 there are  $f_1, f_2$  by  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  and  $g_1, g_2$  by  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$
- Let SS1 be the set of pairs  $(f, g)$  where  $f$  has no CM and SS2 the set of those where  $f$  has CM.
- We eliminate a pair  $(f, g)$  in SS1 by applying the arguments on  $f$  explained before.
- Given  $(f, g)$  in SS2 we compute  $A_q(f, g)$  using the auxiliary primes  $q = 3, 7, 13, 17$  to find that  $A_q(f, g) = 0$  for all the auxiliary primes only if  $f, g$  have CM by distinct fields.
- Remain four pairs:  $(f_1, g_1), (f_1, g_2), (g_1, f_1)$  and  $(g_2, f_2)$ .
- For a prime  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  or  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$  we can eliminate these pairs by applying Ellenberg's theorem to  $E$  or  $F$  conveniently to get a contradiction! q.e.d.